

Module 2

Lect 7: Damage identification using lumped mass.

Change in Eigenvalues - can enable damage detection

Let us consider dynamic eqn of forces acting on the j^{th} string.

By considering all the stress above its string, we get:

$$f_{i(j)} d_{i(j)}(t) + C_{ij} \dot{d}_{i(j)} = f_{i(j)}(t) - (1)$$

where k_{ij} and C_{ij} are stiffness and damping parameters of the j^{th} story

$f_{ij}(t)$ is the inertia force acting on the story mass of j^{th} story
and all the story above it.

$$f_{ij}(t) = - \sum_{k=j}^N m_k \ddot{x}_{ik}(t) \quad (2)$$
$$= - w_i^2 \sum_{k=i}^N m_k \phi_{ik} \quad (3)$$

where w_i is the natural frequency of the i^{th} story
 m_i is the mass of the i^{th} story

$\dot{x}_{ik}(t)$ is relative displacement
between i^{th} & $(i-1)^{th}$ story

for its mode of vibration, this can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} d_{ij}(t) &= x_{ij}(t) - x_{i,j-1}(t) \\ &= \left\{ \phi_{ij} - \phi_{i,j-1} \right\} e^{\omega_i t} \quad \text{--- (4)} \end{aligned}$$

$$d_{ij} = x_{ij}(t) - x_{i,j-1}(t)$$

$$= \left\{ \phi_{ij} - \phi_{i,j-1} \right\} e^{\omega_i t} \quad \text{--- (5)}$$

where $\phi_{ij} \in \omega_i$ - are eigenvectors & eigenvalues of its mode

Once eigenvalue & eigenvector are measured for its strategy

E_U can be formulated for its strategy \Rightarrow each time interval.

Using short data logs, which is approximately equal to ω_i , the building

E_U can be solved.

one can repeat this procedure for other strategy to determine $[E_U] \in \mathbb{M}$ parameter of each strategy.

Solvent points

- (1) This method assumes a known storage time
- (2) only applicable for lumped mass, shear building model.

(3) Method to identify damage using Element Modal Stiffness

In a linear, undamped structure, its modal stiffness is given by:

$$K_i = \bar{\phi}_i^T [k] \bar{\phi}_i \quad (!)$$

where $\bar{\phi}_i$ is the i^{th} mode shape vector

[k] is the complete stiffness matrix of the entire structure

Combination of jth member to ith model stiffness is given by:

$$k_{ij} = \bar{\phi}_i^T [k_j] \bar{\phi}_i$$

Where k_j - jth member contribution to $[k]$

Ranking of modal energy of ith mode, contributed by jth member is called
Modal sensitivity.

Modal sensitivity is given by:

$$F_{ij} = \frac{k_{ij}}{k_i}$$

The above is valid for undamaged structure.

This is modified for a damaged structure. Model stiffness for a damaged structure is given by:

$$F_{ij}^* = \frac{K_{ij}^*}{K_i^*}$$

$$K_{ij}^* = \bar{\phi}_i^{*T} [K_j^*] \cdot \bar{\phi}_i^*$$

$$K_i^* = \bar{\phi}_i^{*T} [k^*] \bar{\phi}_i^*$$

$$K_j^* = E_j [k_{j0}]$$

$$K_{ij}^* = E_j^* [k_{j0}]$$

Where E_i , E_i^* represent material stiffness properties, related to undamaged & damaged state of the structure

$[K_{ij}]$ is assumed to be unchanged even after damage occurs.

Basic assumption is the metal is linear

Model sensitivity for it made its member remain unchanged before after damage

Mathematically

$$\frac{F_{ij}}{F_{ij}^*} = \frac{\frac{K_{ij}^*}{K_{ij}} k_i}{\frac{k_i^*}{k_i} k_j} = 1$$

Damage Index, β_i^* for the i^{th} member is defined as:

$$\beta_j = \frac{E_j}{E_j^*}$$

Substituting earlier eqn

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_j &= \frac{\gamma_{ij}^* k_i}{\gamma_{ij} k_i^*} \\ &= \frac{\bar{\phi}_i^T (k_{ji}) \bar{\phi}_i^* k_i^*}{\bar{\phi}_i^T [k_{ji}] \bar{\phi}_i^* k_i^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Damag Index, can also be approximated as, below.

$$\beta_j \approx \frac{\bar{f}_i^{*T} [k_{j,i}] \bar{f}_i^* + \sum_{k=1}^{NE} \bar{f}_i^{*T} [k_{j,k}] \bar{f}_i^*}{\bar{f}_i^{*T} [k_{j,i}] \bar{f}_i^* + \sum_{k=1}^{NE} \bar{f}_i^{*T} [k_{j,k}] \bar{f}_i^*} \left(\frac{k_i}{k_i^*} \right)$$

Normalized damage indicator is given by :

$$z_j = \frac{\beta_j - \bar{\beta}}{\sigma_\beta} \quad \text{where } \bar{\beta} \text{ mean value of } \beta \\ \sigma_\beta \text{ std deviation of } \beta.$$

