

**MODULE 6****RANDOM VECTOR AND ITS JOINT DISTRIBUTION****LECTURE 28****Topics****6.3 CONDITIONAL DISTRIBUTIONS****6.4 INDEPENDENT RANDOM VARIABLES****6.3 CONDITIONAL DISTRIBUTIONS**

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space and let  $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_p): \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$  be a  $p$ -dimensional ( $p \geq 2$ ) random vector with distribution function  $F_{\underline{X}}(\cdot)$ .

**Definition 3.1**

Let  $D \in \mathcal{B}_p$  be such that  $P(\{\underline{X} \in D\}) > 0$ . Then the conditional distribution function of  $\underline{X}$  given that  $\underline{X} \in D$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\underline{X}|D}(\underline{x}) &= P(\{\underline{X} \in (-\infty, \underline{x}]\} | \{\underline{X} \in D\}) \\ &= \frac{P(\{\underline{X} \in (-\infty, \underline{x}] \cap D\})}{P(\{\underline{X} \in D\})} \\ &= \frac{P(\{X_1 \leq x_1, \dots, X_p \leq x_p, \underline{X} \in D\})}{P(\{\underline{X} \in D\})}, \quad \underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^p. \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

For a given  $D \in \mathcal{B}_p$  it can be verified that  $F_{\underline{X}|D}(\cdot)$  is a distribution function, i.e., it satisfies properties (i) – (iv) of Theorem 1.3. For a fixed  $k \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$ , let  $\underline{Y} = (X_1, \dots, X_k) (= (Y_1, \dots, Y_k), \text{ say})$  and  $\underline{Z} = (X_{k+1}, \dots, X_p) (= (Z_1, \dots, Z_{p-k}), \text{ say})$ , so that  $\underline{X} = (\underline{Y}, \underline{Z})$ . In many situations it may be of interest to study the conditional probability distribution of numerical characteristic  $\underline{Y}$  given a fixed value of numerical characteristic  $\underline{Z}$ . For example if  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  denote respectively the heights and weights of newly born babies in a community then it may be of interest to study the

probability distribution of heights of babies having weight of 3Kg (i.e., conditional distribution of  $X_1$  given that  $\{X_2 = 3\}$ ).

To make the above discussion precise, first suppose that  $\underline{X} = (\underline{Y}, \underline{Z})$  is of discrete type so that  $\underline{Y}$  and  $\underline{Z}$  are also of discrete type (see Theorem 2.1 (i)). Let  $S_{\underline{X}}$ ,  $S_{\underline{Y}}$  and  $S_{\underline{Z}}$  denote the supports of  $\underline{X}$ ,  $\underline{Y}$  and  $\underline{Z}$  respectively. Further let  $f_{\underline{X}}(\cdot) \doteq f_{\underline{Y}, \underline{Z}}(\cdot)$  and  $f_{\underline{Z}}(\cdot)$  denote the joint p.m.f.s of  $\underline{X} = (\underline{Y}, \underline{Z})$  and  $\underline{Z}$ , respectively. Let  $\underline{z} \in S_{\underline{Z}}$  be fixed such that  $f_{\underline{Z}}(\underline{z}) = P(\{\underline{Z} = \underline{z}\}) > 0$ . Define  $S_{\underline{Y}|\underline{Z}=\underline{z}} = \{\underline{y} \in \mathbb{R}^k : (\underline{y}, \underline{z}) \in S_{\underline{X}}\}$ . Then  $S_{\underline{Y}|\underline{Z}=\underline{z}} \subseteq S_{\underline{Y}} = \{\underline{y} \in \mathbb{R}^p : (\underline{y}, \underline{t}) \in S_{\underline{X}}, \text{ for some } \underline{t} \in \mathbb{R}^{p-k}\}$  and, using Definition 3.1, the conditional distribution function of  $\underline{Y}$  given  $\{\underline{Z} = \underline{z}\}$  ( $= \{\underline{Z} \in \{\underline{z}\}\}$ ) is given by

