

# **LINEAR REGRESSION ANALYSIS**

## **MODULE – V**

### **Lecture - 21**

# **Correcting Model Inadequacies Through Transformation and Weighting**

**Dr. Shalabh**

**Department of Mathematics and Statistics**

**Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur**

## Analytical methods for selecting a transformation on study variable

### The Box-Cox method

Suppose the normality and/or constant variance of study variable  $y$  can be corrected through a power transformation on  $y$ . This means  $y$  is to be transformed as  $y^\lambda$  where  $\lambda$  is the parameter to be determined. For example, if  $\lambda = 0.5$ , then the transformation is square root and  $\sqrt{y}$  is used as study variable in place of  $y$ .

Now the linear regression model has parameters  $\beta, \sigma^2$  and  $\lambda$ . Box and Cox method tells how to estimate simultaneously the  $\lambda$  and parameters of the model using the method of maximum likelihood.

Note that as  $\lambda$  approaches zero,  $y^\lambda$  approaches to 1. So there is a problem at  $\lambda = 0$  because this makes all the observation  $y$  to be unity. It is meaningless that all the observation on study variable are constant. So there is a discontinuity at  $\lambda = 0$ . One approach to solve this difficulty is to use  $\frac{y^\lambda - 1}{\lambda}$  as a study variable.

Note that as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\frac{y^\lambda - 1}{\lambda} \rightarrow \ln y$ . So a possible solution is to use the transformed study variable as

$$W = \begin{cases} \frac{y^\lambda - 1}{\lambda} & \text{for } \lambda \neq 0 \\ \ln y & \text{for } \lambda = 0. \end{cases}$$

So family  $W$  is continuous. Still it has a drawback. As  $\lambda$  changes, the value of  $W$  change dramatically. So it is difficult to obtain the best value of  $\lambda$ . If different analyst obtain different values of  $\lambda$ , then it will fit different models. It may then not be appropriate to compare the models with different values of  $\lambda$ . So it is preferable to use an alternative form

$$y^{(\lambda)} = V = \begin{cases} \frac{y^\lambda - 1}{\lambda y_*^{\lambda-1}} & \text{for } \lambda \neq 0 \\ y_* \ln y & \text{for } \lambda = 0 \end{cases}$$

where  $y_*$  is the geometric mean of  $y_i$ 's as  $y_* = (y_1 y_2 \dots y_n)^{1/n}$  which is constant.

For calculation purpose, we can use  $\ln y_* = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ln y_i$ .

When  $V$  is applied to each  $y_i$ , we get  $V = (V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n)'$  as a vector of observation on transformed study variable and we use it to fit a linear model  $V = X\beta + \varepsilon$  using least squares or maximum likelihood method.

The quantity  $\lambda y_*^{\lambda-1}$  in the denominator is related to the  $n^{th}$  power of Jacobian of transformation. See how:

We want to convert  $y_i$  into  $y_i^{(\lambda)}$  as

$$y_i^{(\lambda)} = W_i = \frac{y_i^\lambda - 1}{\lambda}; \quad \lambda \neq 0.$$

Let

$$y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)', \quad W = (W_1, W_2, \dots, W_n)'.$$

Note that if  $W_1 = \frac{y_1^\lambda - 1}{\lambda}$ , then

$$\frac{\partial W_1}{\partial y_1} = \frac{\lambda y_1^{\lambda-1}}{\lambda} = y_1^{\lambda-1}$$

$$\frac{\partial W_1}{\partial y_2} = 0.$$

In general,

$$\frac{\partial W_i}{\partial y_j} = \begin{cases} y_i^{\lambda-1} & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

The Jacobian of transformation is given by

$$J(y_i \rightarrow W_i) = \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial W_i} = \frac{1}{\left( \frac{\partial W_i}{\partial y_i} \right)} = \frac{1}{y_i^{\lambda-1}}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J(W \rightarrow y) &= \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial W_1}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial W_1}{\partial y_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial W_1}{\partial y_n} \\ \frac{\partial W_2}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial W_2}{\partial y_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial W_2}{\partial y_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial W_n}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial W_n}{\partial y_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial W_n}{\partial y_n} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} y_1^{\lambda-1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & y_2^{\lambda-1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & y_n^{\lambda-1} \end{vmatrix} \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{\lambda-1} \\
&= \left( \prod_{i=1}^n y_i \right)^{\lambda-1} \\
J(y \rightarrow W) &= \frac{1}{J(W \rightarrow Y)} = \left( 1 / \prod_{i=1}^n y_i \right)^{\lambda-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since this is a Jacobian when we want to transform the whole vector  $y$  to whole vector  $W$ . If an individual  $y_i$  is to be transform into  $W_i$ , then take its geometric mean as

$$J(y_i \rightarrow W_i) = \left( 1 / \left( \prod_{i=1}^n y_i \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)^{\lambda-1}.$$

The quantity

$$J(Y \rightarrow W) = 1 / \prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{\lambda-1}$$

ensures that unit volume is preserved moving from the set of  $y_i$  to the set of  $V_i$ . This is a factor which scales and ensures that the residual sum of squares obtained from different values of  $\lambda$  can be compared.