Sensitivity of demand can be estimated as:

$$E_j^* = E_j \left(1 + \frac{d E_j}{E_j} \right)$$

$$= E_j (1 + \alpha_j)$$

$$\text{where } \alpha_j = \frac{\gamma_{ij} k_i^*}{\gamma_{ij} k_i} - 1$$

(4) Damage identification using Model strain Energy

This is a Two stage process:

1st stage: to locate the damage using cheap is model strain
Energy of the element

2nd stage: Extent of damage is determined by iterative scheme

Modal strain energy of j^{th} element of lots undamaged & damaged structure

is given by:

$$MSE_{ij} : \bar{\phi}_i^T K_j \bar{\phi}_i = \quad (1)$$

$$MSE_{ij}^d = \bar{\phi}_{di}^T K_{dj} \bar{\phi}_{di} = \quad (2)$$

where subscript d denotes damaged state

where k_j element stiffness matrix of j^{th} element

$\bar{\phi}_i$ is the i^{th} mode shape

Change in Modal strain energy ratio is indicated as MSECR

$$MSECR_j^i = \frac{|MSE_{ij}^d - MSE_{ij}|}{MSE_{ij}} \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

Eq (3) is a meaningful indicator of damaged elements

Damaged elements will have a significant change in their stiffness.
- Stiffness will be degraded

Change in stiffness for the damaged element can be expressed as
a fraction of change & element stiffness matrix.

$$K_d^d = K + \sum_{j=1}^L \Delta k_j \quad \text{--- (4)}$$

$$= K + \sum_{j=1}^L \alpha_j k_j \quad \text{--- (4)}$$

(value for $-1 < \alpha_j \leq 0$)

Now, change in Model strain energy is expressed as below:

$$MSE_{Cij} = 2A \bar{\phi}_i^T k_i \bar{\phi}_i + \alpha_j \bar{\phi}_i^+ k_j \bar{\phi}_i \quad \text{--- (5)}$$

In the above eqn, α_j is unknown.

To start with, this is assumed to be zero at iteration $i=0$.

Thus,

$$MSE_{Cij} = 2A \bar{\phi}_i^T k_i \bar{\phi}_i \quad \text{--- (6)}$$

Model strain Energy change can be determined for last damaged & undamaged states. By using the appropriate ($k_j \leftarrow \underline{k}_j$) - for damaged case, one can use k_j , $\bar{\phi}_i$.

In the undamped case, following can holds good:

$$\left[(k + \Delta k) - (\omega_i + \Delta \omega_i) m \right] \left[\hat{g}_{ki} + \Delta \hat{g}_{ki} \right] = 0. \quad (8)$$

Now, $\Delta \hat{g}_{ki}$ is expressed as a linear combination of mode shape & mode shape is undamped system \Leftrightarrow given by:

$$\Delta \hat{g}_{ki} = \sum_{k=1}^n d_{ik} \hat{g}_k \quad \longrightarrow \quad (9)$$

sub (9) in (8) & replacing higher order term, we get

$$d_{ii} = - \frac{\hat{g}_i^T \Delta k \hat{g}_i}{(\omega_r - \omega_i)} \quad \text{for } r \neq i \quad (10)$$

$MSEC$ is then given by:

$$MSEC_{ij} = 2 \bar{\Phi}_i^T k_j \left(\sum_{r=1}^n - \frac{\bar{\Phi}_r^T \Delta k \bar{\Phi}_i}{(\omega_r - \omega_j)} f_r \right) \quad (1)$$

f_r r ≠ i

The earlier Eq (4-5) + MSEC can be simplified as below:

$$MSEC_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^n 2 \Delta \Phi_i^T \bar{\Phi}_i^T k_j \left(\sum_{r=1}^n - \frac{\bar{\Phi}_r^T \Delta k \bar{\Phi}_i}{\omega_r - \omega_i} f_r \right) \quad \begin{matrix} \text{for } r \neq i \\ \text{--- (2)} \end{matrix}$$

Once the damper is located, then damper sensitivity can be determined as below:

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} MSEC_{i1} \\ MSEC_{i2} \\ \vdots \\ MSEC_{ip} \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} \beta_{11} & \beta_{12} & \cdots & \beta_{1p} \\ \beta_{21} & \beta_{22} & \cdots & \beta_{2p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \beta_{p1} & \beta_{p2} & \cdots & \beta_{pp} \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_p \end{array} \right] \quad (43)$$

When p is the expected damage site (location)
 i is the elements considered to compute MSEC

$$\beta_{st} = -2 \sum_{r=1}^n \vec{\theta}_i^T k_s \frac{\vec{\theta}_r K_i \vec{\theta}_i}{(\omega_r - \omega_i)} \vec{\theta}_r$$

for $r \neq i$

where $\vec{\theta}_i$ is the element residuals except MSEC

MSEC are experimentally measured and then substituted in Eq (13). It's

to gain the fractional change in stiffness in element system (elements)

once, initial value of α_p (as noted in Eq 12) is obtained
 values of MSEC can be updated for each iteration, until
 convergence is reached

Summary

- Damage definition w/ lumped mass
- " " element model stiffness
- " " modal strain energy method

Note Title

4/16/2018

Note Title

4/16/2018