

The Lecture Contains:

This lecture introduces the local error in the case of Nystrom formula and the generalized Milne-Simpson method and derives such errors for the special cases, viz. the mid-point rule and the Milne-Simpson formula.

 **Previous** **Next** 

The error in Nystrom's formula is given by

$$\begin{aligned} y(t_{p+1}) - y(t_{p-1}) &= \\ & \int_{t_{p-1}}^{t_{p+1}} \sum_{m=0}^q (-1)^m \binom{-S}{m} \nabla^m y'(t_p) dt + \int_{t_{p-1}}^{t_{p+1}} (-1)^{q+1} \binom{-S}{q+1} h^{q+1} y^{(q+2)}(\xi) dt \\ &= h \sum_{m=0}^q K_m \nabla^m y'(t_p) + R_q^{NY} \end{aligned}$$

and similarly in the Generalized Milne-Simpson method, we have the error R_q^{MS} as

$$y(t_p) - y(t_{p-2}) = h \sum_{m=0}^q K_m^* \nabla^m y'(t_p) + R_q^{MS}$$

The method used above for getting the expression for the errors in Adams-Bashforth and Adams-Moulton formulas does not work here, since $\binom{-S}{q+1}$ now changes sign in the interval of integration. However, we confine ourselves to the derivation, again by special methods, of error formulas for the mid-point rule and for the special Milne formula. Specifically, we shall show that

$$R_0^{NY} = \frac{1}{3} h^3 y'''(\xi) \quad t_{p-1} < \xi < t_{p+1} \quad (7.28)$$

and

$$R_2^{MS} = -\frac{1}{90} h^5 y^{(5)}(\xi) \quad t_{p-2} < \xi < t_p \quad (7.29)$$

◀ Previous Next ▶

In order to prove (7.28), we may assume without loss of generality that $p = 0$ and we then have to show that (7.28) holds with $t_{p\pm 1} = \pm h$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R_0^N Y &= y(t_{p+1}) - y(t_{p-1}) - h K_0 \nabla^0 y'(t_p) \\ &= y(h) - y(-h) - 2h y'(0) \end{aligned} \quad (7.30)$$

Using Taylor's expansion with remainder, we have

$$y(h) = y(0) + h y'(0) + \frac{1}{2} h^2 y''(0) + \frac{1}{6} h^3 y'''(\xi_1)$$

where $0 < \xi_1 < h$, and similarly

$$y(-h) = y(0) - h y'(0) + \frac{1}{2} h^2 y''(0) - \frac{1}{6} h^3 y'''(\xi_2)$$

where $-h < \xi_2 < 0$. Inserting in (7.30), we get

$$R_0^N Y = \frac{1}{6} h^3 [y'''(\xi_1) + y'''(\xi_2)]$$

◀ Previous Next ▶

Since the function $y'''(\xi_1)$ is continuous, it assumes all values between $y'''(\xi_1)$ and $y'''(\xi_2)$ in the interval (ξ_2, ξ_1) . Therefore, for some $\xi \in (\xi_2, \xi_1)$

$$y'''(\xi_1) + y'''(\xi_2) = 2 y'''(\xi)$$

which establishes the desired result.

In order to prove (7.29), we may assume, again without loss of generality, that $p = 1, t_p = h$. We then have to show that (7.29) holds for some $\xi \in (-h, h)$ and

$$R_2^{MS} = y(t_p) - y(t_{p-2}) - h \sum_{m=0}^2 K_m^* \nabla^m y'(t_p)$$

$$= y(t_p) - y(t_{p-2}) - h \left[2 y'(t_p) - 2 \nabla^1 y'(t_p) + \frac{1}{3} \nabla^2 y'(t_p) \right]$$

$$= y(h) - y(-h) - h \left[2 y'(h) - 2 y'(h) + 2 y'(0) + \frac{1}{3} \nabla^2 y'(h) \right]$$

or

$$R_2^{MS} = y(h) - y(-h) - h \left[2 y'(0) + \frac{1}{3} \nabla^2 y'(h) \right]$$

◀ Previous Next ▶

It is easily verified that R_2^{MS} can be represented in terms of the definite integral

$$R_2^{MS} = \int_0^h \left[y'(t) + y'(-t) - 2y'(0) - \frac{t^2}{h^2} \nabla^2 y'(h) \right] dt$$

we now consider the function

$$F(x) = \int_0^x \left[y'(t) + y'(-t) - 2y'(0) - \frac{t^2}{h^2} \nabla^2 y'(h) \right] dt \\ - \lambda \int_0^x \left[\left(1 - \frac{t}{h}\right) + \left(1 + \frac{t}{h}\right) \right] dt$$

We have $F(0) = 0$, $F(-x) = -F(x)$, and select λ such that $F(h) = 0$. This is possible in view of

$$\int_0^h \left[\left(1 - \frac{t}{h}\right) + \left(1 + \frac{t}{h}\right) \right] dt = h \int_{-2}^0 \left(\frac{-s}{4} \right) ds \\ = h K_4^* = -\frac{1}{90} h \neq 0$$

with this choice of λ , we have

$$F(\pm h) = R_2^{MS} + \frac{1}{90} \lambda h = 0 \tag{7.31}$$



Since $F(\pm h) = F(0) = 0$, $F'(\pm \xi) = 0$ for some $\xi \in (0, h)$. But

$$F'(x) = y'(x) + y'(-x) - 2y'(0) - \frac{x^2}{h^2} \nabla^2 y'(h) \\ - \lambda \left[\binom{1-x/h}{4} + \binom{1+x/h}{4} \right]$$

vanishes also for $x = 0$ and $x = \pm h$. Thus, in view of the fact that $F'(x)$ has five distinct zeros in the closed interval $(-h, h)$, the fifth derivative $F^{(5)}(x)$ has at least one zero in $(-h, h)$, the fifth derivative $F^{(5)}(x)$ has at least one zero in $(-h, h)$. We easily find

$$F^{(5)}(x) = y^{(5)}(x) + y^{(5)}(-x) - 2\lambda h^{-4}$$

and thus have, for some $\xi_1 \in (-h, h)$

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2} h^4 [y^{(5)}(\xi_1) + y^{(5)}(-\xi_1)]$$

But, by the continuity of $y^{(5)}(t)$ it follows as before that

$$\lambda = h^4 y^{(5)}(\xi)$$

for some ξ between ξ_1 and $-\xi_1$. Inserting this value in (7.31), (7.29) follows:

◀ Previous Next ▶