

## Module 5: "Dynamic games of incomplete information"

### Lecture 37: "Signaling Game : Limit pricing model [Cont.]"

#### The Lecture Contains:

- Backward Induction Second stage Game
- Separating & pooling Equilibrium in this context

◀ Previous   Next ▶

## Module 5: "Dynamic games of incomplete information"

## Lecture 37: "Signaling Game : Limit pricing model [Cont.]"

**Backward Induction Second stage Game : When Maria enters**

- Market becomes a duopoly
- Both play a Cournot duopoly game.
- $P(Q_J, Q_M) = 16 - \frac{1}{100}(Q_J + Q_M)$
- Joe's second – Period profits are  
 $\Pi_J^H = (P(Q_J, Q_M) - 5) \cdot Q_J$  if  $C_J = 5$   
 $\Pi_J^L = (P(Q_J, Q_M) - 7) \cdot Q_J$  if  $C_J = 7$
- Maria's profit function is,  
 $\Pi_M = (P(Q_J, Q_M) - 7) \cdot Q_M = 600$
- Cournot output & prices are given in the following table

	Joe's costs	
	High	Low
Joe's pizza output	300	433.33
Joe's pizza price	10.00	9.33
Joe's profit	900.00	1,877.78
Maria's pizza output	300	233.33
Maria's pizza price	10.00	9.33
Maria's profit	300.00	-55.56

Table 2 : Cournot profit in 2<sup>nd</sup> stage

## Module 5: "Dynamic games of incomplete information"

## Lecture 37: "Signaling Game : Limit pricing model [Cont.]"

**Separating & pooling Equilibrium in this context:**

- From Table 2 on slide 1 it is clear that if Joe's costs are high , Maria earns a profit of 300 units upon entry but suffers a loss of 55.56 units if Joe has low cost.
- Maria will enter if she knows that Joe has high costs and will stay out if Joe has low costs.
- However Maria does not know Joe's cost.
- If Joe can signal credibly to Maria that he has low costs , he will deter entry of Maria.
- We will look for PBNE
  - Separating and pooling
- Separating PBNE- Joe will signal differently depending on whether his costs are high or low
- Pooling PBNE – Joe will choose the same signal irrespective of the costs.

 **Previous**   **Next** 

## Module 5: "Dynamic games of incomplete information"

## Lecture 37: "Signaling Game : Limit pricing model [Cont.]"

**Finding a separating equilibrium**

- Let  $p^H$  &  $p^L$  be the prices that Joe will charge in the 1st period depending on whether he has high or low cost respectively.
- Suppose that Maria believes that a price of  $p^H$  means that Joe has high costs & a price of  $p^L$  means that Joe has low costs.
- If Joe has high costs, it must be the case that his payoff is higher by charging  $p^H$  in first time period then by charging  $p^L$

i.e.

$$\Pi_{j_1}^H(p^H) + 900 > \Pi_{j_1}^H(p^L) + 2025$$

900 = Second period profit of Joe[duopoly profit - since Maria enter]

2025 = Second period profit of Joe[monopoly profit - Maria does not enters]

Or  $\Pi_{j_1}^H(p^H) - \Pi_{j_1}^H(p^L) > 1125$  ..... (A)

◀ Previous    Next ▶

## Module 5: "Dynamic games of incomplete information"

## Lecture 37: "Signaling Game : Limit pricing model [Cont.]"

**Separating Equilibrium [Contd...]**

- If Joe has high costs and if the equilibrium is separating , then Joe must expect a price of  $P^H$  to signal to Maria that his costs are high  $\rightarrow$  Maria will enter industry
  - Joe therefore expects deterrence to fail in this case
  - Set  $P^H$  at short run profit maximizing level of 11.50  $\Rightarrow \Pi_{J_1}^H(P^H) = 2025$
- Putting value of  $\Pi_{J_1}^H(P^H)$  in (A)

$$\Rightarrow \Pi_{J_1}^H(P_L) \leq 900$$

$$\text{i.e. } (P^L - 7)(1600 - 100P^L) \leq 900$$

- $P^L \leq 8.146$

Hence if Joe has low costs, the highest low price that will retain the separating equilibrium is 8.14 units.