$$F_{\underline{Y}|\underline{Z}}(\underline{y}|\underline{z}) = \frac{P(\{Y_1 \leq y_1, \dots, Y_k \leq y_k, \underline{Z} = \underline{z}\})}{P(\{\underline{Z} = \underline{z}\})}, \quad \underline{y} \in \mathbb{R}^k \quad (3.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{\sum_{\underline{x} \in S_{\underline{Y}|\underline{Z}=\underline{z}} \cap ((-\infty, \underline{y}])} f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}, \underline{z})}{f_{\underline{Z}}(\underline{z})} \\ &= \sum_{\underline{x} \in S_{\underline{Y}|\underline{Z}=\underline{z}} \cap ((-\infty, \underline{y}])} \frac{f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}, \underline{z})}{f_{\underline{Z}}(\underline{z})}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Clearly the p.m.f. corresponding to distribution function  $F_{\underline{Y}|\underline{Z}}(\cdot|\underline{z})$  is (see Remark 2.1 (xi))

$$f_{\underline{Y}|\underline{Z}}(\underline{y}|\underline{z}) = \begin{cases} \frac{f_{\underline{Y}, \underline{Z}}(\underline{y}, \underline{z})}{f_{\underline{Z}}(\underline{z})}, & \text{if } \underline{y} \in S_{\underline{Y}|\underline{Z}=\underline{z}} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

$$= \frac{f_{\underline{Y}, \underline{Z}}(\underline{y}, \underline{z})}{f_{\underline{Z}}(\underline{z})}, \quad \underline{y} \in \mathbb{R}^k \quad (3.4)$$

$$= P(\{\underline{Y} = \underline{y} | \underline{Z} = \underline{z}\}), \quad \underline{y} \in \mathbb{R}^k.$$

The above discussion leads to the following definition.

**Definition 3.2**

Let  $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_p)$  be a discrete type random vector. Then, under the above notation,

- (i) the conditional p.m.f. of  $\underline{Y}$  given  $\underline{Z} = \underline{z}$  (where  $\underline{z} \in S_{\underline{Z}}$  is fixed) is defined by (3.3) (or (3.4));
- (ii) the conditional distribution function of  $\underline{Y}$  given  $\underline{Z} = \underline{z}$  (where  $\underline{z} \in S_{\underline{Z}}$  is fixed) is defined by (3.1) (or (3.2)); ■

Now suppose that  $\underline{X} = (\underline{Y}, \underline{Z})$  is of absolutely continuous type so that  $\underline{Y}$  and  $\underline{Z}$  are also of absolutely continuous type (see Theorem 2.1 (ii)). Let  $f_{\underline{X}}(\cdot) \doteq f_{\underline{Y}, \underline{Z}}(\cdot)$ ,  $f_{\underline{Y}}(\cdot)$  and  $f_{\underline{Z}}(\cdot)$  denote the p.d.f.s. of  $\underline{X}$ ,  $\underline{Y}$  and  $\underline{Z}$  respectively. Then we have  $P(\{\underline{Z} = \underline{z}\}) = 0, \forall \underline{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{p-k}$  (Remark 2.1 (viii)) and therefore conditional distribution function of  $\underline{Y}$  given  $\{\underline{Z} = \underline{z}\}$  cannot be defined by (3.1). For  $\underline{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{p-k}$ , note that

$$\{\underline{Z} = \underline{z}\} = \bigcap_{n_1=1}^{\infty} \cdots \bigcap_{n_{p-k}=1}^{\infty} \left\{ z_i - \frac{1}{n_i} < Z_i \leq z_i, i = 1, \dots, p-k \right\},$$

and therefore, using continuity of probability measures,

$$\begin{aligned} P(\{\underline{Z} = \underline{z}\}) &= \lim_{\substack{n_i \rightarrow \infty \\ i=1, \dots, p-k}} P\left(\left\{ z_i - \frac{1}{n_i} < Z_i \leq z_i, i = 1, \dots, p-k \right\}\right) \\ &= \lim_{\substack{h_i \downarrow 0 \\ i=1, \dots, p-k}} P(\{z_i - h_i < Z_i \leq z_i, i = 1, \dots, p-k\}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus if  $\underline{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{p-k}$  is such that

$$P(\{z_i - \delta_i < Z_i \leq z_i, i = 1, \dots, p-k\}) > 0, \forall \underline{\delta} = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{p-k}) \in (0, \infty)^{p-k}, \quad (3.5)$$

