

## Module 8: Linear Multistep Methods

## Lecture 32: Some more methods for Absolute &amp; Relative Stability

The Lecture Contains:

- [Routh-Hurwitz Criterion](#)
- [Boundary Locus method](#)
- [Problems](#)

[◀ Previous](#)   [Next ▶](#)

### 3. Routh-Hurwitz Criterion:

An alternative to Schur criterion consists of applying a transformation which maps the interior of the unit circle into the left hand half plane, and then appealing to the well-known Routh-Hurwitz criterion, which gives necessary and sufficient condition for the roots of a polynomial to have negative real parts. The appropriate transformation is  $r = (1 + Z)/(1 - Z)$ ; this maps the circle  $|r| = 1$  into the imaginary axis  $\Re_e Z = 0$ , the interior of the circle into the half plane  $\Re_e Z < 0$ , and the point  $r = 1$  into  $Z = 0$ . Under this transformation, the stability polynomial becomes

$$\rho((1+Z)/(1-Z)) - \bar{h} \sigma((1+Z)/(1-Z)) = 0.$$

On multiplying through by  $(1 - Z)^K$ , this becomes a polynomial equation of degree  $K$ , which we write

$$a_0 Z^K + a_1 Z^{K-1} + \dots + a_K = 0 \quad (8.37)$$

where, we assume without loss of generality that  $a_0 > 0$ . The necessary and sufficient condition for the roots of (8.37) to lie in the half plane  $\Re_e Z < 0$ , that is, for the roots of  $\pi(r, \bar{h}) = 0$  to lie within the circle  $|r| < 1$ , is that all the leading principal minors of  $Q$  be positive, where  $Q$  is the  $K \times K$  matrix defined by

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_3 & a_5 & \dots & a_{2K-1} \\ a_0 & a_2 & a_4 & \dots & a_{2K-2} \\ 0 & a_1 & a_3 & \dots & a_{2K-3} \\ 0 & a_0 & a_2 & \dots & a_{2K-4} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & a_K \end{bmatrix}$$

and where  $a_j = 0$  if  $j > K$ . It can be shown that this condition implies  $a_j > 0, j = 0, 1, \dots, K$ . Thus the positivity of the coefficients in (8.37) is a necessary but not sufficient condition for absolute stability. For  $K = 2, 3, 4$  the necessary and sufficient condition for absolute stability given by this criterion are as follows,

$$K = 2 : a_0 > 0, a_1 > 0, a_2 > 0.$$

$$K = 3 : a_0 > 0, a_1 > 0, a_2 > 0, a_3 > 0, a_1 a_2 - a_3 a_0 > 0$$



**Example:** Use the Routh-Hurwitz criterion to investigate stability of

$$y_{n+2} - y_n = \frac{1}{2} h (f_{n+1} + 3f_n).$$

**Solution:** The stability polynomial is

$$\pi(r, \bar{h}) = r^2 - \frac{1}{2} \bar{h} r - \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} \bar{h}\right)$$

giving on transformation

$$(1 - Z)^2 \left[ \left(\frac{1+Z}{1-Z}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \bar{h} \left(\frac{1+Z}{1-Z}\right) - \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} \bar{h}\right) \right] = a_0 Z^2 + a_1 Z + a_2$$

where  $a_0 = -\bar{h}$ ,  $a_1 = 4 + 3\bar{h}$ ,  $a_2 = -2\bar{h}$ .

The Routh-Hurwitz criterion is clearly satisfied if and only if  $\bar{h} \in \left(-\frac{4}{3}, 0\right)$ , which is the required interval of absolute stability. If we investigate relative stability as given by the requirement  $|r_s| < \exp(\bar{h})$ ,  $s = 1, 2, \dots, K$ , we obtain

$$(1 - Z)^2 \left[ \left(\frac{1+Z}{1-Z}\right)^2 \exp(2\bar{h}) - \frac{1}{2} \bar{h} \left(\frac{1+Z}{1-Z}\right) \exp(\bar{h}) - \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} \bar{h}\right) \right] = a_0 Z^2 + a_1 Z + a_2$$

where, for relative stability

$$a_0 = \exp(2\bar{h}) + \frac{1}{2} \bar{h} \exp(\bar{h}) - 1 - \frac{3}{2} \bar{h} > 0$$

$$a_1 = 2 \exp(2\bar{h}) + 2 + 3\bar{h} > 0$$

$$a_2 = \exp(2\bar{h}) - \frac{1}{2} \bar{h} \exp(\bar{h}) - 1 - \frac{3}{2} \bar{h} > 0$$

we find once again that  $(0, \infty)$  is an interval of relative stability.

