

Linear Dynamical Systems

Tutorial on Stability

- 1 Solvability of Lyapunov equations (Lecture Slide 22)
- 2 BIBO and internal stability (Lecture slides 38 – 43)
- 3 Lyapunov's theory for linear systems (Lecture slide 30)
- 4 Margin of stability (Lecture slide 30)
- 5 Stability of linear time variant systems (Lecture slide 56)
- 6 Sampling and stability
- 7 Stability and Jordan canonical form (Lecture slides 53 – 54)

Solvability of the Lyapunov matrix equation

Problem 1

Consider the system $\dot{x} = Ax$ with

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Comment on the solvability of the Lyapunov matrix equation $A^T P + PA = -Q$, $Q = Q^T \succeq 0$.

Solvability of the Lyapunov matrix equation

Problem 1

Consider the system $\dot{x} = Ax$ with

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Comment on the solvability of the Lyapunov matrix equation $A^T P + PA = -Q$, $Q = Q^T \succeq 0$.

Recall! -Lecture Slide 22

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ denote the (not necessarily distinct) eigenvalues of A , then the equation

$$A^T P + PA = -Q, \quad Q = Q^T \succ 0$$

has a unique solution for P corresponding to each Q if and only if $\lambda_i \neq 0$, $\lambda_i + \lambda_j \neq 0$ for all i, j .

Solution to Problem 1

The eigenvalues of A are $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 = \pm j$ and therefore the required condition is violated. Thus, the Lyapunov equation $A^T P + PA = -Q$ does not possess a *unique* solution for a given Q .

Solution to Problem 1

The eigenvalues of A are $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 = \pm j$ and therefore the required condition is violated. Thus, the Lyapunov equation $A^T P + PA = -Q$ does not possess a *unique* solution for a given Q .

We now verify this for two specific cases:

- When $Q = 0$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} A^T P + PA &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} \\ p_{12} & p_{22} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} \\ p_{12} & p_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -2p_{12} & p_{11} - p_{22} \\ p_{11} - p_{22} & 2p_{12} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

or $p_{12} = 0$ and $p_{11} = p_{22}$. Therefore, for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$, the matrix $P = aI$ is a solution of the Lyapunov matrix equation.

Solution to Problem 1

- When $Q = 2I$, we obtain:

$$A^T P + P A = \begin{bmatrix} -2p_{12} & p_{11} - p_{22} \\ p_{11} - p_{22} & 2p_{12} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

or $p_{11} = p_{22}$ and $p_{12} = 1$ and $p_{12} = -1$, which is impossible. Therefore, for $Q = -2I$ the Lyapunov equation has no solution at all.

Problem 2

Consider the continuous time linear time invariant (CT-LTI) system

$$\dot{x}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} u(t)$$

$$y(t) = [1 \quad 1 \quad -1] x(t)$$

with $x(0) = [x_{10} \quad x_{20} \quad -1.5x_{20}]^T$. Analyze the system for internal and BIBO stability.

Solution to Problem 2

Solution: BIBO stability

The dynamics can be written as:

$\dot{x}_1 = -x_1 + u(t)$, $\dot{x}_2 = x_2 + 2x_3$, $\dot{x}_3 = 2x_2 + x_3$ thus,

$$x_1(t) = e^{-t}x_{10} + e^{-t} \int_0^t e^{\tau} u(\tau) d\tau$$

$$x_2(t) = 0.5e^{-t} (x_{20} + x_{30}) + e^{3t} (0.75x_{20} + 0.5x_{30})$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_3(t) &= -e^{-t} (0.5x_{20} + 0.25x_{30}) + e^{3t} (0.5x_{20} + 0.25x_{30}) \\ &= -0.125e^{-t} + 0.125e^{3t} \end{aligned}$$

$$y(t) = x_1 + x_2 - x_3 = e^{-t}x_{10} + e^{-t} \left(\int_0^t e^{\tau} u(\tau) d\tau \right) - 0.25e^{-t}x_{20}$$

It is easy to see that the output $y(t)$ is bounded when $u(t)$ is bounded for all t . Thus, the system is clearly BIBO stable.

