

# Smart Materials, Adaptive Structures, and Intelligent Mechanical Systems

Bishakh Bhattacharya & Nachiketa Tiwari  
Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur

# Lecture 25

## Semi-Infinite Plates

# References for this Lecture

1. Analysis and Performance of Fiber Composites, Agarwal, B.D. and Broutman, L. J., John Wiley & Sons.
2. Mechanics of Composite Materials, Jones, R. M., Mc-Graw Hill
3. Structural Analysis of Laminated Composites, Whitney, J. M., Technomic
4. Nonlinear Analysis of Plates, Chia, C., McGraw-Hill International Book Company

# Lecture Overview

- Solution for Case C
- Solution for Case D

# Solution for Case C

- First, we reproduce equilibrium relations (Eq. 24.2), which apply to all cases. These are:

$$N_x = c_1$$

$$N_{xx} = c_2$$

(Eq. 24.2)

$$M_x = -qx^2/2 + c_3x + c_4$$

- Further, we also reproduce Eq. 24.1 since it represents kinematic relations for all cases.

$$\begin{Bmatrix} \epsilon_{xx} \\ \epsilon_{yy} \\ \gamma_{xy} \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} \epsilon_{xx}^0 \\ \epsilon_{yy}^0 \\ \gamma_{xy}^0 \end{Bmatrix} + z \begin{Bmatrix} k_x \\ k_y \\ k_{xy} \end{Bmatrix} \quad \text{where,}$$

(Eq. 24.1)

$$\begin{Bmatrix} \epsilon_{xx}^0 \\ \epsilon_{yy}^0 \\ \gamma_{xy}^0 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} \frac{du^0}{\partial x} \\ 0 \\ \frac{dv^0}{\partial x} \end{Bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{Bmatrix} k_x \\ k_y \\ k_{xy} \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} \frac{d^2w^0}{\partial x^2} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{Bmatrix}$$

# Solution for Case C

- For Cases C and D, the lamination sequence is  $[0_2/90_2]_T$ . For such a laminate, we reproduce results from Eq. 24.4.
  - $A_{16} = A_{26} = 0$
  - $B_{16} = B_{26} = B_{12} = B_{66} = 0$
  - $D_{16} = D_{26} = 0$  (Eq. 24.4)
- Finally, boundary conditions for Case C are:

| BCs at $x = -a/2$ | BCs at $x = +a/2$ |
|-------------------|-------------------|
| $u^{0-} = 0$      | $N_x^+ = 0$       |
| $v^{0-} = 0$      | $N_{xy}^+ = 0$    |
| $M_x^- = 0$       | $M_x^+ = 0$       |
| $w^{0-} = 0$      | $w^{0+} = 0$      |

- Since  $N_x$  is zero at  $+a/2$ , we get from Eq. 20.2:  
 $C_1 = N_x = 0 = A_{11}(du^0/dx) + B_{11}(d^2w^0/dx^2)$ , or,

$$u^0(x) = [C_1x + C_5 + B_{11}(dw^0/dx)]/A_{11} \quad \text{(Eq. 25.1)}$$

# Solution for Case C

- Since  $N_{xy}$  is zero at  $+a/2$ , we get from earlier results:

$$C_2 = N_{xy} = 0 = A_{66}(dv^0/dx), \text{ or,}$$

$$v^0(x) = [C_2x + C_6]/A_{66} \quad (\text{Eq. 25.2})$$

- Also, we know that  $M_x = B_{11}(du^0/dx) + D_{11}(d^2w^0/dx^2)$ . Integrating this equation after substituting the definition of  $M_x$  from Eq. 16.2, we get:

$$B_{11}u^0(x) + D_{11}(dw^0/dx) = -qx^3/6 + C_3x^2/2 + C_4x + C_7 \quad (\text{Eq. 25.3})$$

- Equations 25.1-3 can be used to solve for  $u^0$ ,  $v^0$ , and  $w^0$ . Here, the term  $B_{11}$  couples in-plane and out-of-plane displacements. Solving Eqs. 25.1-3 for displacement field yields:

$$(A_{11}-B_{11}^2/D_{11}) u^0(x) = (B_{11}/D_{11})(qx^3/6) - (B_{11}/A_{11}) (C_3qx^2/2) + (C_1-C_4B_{11}/A_{11})x + (C_5-C_7B_{11}/A_{11})$$

$$(D_{11}-B_{11}^2/A_{11}) w^0(x) = qx^4/24 - C_3x^3/6 - (C_1B_{11}/A_{11} - C_4)x^2/2 + (C_5B_{11}/A_{11} - C_7)x + C_8 \quad (\text{Eq. 25.4a, b})$$