◀ Previous    Next ▶

4. **Boundary Locus method:** It requires neither the computation of roots of the polynomial nor the solving of simultaneous inequalities. The roots  $r_s$  of the stability polynomial are, in general, complex numbers; for the moment let us regard  $\bar{h}$  as complex. Then instead of defining an interval of absolute stability to be an interval of the real  $\bar{h}$  line such that the roots of  $\pi(r, \bar{h}) = 0$  lie within the unit circle whenever  $\bar{h}$  lies in the interior of the interval, we define a region of absolute stability to be a region of the complex  $\bar{h}$  - plane such that the roots of  $\pi(r, \bar{h}) = 0$  lie within the unit circle whenever  $\bar{h}$  lies in the interior of the region. Let us call the region  $R$  and its boundary  $\partial R$ . Since the roots of the roots of  $\pi(r, \bar{h}) = 0$  are continuous functions of  $\bar{h}$ ,  $\bar{h}$  will lie on  $\partial R$  when all of the roots of  $\pi(r, \bar{h}) = 0$  lie on the boundary of the unit circle, i.e., when  $\pi(\exp(i\theta), \bar{h}) = \rho(\exp(i\theta)) - \bar{h} \sigma(\exp(i\theta)) = 0$ . It follows that the of  $\partial R$  is given by  $\bar{h}(\theta) = \rho(\exp(i\theta)) / \sigma(\exp(i\theta))$ . For real  $\bar{h}$ , the end points of the interval of absolute stability will be given by the points at which  $\partial R$  cuts the real axis.

**Example:** Let us illustrate the method for  $y_{n+2} - y_n = \frac{1}{2} h (f_{n+1} + 3 f_n)$ .

For this method,  $\rho(r) = r^2 - 1$ ,  $\sigma(r) = \frac{1}{2}(r + 3)$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{h}(\theta) &= \rho(\exp(i\theta)) / \sigma(\exp(i\theta)) = 2 [\exp(2i\theta) - 1] / [\exp(i\theta) + 3] \\ &= [3(\cos 2\theta - 1) + i(3 \sin 2\theta + 2 \sin \theta)] / (5 + 3 \cos \theta) \end{aligned}$$

This is the locus of  $\partial R$ , and it crosses the real axis where  $\sin \theta = 0$  or  $3 \cos \theta = -1$ ,

i.e.  $\theta = 0, \pi, \pi \pm \cos^{-1}(\frac{1}{3})$ . At  $\theta = 0, \pi$   $\bar{h}(\theta) = 0$ , while at

$\theta = \pi \pm \cos^{-1}(\frac{1}{3})$ ,  $\bar{h}(\theta) = -\frac{4}{3}$ . The end points of the interval of absolute stability are thus  $-\frac{4}{3}$  and  $0$ .

◀ Previous    Next ▶

## Problems

1. Show that the operator associated with the difference method

$$y_{n+4} - y_n = \frac{4}{3}h (2f_{n+3} - f_{n+2} + 2f_{n+1})$$

is of order 4 and its error constant is  $\frac{7}{90}$ .

2. Determine the constants  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in such a way that the operator associated with

$$Y_{n+3} - Y_n + \alpha(Y_{n+2} - Y_{n+1}) = h\beta(f_{n+2} + f_{n+1})$$

is of order 4, and determine the error constant. Verify that the resulting method is unstable.

3. Find the most accurate implicit linear two-step method. Find its principle part of the local truncation error.
4. Show that the order of the linear multistep method

$$Y_{n+2} - Y_{n+1} = \frac{h}{12} (4f_{n+2} + 8f_{n+1} - f_n)$$

is zero. By finding the exact solution of the difference equation which arise when this method is applied to the initial value problem

$$Y' = 1, \quad y(0) = 0$$

and demonstrate that the method is indeed divergent.

5. Show that the order of the linear multistep method

$$Y_{n+2} + (b-1)Y_{n+1} - bY_n = \frac{h}{4} [(b+3)f_{n+2} + (3b+1)f_n]$$

is 2 if  $b \neq -1$  and is 3 if  $b = -1$ . Show that the method is zero-unstable if  $b = -1$ . Illustrate the resulting divergence of the method with  $b = -1$  by applying it to the initial value problem

$$Y' = Y \quad Y(0) = 1$$

and solving exactly the resulting difference equation when the starting values are  $Y_0 = 1, Y_1 = 1$ .

6. Find the range of  $\alpha$  for which the linear multistep method

$$Y_{n+3} + \alpha(Y_{n+2} - Y_{n+1}) - Y_n = \frac{(3+\alpha)h}{2} [f_{n+2} + f_{n+1}]$$

is zero-stable. Show that there exists a value of  $\alpha$  for which the method has order 4 but that if the method is to be zero-stable, its order cannot exceed 2.

7. If  $\rho(\xi) = \xi^4 - 1$ , find a  $\sigma(\xi)$  of degree four such that the method has maximum order. What is that order and what is the error constant?
8. If  $\sigma(\xi) = \xi^2$ . Find  $\rho(\xi)$  such that  $\rho(\xi)$  is of second degree and the order is two.
9. Find the region of absolute stability of the method given by

$$y_{n+1} - y_n = h f_{n+1}$$

10. Find the interval of absolute stability for the two-step Adams-Bashforth method given by

$$y_{n+2} - y_{n+1} = \frac{h}{2} (3 f_{n+1} - f_n)$$

◀ Previous   Next ▶