then the conditional distribution function of  $\underline{Y}$  given  $\underline{Z} = \underline{z}$  may be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\underline{Y}|\underline{Z}}(\underline{y}|\underline{z}) &= \lim_{\substack{h_i \downarrow 0 \\ i=1, \dots, p-k}} P(\{Y_i \leq y_i, i = 1, \dots, k\} | \{z_i - h_i < Z_i \leq z_i, i = 1, \dots, p-k\}) \quad (3.6) \\ &= \lim_{\substack{h_i \downarrow 0 \\ i=1, \dots, p-k}} \frac{P(\{Y_i \leq y_i, i = 1, \dots, k, z_i - h_i < Z_i \leq z_i, i = 1, \dots, p-k\})}{P(\{z_i - h_i < Z_i \leq z_i, i = 1, \dots, p-k\})} \\ &= \lim_{\substack{h_i \downarrow 0 \\ i=1, \dots, p-k}} \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{y_1} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{y_k} \int_{z_1-h_1}^{z_1} \cdots \int_{z_{p-k}-h_{p-k}}^{z_{p-k}} f_{\underline{Y}, \underline{Z}}(\underline{s}, \underline{t}) d\underline{t} d\underline{s}}{\int_{z_1-h_1}^{z_1} \cdots \int_{z_{p-k}-h_{p-k}}^{z_{p-k}} f_{\underline{Z}}(\underline{t}) d\underline{t}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-\infty}^{y_1} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{y_k} \left\{ \lim_{\substack{h_i \downarrow 0 \\ i=1, \dots, p-k}} \frac{1}{h_1 \dots h_{p-k}} \int_{z_1-h_1}^{z_1} \dots \int_{z_{p-k}-h_{p-k}}^{z_{p-k}} f_{Y,Z}(\underline{s}, \underline{t}) d\underline{t} \right\} d\underline{s} \\
&= \frac{\lim_{\substack{h_i \downarrow 0 \\ i=1, \dots, p-k}} \frac{1}{h_1 \dots h_{p-k}} \int_{z_1-h_1}^{z_1} \dots \int_{z_{p-k}-h_{p-k}}^{z_{p-k}} f_Z(\underline{t}) d\underline{t}}{\int_{-\infty}^{y_1} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{y_k} f_{Y,Z}(\underline{s}, \underline{z}) d\underline{s}} \\
&= \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{y_1} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{y_k} f_{Y,Z}(\underline{s}, \underline{z}) d\underline{s}}{f_Z(\underline{z})} \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{y_1} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{y_k} \frac{f_{Y,Z}(\underline{s}, \underline{z})}{f_Z(\underline{z})} d\underline{s}, \quad \underline{y} \in \mathbb{R}^k, \tag{3.7}
\end{aligned}$$

provided  $f_Z(\underline{z}) > 0$  and  $\underline{z}$  is such that (3.5) is satisfied. In that case the p.d.f corresponding to distribution function  $F_{Y|Z}(\cdot | \underline{z})$  is given by

$$f_{Y|Z}(\underline{y} | \underline{z}) = \frac{f_{Y,Z}(\underline{y}, \underline{z})}{f_Z(\underline{z})}, \quad \underline{y} \in \mathbb{R}^k. \tag{3.8}$$

The above discussion is summarized in the following definition.

**Definition 3.3**

Let  $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_p)$  be a random vector of absolutely continuous type. Let  $\underline{z} \in \mathbb{R}^k$  be such that  $f_Z(\underline{z}) > 0$  and it satisfies (3.5). Then

- (i) the conditional p.d.f. of  $\underline{Y}$  given  $\underline{Z} = \underline{z}$  is defined by (3.8);
- (ii) the conditional distribution function of  $\underline{Y}$  given  $\underline{Z} = \underline{z}$  is defined by (3.6) (or (3.7)). ■

**Remark 3.1**

Using (3.4) and (3.8), for fixed  $\underline{z} \in D = \{\underline{t} \in \mathbb{R}^{p-k} : f_{Y|Z}(\cdot | \underline{t}) \text{ is defined}\}$ , the conditional p.m.f./p.d.f. of  $\underline{Y}$  given  $\underline{Z} = \underline{z}$  is given by

$$f_{Y|Z}(\underline{y} | \underline{z}) = c(\underline{z}) f_{Y,Z}(\underline{y}, \underline{z}), \quad \underline{y} \in \mathbb{R}^k,$$

where  $c(\underline{z})$  is the normalizing constant. ■

**Example 3.1**

Let  $\underline{X} = (X_1, X_2, X_3)$  be a discrete type random vector with p.m.f.

