

The Lecture Contains:

- ☰ [A Constructive Example and Solution](#)
- ☰ [Associated Difference Polynomials: Necessary & Sufficient Condition for Order](#)
- ☰ [Illustrative Examples](#)

◀ Previous Next ▶

Module 8: Linear Multistep Methods

Lecture 26: Linear Multistep Methods (Contd)

A Constructive Example:

Construct an implicit linear multistep (two-step) method of maximal order, containing one free parameter, and find its order.

Solution: Here $K = 2$; $\alpha_2 = +1$ by hypothesis. Let $\alpha_0 = a$ be the free parameter. There remain four undetermined coefficients $\alpha_1, \beta_0, \beta_1,$ and β_2 and we can thus set $C_0 = a + \alpha_1 + 1 = 0$

$$C_0 = a + \alpha_1 + 1 = 0$$

$$C_1 = \alpha_1 + 2 - (\beta_0 + \beta_1 + \beta_2) = 0$$

$$C_2 = \frac{1}{2!} (\alpha_1 + 4) - (\beta_1 + 2\beta_2) = 0$$

$$C_3 = \frac{1}{3!} (\alpha_1 + 8) - \frac{1}{2!} (\beta_1 + 4\beta_2) = 0.$$

Solving, we get

$$\alpha_1 = -1 - a, \beta_0 = -\frac{1}{12} (1 + 5a), \beta_1 = \frac{2}{3} (1 - a), \beta_2 = -\frac{1}{12} (5 + a)$$

and the method is

$$y_{n+2} - (1+a)y_{n+1} + ay_n = \frac{h}{12} [(5+a)f_{n+2} + 8(1-a)f_{n+1} - (1+5a)f_n]^{(*)}$$

Moreover,

$$C_4 = \frac{1}{4!} (\alpha_1 + 16) - \frac{1}{3!} (\beta_1 + 8\beta_2)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4!} (1+a)$$

$$C_5 = \frac{1}{5!} (\alpha_1 + 32) - \frac{1}{4!} (\beta_1 + 16\beta_2) = -\frac{1}{3!5!} (17 + 13a)$$

If $a \neq -1$, then $C_4 \neq 0$ and the method $(*)$ is of order 3.

If $a = -1$, then $C_4 = 0, C_5 \neq 0$ and the method $(*)$ which is now Simpson's rule, is of order 4. Note that when $a = 0$, $(*)$ is the two step Adam-Moulton method, while if $a = -5$, it is an explicit method.



Associated Difference Polynomials; Necessary & Sufficient Condition for Order

The order p and the error coefficient C_{p+1} can be expressed in a more convenient way as follows:

We define the polynomials

$$\rho(\xi) = \alpha_K \xi^K + \alpha_{K-1} \xi^{K-1} + \dots + \alpha_0$$

$$\text{and } \sigma(\xi) = \beta_K \xi^K + \beta_{K-1} \xi^{K-1} + \dots + \beta_0$$

The difference operator associated with (8.1) is given by

$$L[y(t); h] = \alpha_K y(t + Kh) + \alpha_{K-1} y(t + (K-1)h) + \dots + \alpha_0 y(t) - h \{ \beta_K y'(t + Kh) + \beta_{K-1} y'(t + (K-1)h) + \dots + \beta_0 y'(t) \}$$

Consider the function $y(t) = e^{\lambda t}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L[y(t); h] &= \alpha_K e^{\lambda(t+Kh)} + \alpha_{K-1} e^{\lambda(t+(K-1)h)} + \dots + \alpha_0 e^{\lambda t} - \lambda h \{ \beta_K e^{\lambda(t+Kh)} + \dots + \beta_0 e^{\lambda t} \} \\ &= e^{\lambda t} [\{ \alpha_K e^{\lambda h K} + \alpha_{K-1} e^{\lambda h (K-1)} + \dots + \alpha_0 \} - \lambda h \{ \beta_K e^{\lambda h K} + \beta_{K-1} e^{\lambda h (K-1)} + \dots + \beta_0 \}] \\ &= e^{\lambda t} [\rho(e^{\lambda h}) - \lambda h \sigma(e^{\lambda h})] \end{aligned} \tag{8.5}$$

◀ Previous Next ▶

Module 8: Linear Multistep Methods

Lecture 26: Linear Multistep Methods (Contd)

If the method is of order p , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} L[y(t); h] &= C_{p+1} h^{(p+1)} y^{(p+1)}(t) + O(h^{p+2}) \\ &= C_{p+1} (\lambda h)^{p+1} e^{\lambda t} + O(h^{p+2}) \\ &= C_{p+1} (\lambda h)^{p+1} e^{\lambda t} + O(h^{p+2}) \end{aligned} \quad (8.6)$$

