

Analysis of Variance and Design of Experiments-II

MODULE I

LECTURE - 5

INCOMPLETE BLOCK DESIGNS

Dr. Shalabh

Department of Mathematics & Statistics
Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur

Theorem $E(P) = D\beta, \quad \text{Var}(P) = \sigma^2 D$

Proof $D = K - NR^{-1}N'$

$$P = B - NR^{-1}V$$

$$= D_2[I - D_1R^{-1}D_1]y$$

$$= D_2[I - D_1(D_1D_1')^{-1}D_1]y$$

$$E(P) = D_2[I_1 - D_1'(D_1D_1')^{-1}D_1](\mu E_{n_1} + D_1'\tau + D_2'\beta)$$

$$= [D_2E_{n_1} - D_2D_1'R^{-1}D_1E_{n_1}]\mu + [D_2D_1' - D_2D_1'R^{-1}D_1D_1']\tau + [D_2D_1' - D_2D_1'R^{-1}D_1D_2']\beta$$

$$= [(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_b)' - NR^{-1}(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_v)']\mu + [N - NR^{-1}R]\tau + [K - NR^{-1}N']\beta$$

$$= [(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_b)' - NE_{v_1}]\mu + 0 + D\beta$$

$$= [(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_b)' - (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_b)']\mu + D\beta$$

$$= D\beta.$$

Next

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Var}(P) &= \sigma^2 D_2 [I - D_1 (D_1 D_1')^{-1} D_1'] D_2' \\
 &= \sigma^2 [D_2 D_2' - D_2 D_1 (D_1 D_1')^{-1} D_1 D_2'] \\
 &= \sigma^2 [K - NR^{-1}N'] = \sigma^2 D.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that $[I - D_1 (D_1 D_1')^{-1} D_1']$ is an idempotent matrix.

Alternatively, we can also find $\text{Var}(P)$ as follows:

$$P = (I \quad -NR^{-1}) \begin{pmatrix} B \\ V \end{pmatrix} = (I \quad -NR^{-1})Z$$

where $Z = (B, \quad V)'$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Var}(P) &= (I \quad -NR^{-1}) \text{Cov}(Z) \begin{pmatrix} I \\ -R^{-1}N' \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= (I \quad -NR^{-1}) \begin{pmatrix} K & N \\ N' & R \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I \\ -R^{-1}N' \end{pmatrix} \sigma^2 \\
 &= (K - NR^{-1}N' \quad N - NR^{-1}R) \begin{pmatrix} I \\ -R^{-1}N' \end{pmatrix} \sigma^2 \\
 &= (K - NR^{-1}N') \sigma^2 \\
 &= D\sigma^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we consider some properties of incomplete block designs.

Lemma

$$b + \text{rank}(C) = v + \text{rank}(D) .$$

Proof

Consider $(b + v) \times (b + v)$ matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} K & N \\ N' & R \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that A is a submatrix of C .

Using the result that the rank of a matrix does not change by the pre-multiplication of nonsingular matrix, consider the following matrices:

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} I_b & 0 \\ -N'K^{-1} & I_v \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } S = \begin{bmatrix} I_b & 0 \\ -R^{-1}N' & I_v \end{bmatrix}.$$

M and S are nonsingular, so we have

$$\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(MA) = \text{rank}(AS).$$

Now

$$MA = \begin{bmatrix} I_b & 0 \\ -N'K^{-1} & I_v \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} K & N \\ N' & R \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} K & N \\ 0 & C \end{bmatrix}$$

$$AS = \begin{bmatrix} D & N \\ 0 & R \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} K & N \\ 0 & C \end{bmatrix} = \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} D & N \\ 0 & R \end{bmatrix}$$

or

$$\text{rank}(K) + \text{rank}(C) = \text{rank}(D) + \text{rank}(R)$$

or

$$b + \text{rank}(C) = v + \text{rank}(D).$$

Remark

$C: v \times v$ and $D: b \times b$ are symmetric matrices.