$$f_{\underline{X}}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \begin{cases} \frac{x_1 x_2 x_3}{72}, & \text{if } (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \{1, 2\} \times \{1, 2\} \times \{1, 3\} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

(i) Find the conditional p.m.f. of  $X_1$  given that  $(X_2, X_3) = (2, 1)$ ;

(ii) Find the conditional p.m.f. of  $(X_1, X_3)$  given that  $X_2 = 3$ .

**Solution.**

(i) We have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{X_1|(X_2, X_3)}(x_1|(2, 1)) &= \frac{P(\{X_1 = x_1, X_2 = 2, X_3 = 1\})}{P(\{(X_2, X_3) = (2, 1)\})} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{2x_1}{72 P(\{X_2 = 2, X_3 = 1\})}, & \text{if } x_1 \in \{1, 2\} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(\{X_2 = 2, X_3 = 1\}) &= \sum_{x_1=1}^2 P(\{X_1 = x_1, X_2 = 2, X_3 = 1\}) \\ &= \frac{2}{72} (1 + 2) \\ &= \frac{1}{12}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$f_{X_1|(X_2, X_3)}(x_1|(2, 1)) = \begin{cases} \frac{x_1}{3}, & \text{if } x_1 \in \{1, 2\} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

(ii) We have

$$f_{X_1, X_3|X_2}(x_1, x_3|3) = \frac{P(\{X_1 = x_1, X_2 = 3, X_3 = x_3\})}{P(\{X_2 = 3\})}.$$

Using Example 2.2,  $P(\{X_2 = 3\}) = \frac{1}{2}$  and therefore

$$f_{X_1, X_3|X_2}(x_1, x_3|3) = \begin{cases} \frac{x_1 x_3}{12}, & \text{if } (x_1, x_3) \in \{1, 2\} \times \{1, 3\} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}. \blacksquare$$

**Example 3.2**

Let  $\underline{X} = (X_1, X_2, X_3)$  be a random vector of absolutely continuous type with joint p.d.f.

$$f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x_1 x_2}, & \text{if } 0 < x_3 < x_2 < x_1 < 1. \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- (i) For  $0 < x_3 < x_2 < 1$ , find the conditional p.d.f. of  $X_1$  given  $(X_2, X_3) = (x_2, x_3)$ ;
- (ii) For  $0 < x_2 < 1$ , find the conditional p.d.f. of  $(X_1, X_3)$  given  $X_2 = x_2$ .

**Solution.**

- (i) For  $0 < x_3 < x_2 < 1$

$$f_{X_1|(X_2, X_3)}(x_1|(x_2, x_3)) = \frac{f_{X_1, X_2, X_3}(x_1, x_2, x_3)}{f_{X_2, X_3}(x_2, x_3)}, \quad x_1 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Using Example 2.3 (ii), for  $0 < x_3 < x_2 < 1$ , we have

$$f_{X_2, X_3}(x_2, x_3) = -\frac{\ln x_2}{x_2}.$$

Therefore,

$$f_{X_1|(X_2, X_3)}(x_1|x_2, x_3) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{x_1 \ln x_2}, & \text{if } x_2 < x_1 < 1. \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Alternatively  $f_{X_1|(X_2, X_3)}(x_1|x_2, x_3)$  can be found by using Remark 3.1.

- (ii) For  $0 < x_2 < 1$ ,

$$f_{X_1, X_3|X_2}(x_1, x_3|x_2) = \frac{f_{X_1, X_2, X_3}(x_1, x_2, x_3)}{f_{X_2}(x_2)}, \quad (x_1, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Using Example 2.3 (iii) we have, for  $0 < x_2 < 1$ ,

$$f_{X_2}(x_2) = -\ln x_2.$$

Therefore, for  $0 < x_2 < 1$ ,

$$f_{X_1, X_3 | X_2}(x_1, x_3 | x_2) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{x_1 x_2 \ln x_2}, & \text{if } x_2 < x_1 < 1, \ 0 < x_3 < x_2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Alternatively  $f_{X_1, X_3}(x_1, x_3 | x_2)$  can be found using Remark 3.1. ■

## 6.4 INDEPENDENT RANDOM VARIABLES

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space and let  $\{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a collection of random variables, where  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is a non-empty index set.