Therefore, from (8.5) and (8.6),

$$\rho(e^{\lambda h}) - \lambda h \sigma(e^{\lambda h}) = C_{p+1} (\lambda h)^{p+1} + O(h^{p+2}) \quad (8.7)$$

Now writing $\lambda h = \log(1 + Z)$ and noting that $\lambda h = Z + O(Z^2)$, we have then

$$\rho(1 + Z) - \log(1 + Z) \sigma(1 + Z) = C_{p+1} Z^{p+1} + O(Z^{p+2}) \quad (8.8)$$

Consequently, Equation (8.8) is a necessary and sufficient condition for a method to have order p .

If the polynomial $\sigma(\xi)$ is given, Equation (8.8) shows how a unique polynomial $\rho(\xi)$ of degree K can be found such that the method has order $\geq K$. This is illustrated by the following example.



Illustrative Examples

Example 1: If $\sigma(\xi) = \frac{3}{2}\xi - \frac{1}{2}$ and $K = 2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(1+Z) &= \log(1+Z) \sigma(1+Z) + C_{p+1} Z^{p+1} \\ &= \log(1+Z) \left\{ \frac{3}{2}(1+Z) - \frac{1}{2} \right\} + 0(Z^3) \quad (\because \text{order is } 2) \\ &= \left(Z - \frac{Z^2}{2} \right) \left(\frac{3}{2}Z + 1 \right) + 0(Z^3) \\ &= \frac{3}{2}Z^2 - \frac{Z^2}{2} + Z \\ &= Z^2 + Z \\ &= (1+Z)^2 - (1+Z)\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\rho(\xi) = \xi^2 - \xi$, which gives the second order Adams-Bashforth method

$$y_{n+2} - y_{n+1} = h \left\{ \frac{3}{2} y'_{n+1} - \frac{1}{2} y'_n \right\}$$

$$\text{or } y_{n+2} - y_{n+1} = \frac{3}{2} h y'_{n+1} - \frac{h}{2} y'_n$$

If, on the other hand, $\rho(\xi)$ is given, there exists a $\sigma(\xi)$ of degree K such that the method is of order $\geq K + 1$. We find this by dividing (8.8) by $\log(1+Z)$ to get (with $p = K + 1$)

$$\sigma(1+Z) = \frac{Z}{\log(1+Z)} \cdot \frac{\rho(1+Z)}{Z} - C_{K+2} Z^{K+1} - 0(Z^{K+2}) \quad (\because \log(1+Z) = Z + 0(Z^2)).$$

Since $\frac{Z}{\log(1+Z)}$ is analytic at $Z = 0$, $\frac{\rho(1+Z)}{Z}$ must be analytic at $Z = 0$, if $K \geq 0$, that is,

$$\rho(1) = \alpha_K + \alpha_{K-1} + \dots + \alpha_0 = 0$$

Example 2: If $\rho(\xi) = -\xi^2 + \xi$ and $K = 2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(1+Z) &= -\frac{(1+Z)^2 + (1+Z)}{\log(1+Z)} + 0(Z^3) \\ &= \frac{-Z^2 - Z}{Z - \frac{Z^2}{2} + \frac{Z^3}{3}} + 0(Z^3) \\ &= -(1+Z) \left(1 - \frac{Z}{2} + \frac{Z^2}{3} \right)^{-1} + 0(Z^3) \\ &= -(1+Z) \left(1 + \frac{Z}{2} - \frac{Z^2}{3} + \frac{Z^2}{4} \right) + 0(Z^3)\end{aligned}$$

$$= -1 - Z - \frac{Z}{2} - \frac{Z^2}{2} + \frac{Z^2}{3} - \frac{Z^2}{4}$$

$$= -1 - \frac{3Z}{2} - \frac{5}{12} Z^2$$

$$= -\frac{5}{12} (1 + Z)^2 - \frac{2}{3} (1 + Z) + \frac{1}{12}$$

Hence, $\sigma(\xi) = -\frac{5}{12} \xi^2 - \frac{2}{3} \xi + \frac{1}{12}$, which gives the third order Adams-Moulton method:

$$-y_{n+2} + y_{n+1} = h \left\{ -\frac{5}{12} y'_{n+2} - \frac{2}{3} y'_{n+1} + \frac{1}{12} y'_n \right\}$$

◀ Previous Next ▶