◀ Previous    Next ▶

## Module 5: "Dynamic games of incomplete information"

## Lecture 37: "Signaling Game : Limit pricing model [Cont.]"

## Finding Separating Eqn [Contd....]

- Let's now suggest a hypothetical separating PBNE

$$P^H = 11.50 \quad P^L = 8.14$$

$$\mu(H/P_i > 8.14) = 1$$

$$\mu(H/P_i \leq 8.14) = 0$$

Maria enters if Price = 11.50

Maria stays out if price = 8.14

- It has already been shown that given Maria's beliefs and strategies, if Joe has high costs, his best response is to choose a high price  $P^H = 11.50$
- If Joe has low costs,
  - If he chooses  $P^L < 8.14$ 
    - Then gets lower profit in first period & same profit in second period
    - Hence so incentive to choose  $P^L < 8.14$
  - If Joe chooses  $P^L > 8.14$ 
    - Given Maria's beliefs, Maria will enter
    - He will choose SR profit maximizing price  $\rightarrow$  earns 3025 units in first time period
    - Maria enters & Joe earns second-period profits of 1877.78 units
    - Total Profit = 4902.78
  - If Joe chooses  $P^L = 8.14$ 
    - Joe earns profit = 2468.04 in first period
    - Maria will not enter  $\rightarrow$  profit = 3025 in second period
    - Total profit = 5493.04
- Best response for Joe if costs are low is to charge  $P^L = 8.14$
- Given Joe's strategy & Maria's beliefs, Maria's strategy is also optimal
  - When  $P \leq 8.14$   
 Maria expected profit = -55.56 if she enters  
 = 0 otherwise  $\Rightarrow$  Maria stays out
  - When  $P > 8.14$   
 Maria's expected profit = 300 if she enters  
 = 0 otherwise  $\Rightarrow$  Maria enters
  - Hypothetical BNE is verified to be the BNE.

## Module 5: "Dynamic games of incomplete information"

## Lecture 37: "Signaling Game : Limit pricing model [Cont.]"

**Finding a pooling Equilibrium**

- Pooling Equilibrium means Joe chooses the same first period price when his costs are high as well as low.
- If a pooling equilibrium exists , entry must be deterred
  - Suppose entry is not deterred
  - If Maria enters in 2<sup>nd</sup> period Joe will know that beforehand as she knows Maria's payoff.
  - Joe will be better off by charging the first period profit maximizing price
    - That price depends on cost
      - = 11.50 if costs are high
      - = 10.50 if costs are low
    - Different prices mean no pooling equilibrium exists.
- Hence in order for an equilibrium pooling price to exist , Maria must not enter.
  - Maria's expected profit from entering
    - < 0 = Payoff from not entering
    - Here  $\text{expected profit} = 0.9(-55.56) + 0.1(300) = -20$
- Hence Maria will not enter

**Finding a pooling Equilibrium[Contd...]**

- Pooling Equilibrium
  - No updating of prior beliefs
- To check whether Joe has any incentives to deviate from pooling equilibrium , one has to compare Joe's expected profits if he chooses pooling price with that if he does not choose the pooling price.
- We need to specify Maria's off equilibrium beliefs
- Suppose Maria believes that for any price above the pooling price (say  $x$ ) Joe has high cost with probability 1 & price  $< x \rightarrow$  Joe has low cost with probability 1
- Hypothetical pooling equilibrium:  
 $P(C_H) = P(C_L) = 11.50$ 
  - For high cost,  $P(C_H) = 11.50$  is definitely optimal.
  - For low cost, if  $P(C_H) = 10.50$  alternatively,
    - then Maria believes Joe has low cost & will not enter
    - allows Joe to choose 10.50 in second period also.
    - Joe's profits will be higher if  $P(C_L) = 10.50$  and not 11.50
- Hence no such pooling equilibrium exists.



## Module 5: "Dynamic games of incomplete information"

## Lecture 37: "Signaling Game : Limit pricing model [Cont.]"

- Another hypothetical pooling equilibrium

$$P(C_H) = P(C_L) = 10.50$$

- For low cost, Joe's best strategy is to choose  $P(C_L) = 10.50$
- What if Joe has high costs?
  - If Joe charges a higher price of 11.50 instead → given Maria's beliefs, this will induce entry.  
Joe's total profit will then be  $= 2025 + 900 = 2925$
  - If Joe chooses the pooling price of 10.50, entry is deterred:  
Joe's total profit in this case  
 $= 1925(\text{1st period}) + 2025(\text{2nd period}) > 2925$
- Joe has no incentive to deviate from  $P(C_H) = P(C_L) = 10.50$   
Hence pooling equilibrium exists where  $P(C_H) = P(C_L) = 10.50$

◀ Previous   Next ▶