### Definition 4.1

The random variables  $\{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  are said to be (statistically) independent if for any finite sub collection  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p\} \subseteq \Lambda$  we have

$$F_{X_{\lambda_1}, \dots, X_{\lambda_p}}(x_1, \dots, x_p) = \prod_{i=1}^p F_{X_{\lambda_i}}(x_i), \quad \forall \underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p. \blacksquare$$

The observations made in the following remark are immediate from Definition 4.1.

### Remark 4.1

- (i) The random variables  $\{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  are independent if, and only if, every finite sub collection  $\{X_{\lambda_1}, \dots, X_{\lambda_p}\} \subseteq \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  constitutes a collection of independent random variables;
- (ii) Suppose that  $\Lambda_1 \subseteq \Lambda_2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and  $\Lambda_1 \neq \emptyset$ . Then

$$\{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda_2\} \text{ are independent} \Rightarrow \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda_1\} \text{ are independent};$$

- (iii) It can be shown that (see Theorem 5.3 (ii) in the sequel)  $X_1, \dots, X_p$  are independent if, and only if, for any  $A_i \in \mathcal{B}_1, i = 1, \dots, p$ ,

$$P(\{X_i \in A_i, i = 1, \dots, p\}) = \prod_{i=1}^p P(\{X_i \in A_i\}). \blacksquare$$

**Theorem 4.1**

Let  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_p)$  be a  $p$ -dimensional ( $p \geq 2$ ) random vector with joint distribution function  $F_{X_1, \dots, X_p}(\cdot)$ . Let  $F_{X_i}(\cdot)$  denote the marginal distribution function of  $X_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, p$ . Then the random variables  $X_1, \dots, X_p$  are independent if, and only if,

$$F_{X_1, \dots, X_p}(x_1, \dots, x_p) = \prod_{i=1}^p F_{X_i}(x_i), \quad \forall \underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p. \quad (4.1)$$

**Proof.** First suppose that  $X_1, \dots, X_p$  are independent. Then, by definition, (4.1) obviously holds. Conversely suppose that (4.1) holds. Then, for any  $\underline{y} \in \mathbb{R}^p$  and any permutation  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p)$  of  $(1, \dots, p)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} P(\{X_i \leq y_i, i = 1, \dots, p\}) &= \prod_{i=1}^p P(\{X_i \leq y_i\}) \\ \Rightarrow P(\{X_{\beta_i} \leq y_{\beta_i}, i = 1, \dots, p\}) &= \prod_{i=1}^p P(\{X_{\beta_i} \leq y_{\beta_i}\}) \\ \Rightarrow F_{X_{\beta_1}, \dots, X_{\beta_p}}(y_{\beta_1}, \dots, y_{\beta_p}) &= \prod_{i=1}^p F_{X_{\beta_i}}(y_{\beta_i}), \quad \forall \underline{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p, \underline{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p) \in S_p, \end{aligned}$$

where  $S_p$  denotes the set of all permutations of  $(1, \dots, p)$ . It follows that, for any  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p) \in S_p$  and any  $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ ,

$$F_{X_{\beta_1}, \dots, X_{\beta_p}}(x_1, \dots, x_p) = \prod_{i=1}^p F_{X_{\beta_i}}(x_i). \quad (4.2)$$

Let  $q \in \{2, \dots, p\}$  and let  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_q\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, p\} = \Lambda$ , say. Let  $\lambda_{q+1}, \dots, \lambda_p$  be such that  $\Lambda - \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_q\} = \{\lambda_{q+1}, \dots, \lambda_p\}$ . Then  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_q, \lambda_{q+1}, \dots, \lambda_p) \in S_p$  and by Lemma 1.2

$$\begin{aligned} F_{X_{\lambda_1}, \dots, X_{\lambda_q}}(x_1, \dots, x_q) &= \lim_{\substack{x_j \rightarrow \infty \\ j=q+1, \dots, p}} F_{X_{\lambda_1}, \dots, X_{\lambda_p}}(x_1, \dots, x_p) \\ &= \lim_{\substack{x_j \rightarrow \infty \\ j=q+1, \dots, p}} \prod_{l=1}^p F_{X_{\lambda_l}}(x_l) \quad (\text{using (4.2)}) \end{aligned}$$



$$= \prod_{l=1}^q F_{X_{\lambda_l}}(x_l), \quad \forall \underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_q) \in \mathbb{R}^q.$$

Hence the result follows. ■

The following remark is immediate from the above theorem and Remark 1.2(ii).