Solution to Problem 2

Solution: Internal stability

The matrix A is given as :

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

which has the eigen values $-1, -1$ and 3 and thus the system is not internally stable in the sense of Lyapunov (which requires the eigen values to be negative). Note that the transfer function has a zero at $s = 3$ and hence this pole-zero cancellation leads to the internal instability of the system , although the system is BIBO stable.

Recall!-Lecture slides 38-43

This example illustrates the fact that

External stability $\not\Rightarrow$ Internal stability (in the sense of Lyapunov)

Problem 3

Assume that the origin of the system $\dot{x} = Ax$ is asymptotically stable. Then prove that the matrix A is similar to a matrix \bar{A} which satisfies $\bar{A} + \bar{A}^T < 0$.

In other words, the system $\dot{x} = Ax$ is equivalent by a linear change of coordinates to a system $\dot{z} = \bar{A}z$ for which the Euclidean norm is strictly decreasing along non-zero solutions.

¹Terrell, Theorem 3.7(d)

Problem 3

Assume that the origin of the system $\dot{x} = Ax$ is asymptotically stable. Then prove that the matrix A is similar to a matrix \bar{A} which satisfies $\bar{A} + \bar{A}^T < 0$.

In other words, the system $\dot{x} = Ax$ is equivalent by a linear change of coordinates to a system $\dot{z} = \bar{A}z$ for which the Euclidean norm is strictly decreasing along non-zero solutions.

Recall

This question is based on the lecture slide 30 which discusses the Lyapunov's theory of stability for linear systems

¹Terrell, Theorem 3.7(d)

Solution to Problem 3

Recall that since the matrix A is Hurwitz there exists positive definite solution of the equation

$$A^T P + PA + Q = 0 \quad (1)$$

where Q is positive definite. Setting $Q = I$, there exists a $P > 0 : A^T P + PA + I = 0$.

Also, there exists a positive definite matrix S such that $S^2 = P$; it is natural to write $S = P^{1/2}$ and call it the positive square root of P .

The matrix $P^{1/2}$ is invertible and we can write $P^{-1/2} \triangleq (P^{1/2})^{-1}$.

Multiplying (1) on the right and on the left by $P^{-1/2}$ and rearranging it, we obtain:

$$P^{-1/2} A^T P^{1/2} + P^{1/2} A P^{-1/2} = -P^{-1}$$

Note that the right hand side is negative definite.

Now with $\bar{A} \triangleq P^{1/2} A P^{-1/2}$, we see that A is similar to \bar{A} and $\bar{A} + \bar{A}^T < 0$ is negative definite. This completes the proof.

Problem 4

Let $\sigma > 0$ be a positive number, Q be a positive definite matrix, and A a matrix of the same size as Q . Show that if there exists a positive definite matrix P such that

$$A^T P + P A + 2\sigma P = -Q$$

then every eigen values of A satisfies $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) < -\sigma$

Recall

This question is based on the lecture slide 30 which discusses the Lyapunov's theory of stability for linear systems

¹Terrell, Exercise 3.17

Solution to Problem 4

Let λ be a (possibly complex) eigenvalue of A and v be the corresponding eigenvector, then

$$\begin{aligned}v^* (A^T P + PA + 2\sigma P) v &= -v^* Q v \\ \implies (Av)^* P v + v^* P (Av) + 2\sigma v^* P v &= -v^* Q v \\ \implies \bar{\lambda} v^* P v + \lambda v^* P v + 2\sigma v^* P v &= -v^* Q v \\ \implies (\bar{\lambda} + \lambda + 2\sigma) v^* P v &= -v^* Q v\end{aligned}$$

Since Q is positive definite matrix, the right hand side of the above equation is negative definite. Also, since P is positive definite it is necessary that

$$(\bar{\lambda} + \lambda + 2\sigma) < 0 \implies 2\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) < -2\sigma \implies \lambda < -\sigma$$

and since λ was an arbitrary eigenvalue of A , every eigenvalue λ of A must satisfy $\lambda < -\sigma$.