To find the appropriate family, consider

$$y^{(\lambda)} = V = X\beta + \varepsilon$$

where

$$y^{(\lambda)} = \frac{y^\lambda - 1}{\lambda y_*^{\lambda-1}}, \varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I).$$

Applying method of maximum likelihood for likelihood function for  $y^{(\lambda)}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} L[y^{(\lambda)}] &= \left( \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \exp \left[ -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i^2}{2\sigma^2} \right] \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \exp \left[ -\frac{\varepsilon' \varepsilon}{2\sigma^2} \right] \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \exp \left[ -\frac{(y^{(\lambda)} - X\beta)'(y^{(\lambda)} - X\beta)}{2\sigma^2} \right] \\ \ln L[y^{(\lambda)}] &= -\frac{n}{2} \ln \sigma^2 - \left[ \frac{(y^{(\lambda)} - X\beta)'(y^{(\lambda)} - X\beta)}{2\sigma^2} \right] \quad (\text{ignoring constant}). \end{aligned}$$

Solving

$$\frac{\partial \ln L[y^{(\lambda)}]}{\partial \beta} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln L[y^{(\lambda)}]}{\partial \sigma^2} = 0$$

gives the maximum likelihood estimators

$$\hat{\beta}(\lambda) = (X'X)^{-1}X'y^{(\lambda)}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2(\lambda) = \frac{1}{n} y^{(\lambda)'} [I - X(X'X)^{-1}X'] y^{(\lambda)} = \frac{y^{(\lambda)'} \bar{H} y^{(\lambda)}}{n}$$

for a given value of  $\lambda$ .

Substituting these estimates in the log likelihood function  $\ln L[y^{(\lambda)}]$  gives

$$L(\lambda) = -\frac{n}{2} \ln \hat{\sigma}^2 = -\frac{n}{2} \ln [SS_{res}(\lambda)]$$

where  $SS_{res}(\lambda)$  is the sum of squares due to residuals which is a function of  $\lambda$ . Now maximize  $L(\lambda)$  with respect to  $\lambda$ . It is difficult to obtain any closed form of the estimator of  $\lambda$ . So we maximize it numerically.

The function  $-\frac{n}{2} \ln [SS_{res}(\lambda)]$  is called as the **Box-Cox objective function**.

Let  $\lambda_{\max}$  be the value of  $\lambda$  which maximizes the Box-Cox objective function. Then under fairly general conditions, for any other  $\lambda$

$$n \ln [SS_{res}(\lambda)] - n \ln [SS_{res}(\lambda_{\max})]$$

has approximately  $\chi^2(1)$  distribution. This result is based on the large sample behaviour of the likelihood ratio statistic. This is explained as follows:

The likelihood ratio test statistic in our case is

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_n \equiv \eta &= \frac{Max_{\Omega_o} L}{Max_{\Omega} L} \\ &= \frac{Max_{\Omega_o} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}}}{Max_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \\ &= \frac{\left( \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^2(\lambda)} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\left( \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^2(\lambda_{\max})} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \\ &= \left( \frac{1/SS_{res}(\lambda)}{1/SS_{res}(\lambda_{\max})} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
\ln \eta &= \frac{n}{2} \ln \left( \frac{SS_{res}(\lambda_{\max})}{SS_{res}(\lambda)} \right) \\
-\ln \eta &= \frac{n}{2} \ln \left( \frac{SS_{res}(\lambda)}{SS_{res}(\lambda_{\max})} \right) \\
&= \frac{n}{2} \ln [SS_{res}(\lambda)] - \frac{n}{2} \ln [SS_{res}(\lambda_{\max})] \\
&= -L(\lambda) + L(\lambda_{\max})
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
L(\lambda) &= -\frac{n}{2} \ln [SS_{res}(\lambda)] \\
L(\lambda_{\max}) &= -\frac{n}{2} \ln [SS_{res}(\lambda_{\max})].
\end{aligned}$$

Since under certain regularity conditions,  $-2 \ln \eta_n$  converges in distribution to  $\chi^2(1)$  when the null hypothesis is true, so

$$\begin{aligned}
-2 \ln \eta &\sim \chi^2(1) \\
\text{or } -\ln \eta &\sim \frac{\chi^2(1)}{2} \\
\text{or } L(\lambda_{\max}) - L(\lambda) &\sim \frac{\chi^2(1)}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$