**Remark 4.2**

Random variables  $X_1, \dots, X_p$  are independent if, and only if, for any  $\underline{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p) \in S_p$  the random variables  $X_{\beta_1}, \dots, X_{\beta_p}$  are independent. ■

**Theorem 4.2**

Let  $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_p)$  be a  $p$ -dimensional ( $p \geq 2$ ) random vector of either discrete type or of absolutely continuous type. Let  $f_{X_1, \dots, X_p}(\cdot)$  denote the joint p.m.f. (or p.d.f.) of  $\underline{X}$  and let  $f_{X_i}(\cdot)$  denote the marginal p.m.f. (or p.d.f.) of  $X_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, p$ . Then

(i)  $X_1, \dots, X_p$  are independent if, and only if,

$$f_{X_1, \dots, X_p}(x_1, \dots, x_p) = \prod_{i=1}^p f_{X_i}(x_i), \quad \forall \underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p. \quad (4.3)$$

(ii)  $X_1, \dots, X_p$  are independent if, and only if,

$$f_{X_1, \dots, X_p}(x_1, \dots, x_p) = \prod_{i=1}^p g_i(x_i), \quad \forall \underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p, \quad (4.4)$$

for some non-negative functions  $g_1(\cdot), \dots, g_p(\cdot)$ . In that case  $f_{X_i}(x_i) = d_i g_i(x_i)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, \dots, p$  for some positive constants  $d_1, \dots, d_p$ .

(iii)  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_p$  are independent  $\Rightarrow S_{\underline{X}} = \prod_{i=1}^p S_{X_i}$ , where, for a random variable  $\underline{Y}$ ,  $S_{\underline{Y}} = \{\underline{y} \in \mathbb{R}^p : f_{\underline{Y}}(\underline{y}) > 0\}$ .

**Proof.**

(i) For notational simplicity we will provide the proof for  $p = 2$ .

**Case I.**  $\underline{X}$  is of discrete type

Let  $S_{\underline{X}}$  be the support of  $\underline{X} = (X_1, X_2)$  and let  $S_{X_i}$  be the support of  $X_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$ . First suppose that (4.3) holds. Then clearly  $S_{\underline{X}} = S_{X_1} \times S_{X_2}$  (see (iii) proved in the sequel).

Therefore, for  $\underline{x} = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2) &= \sum_{\underline{y} \in S_{\underline{X}} \cap ((-\infty, \underline{x}])} f_{X_1, X_2}(y_1, y_2) \\
&= \sum_{y_1 \in S_{X_1} \cap (-\infty, x_1]} \sum_{y_2 \in S_{X_2} \cap (-\infty, x_2]} f_{X_1}(y_1) f_{X_2}(y_2) \quad (S_{\underline{X}} = S_{X_1} \times S_{X_2}) \\
&= \left( \sum_{y_1 \in S_{X_1} \cap (-\infty, x_1]} f_{X_1}(y_1) \right) \left( \sum_{y_2 \in S_{X_2} \cap (-\infty, x_2]} f_{X_2}(y_2) \right) \\
&= F_{X_1}(x_1) F_{X_2}(x_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem 4.1 it follows that  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are independent.