Problem 5

Consider the system

$$\dot{x} = A(t)x = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & e^{2t} \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} x, \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty)$$

Analyze the system for stability.

¹Terrell, Example 3.10

Problem 5

Consider the system

$$\dot{x} = A(t)x = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & e^{2t} \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} x, \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty)$$

Analyze the system for stability.

Recall

This question is based on the lecture slide 57- *“the fact that it is not possible to comment on the stability of a linear time varying system by merely computing the eigen values of the state matrix”*.

¹Terrell, Example 3.10

Solution to Problem 5

For each t , the matrix $A(t)$ has -1 as a repeated eigenvalue.

The solution for x_2 is $x_2(t) = e^{-t}x_{20}$. If we substitute this into the equation for x_1 , then

$$\begin{aligned}x_1(t) &= e^{-t}x_{10} + e^{-t} \left(\int_0^t e^{3s}x_2(s)ds \right) \\&= e^{-t}x_{10} + e^{-t} \left(e^{2s}x_{20}ds \right) \\&= e^{-t}x_{10} + e^{-t} \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{2t}x_{20} - x_{20} \right) \\&= e^{-t}x_{10} + \frac{1}{2}e^t x_{20} - \frac{1}{2}e^{-t}x_{20}\end{aligned}$$

Because of the exponential growth term, if $x_{20} \neq 0$ then $x_1(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, negative real parts for all eigenvalues is not a sufficient condition for asymptotic convergence of all solutions to the origin in a linear time-varying system.

Problem 6

Compare the stability of the system

$$\dot{x} = Ax$$

with $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -2 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$ with its discrete time counterpart (obtained using the Euler's method) with a sampling time $T = 0.5$ and $T = 0.1$.

Stable CT system

The eigen values of A are computed as -0.4384 and -4.5616 which clearly shows that the system is internally stable.

Solution to Problem 6

Discrete counterpart at $T = 0.5$

Using the Euler method the discrete system is given s:

$$\dot{x}_d(k+1) = (TA + I) x_d(k) = A_d x_d(k)$$

where T is the sampling time With $T = 0.5$ the state matrix is given as:

$$A_d = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.5 \\ -1 & -1.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

with eigenvalues: -1.281 and 0.7808 . Since one of the eigenvalue has magnitude greater than 1, the system is unstable.

Solution to Problem 6

Discrete counterpart at $T = 0.1$

With $T = 0.1$ the state matrix is given as:

$$A_d = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.1 \\ -0.2 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

with eigenvalues: 0.5438 and 0.9562. Since the eigenvalues have magnitude less than 1, the system is stable.

Observation

- It can be verified that the system obtained after discretizing using Euler method is stable as long as $T < 0.453$.
- Using another method of discretization or determining the stability of the discrete-time state matrix obtained using `c2d`-MATLAB command, the state matrix is always stable.

Problem 7

Comment on the stability of the system $\dot{x} = Ax$ with

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Problem 7

Comment on the stability of the system $\dot{x} = Ax$ with

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Recall

This question is based on the lecture slide 53 – 54 which discuss relationship between stability, Jordan forms and minimal polynomial.

Solution to Problem 7

Jordan form computation

The Jordan form of a matrix can be computed using the concepts of eigenvalues, eigenvectors and the generalized eigenvectors. Or you can also use MATLAB command: $J = \text{jordan}(A)$.

The eigenvalues of A are computed to be $0, 0, 0, 0, -1$. For the given A the Jordan form is computed to be:

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Clearly the Jordan blocks corresponding the zero eigenvalues are not 1×1 and hence the system under consideration is not marginally stable

Minimal polynomial

The characteristic polynomial is given as $s^4(s + 1) = 0$.

Furthermore, it is easily verified that A satisfies $A^3(A + I) = 0$ and hence the minimal polynomial is $s^3(s + 1)$ which has repeated roots at $s = 0$ and hence the system is unstable.