One can verify that

$$CE_{v1} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad DE_{b1} = 0$$

Thus $\text{rank}(C) \leq v - 1$

and $\text{rank}(D) \leq b - 1$.

Lemma

If $\text{rank}(C) = v - 1$, then all blocks and treatment contrasts are estimable.

Proof

If $\text{rank}(C) = v - 1$, it is obvious that all the treatment contrasts are estimable.

Using the result from the lemma $b + \text{rank}(C) = v + \text{rank}(D)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(D) + v &= \text{rank}(C) + b \\ &= v - 1 + b \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\text{rank}(D) = b - 1.$$

Thus all the block contrasts are also estimable.

Orthogonality of Q and P

Now we explore the conditions under which Q and P can be orthogonal.

$$Q = V - N'K^{-1}B = (D_1 - D_1D_2'K^{-1}D_2)y$$

$$P = B - NR^{-1}V = (D_2 - D_2D_1'R^{-1}D_1)y$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(Q, P) &= (D_1 - D_1D_2'K^{-1}D_2)(D_2 - D_2D_1'R^{-1}D_1)\sigma^2 \\ &= (D_1D_2' - D_1D_2'R^{-1}D_1D_2' - D_1D_2'K^{-1}D_2D_2' + D_1D_2'K^{-1}D_2D_1'R^{-1}D_1D_2')\sigma^2 \\ &= (N' - RR^{-1}N' - N'K^{-1}K + N'K^{-1}NR^{-1}N')\sigma^2 \\ &= (N'K^{-1}NR^{-1}N' - N')\sigma^2 \end{aligned}$$

Q and P (or equivalently Q_i and P_j) are orthogonal when

$$\text{Cov}(Q, P) = 0$$

$$\text{or } N'K^{-1}NR^{-1}N' - N' = 0 \quad (\text{i})$$

$$\Rightarrow (R - C)R^{-1}N' - N' = 0 \quad (\text{Using } C = R - N'K^{-1}N)$$

$$\Rightarrow CR^{-1}N' = 0 \quad (\text{ii})$$

or equivalently

$$N'K^{-1}NR^{-1}N' - N' = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow N'K^{-1}(K - D) - N' = 0 \quad (\text{Using } D = K - NR^{-1}N')$$

$$\Rightarrow N'K^{-1}D = 0. \quad (\text{iii})$$

Thus Q_i and P_j are orthogonal if $NR^{-1}N'K^{-1}N = N$

or equivalently $NR^{-1}C = 0$

or equivalently $DK^{-1}N = 0.$

Orthogonal block design

A block design is said to be orthogonal if Q_i 's and P_j 's are orthogonal for all i and j . Thus the condition for the orthogonality of a design is

$$NR^{-1}N'K^{-1}N = N,$$

$$NR^{-1}C = 0$$

or

$$DK^{-1}N = 0.$$

Lemma If $\frac{n_{ij}}{r_j}$ is constant for all j , then $\frac{n_{ij}}{k_i}$ is constant for all i and vice versa. In this case, we have $n_{ij} = \frac{k_i r_j}{n}$.

Proof If $\frac{n_{ij}}{r_j}$ is constant for all j then $\frac{n_{ij}}{r_j} = a_i$, say.

$$\Rightarrow n_{ij} = a_i r_j$$

$$\text{or } \sum_j n_{ij} = \sum_j a_i r_j = a_i \sum_j r_j = a_i n$$

$$\text{or } k_i = a_i n$$

$$\text{or } a_i = \frac{k_i}{n}$$

Thus

$$\frac{n_{ij}}{r_j} = \frac{k_i}{n}$$

$$\text{or } n_{ij} = \frac{k_i r_j}{n}$$

So $\frac{n_{ij}}{k_j} = \frac{r_i}{n}$: independent of i .

Hence proved.