Conversely suppose that  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are independent. Then, by Theorem 4.1,

$$F_{X_1, X_2}(z_1, z_2) = F_{X_1}(z_1) F_{X_2}(z_2), \quad \forall \underline{z} = (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Let  $\underline{x} = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Define  $\underline{x}_n = \left(x_1 - \frac{1}{n}, x_2 - \frac{1}{n}\right)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then, by Remark 2.1 (v),

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2) &= P(\{X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2\}) \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^2 \sum_{\underline{z} \in \Delta_{k,2}((\underline{x}_n, \underline{x}))} F_{X_1, X_2}(z_1, z_2) \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [F_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2) - F_{X_1, X_2}\left(x_1 - \frac{1}{n}, x_2\right) - F_{X_1, X_2}\left(x_1, x_2 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \\
&\quad + F_{X_1, X_2}\left(x_1 - \frac{1}{n}, x_2 - \frac{1}{n}\right)] \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [F_{X_1}(x_1) F_{X_2}(x_2) - F_{X_1}\left(x_1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) F_{X_2}(x_2) - F_{X_1}(x_1) F_{X_2}\left(x_2 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \\
&\quad + F_{X_1}\left(x_1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) F_{X_2}\left(x_2 - \frac{1}{n}\right)] \\
&= F_{X_1}(x_1) F_{X_2}(x_2) - F_{X_1}(x_1 -) F_{X_2}(x_2) - F_{X_1}(x_1) F_{X_2}(x_2 -) + F_{X_1}(x_1 -) F_{X_2}(x_2 -) \\
&= F_{X_2}(x_2) [F_{X_1}(x_1) - F_{X_1}(x_1 -)] - F_{X_2}(x_2 -) [F_{X_1}(x_1) - F_{X_1}(x_1 -)] \\
&= [F_{X_1}(x_1) - F_{X_1}(x_1 -)] [F_{X_2}(x_2) - F_{X_2}(x_2 -)] \\
&= P(\{X_1 = x_1\}) P(\{X_2 = x_2\})
\end{aligned}$$

$$= f_{X_1}(x_1)f_{X_2}(x_2),$$

i.e., (4.3) holds.

**Case II.**  $\underline{X}$  is of absolutely continuous type

First suppose that (4.3) holds. Then, for  $\underline{x} = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} F_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2) &= \int_{-\infty}^{x_1} \int_{-\infty}^{x_2} f_{X_1, X_2}(y_1, y_2) dy_2 dy_1 \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{x_1} \int_{-\infty}^{x_2} f_{X_1}(y_1) f_{X_2}(y_2) dy_2 dy_1 \\ &= \left( \int_{-\infty}^{x_1} f_{X_1}(y_1) dy_1 \right) \left( \int_{-\infty}^{x_2} f_{X_2}(y_2) dy_2 \right) \\ &= F_{X_1}(x_1) F_{X_2}(x_2). \end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem 4.1 it follows that  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are independent.

Conversely suppose that  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are independent. Then, by Theorem 4.1,

$$F_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2) = F_{X_1}(x_1) F_{X_2}(x_2), \quad \forall \underline{x} = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

For simplicity assume that  $f_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2)$  is continuous everywhere. Then, by Remark 2.1 (xiii)

$$\begin{aligned} f_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2) &= \frac{\partial^2 F_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} \\ &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} (F_{X_1}(x_1) F_{X_2}(x_2)) \\ &= \left( \frac{\partial F_{X_1}(x_1)}{\partial x_1} \right) \left( \frac{\partial F_{X_2}(x_2)}{\partial x_2} \right) \\ &= f_{X_1}(x_1) f_{X_2}(x_2), \quad \forall \underline{x} = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \end{aligned}$$

- (ii) First suppose that  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are independent. Then clearly (4.4) holds with the choice  $g_i(x_i) = f_{X_i}(x_i)$ ,  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Conversely suppose that (4.4) holds. Let

$$c_i = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_i(x) dx, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

so that  $c_1 \geq 0, c_2 \geq 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 c_2 &= \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_1(x_1) dx_1 \right) \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_2(x_2) dx_2 \right) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_1(x_1) g_2(x_2) dx_2 dx_1 \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2) dx_2 dx_1 \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $c_1 > 0, c_2 > 0$  and  $c_1 c_2 = 1$ . Also

$$\begin{aligned} f_{X_1}(x_1) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2) dx_2 \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_1(x_1) g_2(x_2) dx_2 \\ &= c_2 g_1(x_1), \quad x_1 \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$f_{X_2}(x_2) = c_1 g_2(x_2), \quad x_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2) &= g_1(x_1) g_2(x_2) \\ &= (c_1 g_1(x_1)) (c_2 g_2(x_2)) \quad (c_1 c_2 = 1) \\ &= f_{X_1}(x_1) f_{X_2}(x_2), \quad \forall \underline{x} = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using (i) it follows that  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are independent.