# Solution for Case C

- Also,  $M_y = D_{12}(d^2w^0/dx^2)$ , and  $M_{xy} = 0$  (Eq. 25.5)
- Equations 25.1-25.5 are applicable to Case C and Case D, as BCs yet remain to be applied. At this stage, we apply boundary conditions for Case C.
- Since  $N_x$  and  $N_{xy}$  are zero at  $x = +a/2$ , we get:  
 $C_1 = C_2 = 0$ .
- Further, since  $v^0$  is zero at  $x = +a/2$ , we get from Eq. 25.2:  
 $C_6 = 0$ .
- Further, since moment  $M_x$  is zero at both ends, we get from Eq. 20.2:  
 $C_3 = 0$  and  $C_4 = qa^2/8$ .
- Now, we are left with  $C_5$ ,  $C_7$ , and  $C_8$ . To find them, we apply two BCs for  $w^0$  condition, and one BC for the  $u^0$  condition.

# Solution for Case C

- Applying two BCs for  $w^0$  in Eq. 25.4b, and one BC for  $u^0$  in Eq. 25.4a, we get values for  $C_5$ ,  $C_7$ , and  $C_8$ . Finally, we get the following relations for  $u^0$ ,  $v^0$ , and  $w^0$ :

$$u^0(x) = (B_{11}qa^3)[4(x/a)^3 - 3(x/a) - 1] / [24D_{11}(A_{11} - B_{11}^2/D_{11})] \quad (\text{Eq. 25.6})$$

$$v^0(x) = 0 \quad (\text{Eq. 25.7})$$

$$w^0(x) = (-5qa^4)[16(x/a)^4 - 24(x/a)^2 + 5] / [384 (D_{11} - B_{11}^2/A_{11})] \quad (\text{Eq. 25.8})$$

- Equations 18.6-8, constitute the displacement-field for Case C.
- Next, we consider Case D. Here, the general solution as expressed through Eqs. 25.1-5 is also the valid for Case D. However, integration constants are different due to differences in boundary conditions.

# Solution for Case D

- The boundary conditions for Case D are given below.

| BCs at $x = -a/2$ | BCs at $x = +a/2$ |
|-------------------|-------------------|
| $u^{0-} = 0$      | $u^{0+} = 0$      |
| $v^{0-} = 0$      | $v^{0+} = 0$      |
| $M_x^- = 0$       | $M_x^+ = 0$       |
| $w^{0-} = 0$      | $w^{0+} = 0$      |

- Using these boundary conditions we get the final solutions as:

$$u^0(x) = (B_{11}qa^3)[4(x/a)^2 - 1] / [24D_{11}(A_{11}-B_{11}^2/D_{11})](x/a) \quad (\text{Eq. 25.9})$$

$$v^0(x) = 0 \quad (\text{Eq. 25.10})$$

$$w^0(x) = (-qa^4)[16(x/a)^4 + 48\{B_{11}/(3A_{11}D_{11}) - 1/2\}(x/a)^2 + \{5 - 4B_{11}^2/(A_{11}D_{11})\}] / [384 (D_{11}-B_{11}^2/A_{11})] \quad (\text{Eq. 25.11})$$

# Comments on Cases C & D

- In Cases C and D, the direction of  $u^o(x)$  depends on sign of  $B_{11}$ .
  - The sign for  $B_{11}$  for a  $[0_2/90_2]_T$  laminate is negative of that for a  $[90_2/0_2]_T$  laminate.
  - Thus, direction of  $u^o(x)$  for a  $[0_2/90_2]_T$  laminate is negative of that for a  $[90_2/0_2]_T$  laminate.
- In either case,  $u^o(x)$  and  $w^o(x)$  are odd and even functions of  $x$ . This observation is consistent with intuition.
- The term  $(D_{11}-B_{11}^2/A_{11})$  is known as *reduced bending stiffness*. It appears as denominator in expressions for  $w^o(x)$ . The out of plane displacement is inversely proportional to this term, and not just  $D_{11}$ . For symmetric laminates, this term is identical to  $D_{11}$ . Also, higher the value of  $B_{11}$ , lower *reduced bending stiffness*.
- The term  $(A_{11}-B_{11}^2/D_{11})$  is known as *reduced extensional stiffness*. It appears as denominator in expressions for  $u^o(x)$ . The in-plane displacement is inversely proportional to this term, and not just  $A_{11}$ .