(iii) Since  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are independent by (i),  $f_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2) = f_{X_1}(x_1) f_{X_2}(x_2) \forall \underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\underline{X}} &= \{(x_1, x_2): f_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2) > 0\} \\
&= \{(x_1, x_2): f_{X_1}(x_1)f_{X_2}(x_2) > 0\} \\
&= \{x: f_{X_1}(x) > 0\} \times \{y: f_{X_2}(y) > 0\} \\
&= S_{X_1} \times S_{X_2}. \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

**Remark 4.3**

- (i) Let  $\underline{X} = (X_1, X_2)$  be a bivariate vector of either discrete type or of absolutely continuous type. Let  $D = \{x_2 \in \mathbb{R}: f_{X_1|X_2}(\cdot | x_2) \text{ is defined}\}$ . Then by Theorem 4.2 (i)

$$X_1 \text{ and } X_2 \text{ are independent} \Leftrightarrow f_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2) = f_{X_1}(x_1)f_{X_2}(x_2), \forall \underline{x} = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{f_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2)}{f_{X_2}(x_2)} = f_{X_1}(x_1), \forall x_1 \in \mathbb{R}, x_2 \in D$$

$$\Leftrightarrow f_{X_1|X_2}(x_1|x_2) = f_{X_1}(x_1), \forall x_1 \in \mathbb{R}, x_2 \in D.$$

It follows that  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are independent if, and only if, for every  $x_2 \in D$  the conditional distribution of  $X_1$  given  $X_2 = x_2$  is the same as unconditional distribution of  $X_1$ . Similarly, by symmetry,  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are independent if, and only if, for every  $x_1 \in E = \{t \in \mathbb{R}: f_{X_2|X_1}(\cdot | t) \text{ is defined}\}$  the conditional distribution of  $X_2$  given  $X_1 = x_1$  is the same as the unconditional distribution of  $X_2$ .

- (ii) Let  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be an arbitrary non-empty index set, and let  $\{\underline{X}_\lambda: \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a collection of random vectors defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , where  $\underline{X}_\lambda$  may be of different dimensions. One can define the independence of random vectors  $\{\underline{X}_\lambda: \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  by extending Definition 4.1 in an obvious manner. We say that the random vectors  $\{\underline{X}_\lambda: \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  are independent if for any finite subcollection  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p\} \subseteq \Lambda$ , we have

$$F_{\underline{X}_{\lambda_1}, \dots, \underline{X}_{\lambda_p}}(\underline{x}_1, \dots, \underline{x}_p) = P(\{\underline{X}_{\lambda_i} \in (-\infty, \underline{x}_i], i = 1, \dots, p\})$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^p P(\{\underline{X}_{\lambda_i} \in (-\infty, \underline{x}_i]\})$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^p F_{\underline{X}_{\lambda_i}}(\underline{x}_i), \forall \underline{x}_1, \dots, \underline{x}_p.$$

With above definition of independence of random vectors  $\{\underline{X}_\lambda: \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  the results stated in Theorem 4.1 and 4.2 hold with random variables  $X_1, \dots, X_p$  replaced by random vectors  $\underline{X}_1, \dots, \underline{X}_p$ . Moreover, Remarks 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 (i) also hold with random variables  $X_\lambda$ s replaced by random vectors  $\underline{X}_\lambda$ s.

- (iii) Let  $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_p)$  be a random vector and let  $k_1, \dots, k_r$  be positive integers such that  $\sum_{i=1}^r k_i = p$ . Define  $\underline{Y}_1 = (X_1, \dots, X_{k_1})$ ,  $\underline{Y}_2 = (X_{k_1+1}, \dots, X_{k_1+k_2})$  and  $\underline{Y}_i = (X_{\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} k_j + 1}, \dots, X_{\sum_{j=1}^i k_j})$ ,  $i = 2, 3, \dots, r$ . Suppose that  $X_1, \dots, X_p$  are independent random variables. Then, on using the analog of Theorem 4.1 for random vectors, it follows that  $\underline{Y}_1, \dots, \underline{Y}_r$  are independent random vectors. ■