

Intermediate Code Generation - Part 3

Y.N. Srikant

Department of Computer Science and Automation
Indian Institute of Science
Bangalore 560 012

NPTEL Course on Principles of Compiler Design

Outline of the Lecture

- Introduction (covered in part 1)
- Different types of intermediate code (covered in part 1)
- Intermediate code generation for various constructs

Short Circuit Evaluation for Boolean Expressions

- $(\text{exp1} \ \&\& \ \text{exp2})$: value = if $(\sim\text{exp1})$ then FALSE else exp2
 - This implies that exp2 need not be evaluated if exp1 is FALSE
- $(\text{exp1} \ || \ \text{exp2})$:value = if (exp1) then TRUE else exp2
 - This implies that exp2 need not be evaluated if exp1 is TRUE
- Since boolean expressions are used mostly in conditional and loop statements, it is possible to realize perform short circuit evaluation of expressions using control flow constructs
- In such a case, there are no explicit '||' and '&&' operators in the intermediate code (as earlier), but only jumps
- Much faster, since complete expression is not evaluated
- If unevaluated expressions have side effects, then program may have non-deterministic behaviour

Control-Flow Realization of Boolean Expressions

```
if ((a+b < c+d) || ((e==f) && (g > h-k))) A1; else A2; A3;
```

```
100:      T1 = a+b
101:      T2 = c+d
103:      if T1 < T2 goto L1
104:      goto L2
105:L2:   if e==f goto L3
106:      goto L4
107:L3:   T3 = h-k
108:      if g > T3 goto L5
109:      goto L6
110:L1:L5: code for A1
111:      goto L7
112:L4:L6: code for A2
113:L7:   code for A3
```

SATG for *Control-Flow Realization of Boolean Expressions*

- $E \rightarrow E_1 \parallel M E_2$ { backpatch(E_1 .falselist, M.quad);
E.truelist := merge(E_1 .truelist, E_2 .truelist);
E.falselist := E_2 .falselist }
- $E \rightarrow E_1 \&\& M E_2$ { backpatch(E_1 .truelist, M.quad);
E.falselist := merge(E_1 .falselist, E_2 .falselist);
E.truelist := E_2 .truelist }
- $E \rightarrow \sim E_1$ { E.truelist := E_1 .falselist;
E.falselist := E_1 .truelist }
- $M \rightarrow \epsilon$ {M.quad := nextquad; }
- $E \rightarrow E_1 < E_2$ { E.truelist := makelist(nextquad);
E.falselist := makelist(nextquad+1);
gen('if E_1 .result < E_2 .result goto __');
gen('goto __'); }

SATG for *Control-Flow Realization of Boolean Expressions*

- $E \rightarrow (E_1)$
{ E.truelist := E_1 .truelist; E.falselist := E_1 .falselist }
- $E \rightarrow true$ { E.truelist := makelist(nextquad); gen('goto ___'); }
- $E \rightarrow false$
{ E.falselist := makelist(nextquad); gen('goto ___'); }
- $S \rightarrow IFEXP S_1 N else M S_2$
{ backpatch(IFEXP.falselist, M.quad);
S.next := merge(S_1 .next, S_2 .next, N.next); }
- $S \rightarrow IFEXP S_1$
{ S.next := merge(S_1 .next, IFEXP.falselist); }
- $IFEXP \rightarrow if E$ { backpatch(E.truelist, nextquad);
IFEXP.falselist := E.falselist; }
- $N \rightarrow \epsilon$ { N.next := makelist(nextquad); gen('goto ___'); }

SATG for *Control-Flow Realization of Boolean Expressions*

- $S \rightarrow \text{WHILEXP do } S_1$
{ **gen('goto WHILEEXP.begin');**
 backpatch(S_1 .next, WHILEEXP.begin);
 S .next := WHILEEXP.falselist; }
- $\text{WHILEXP} \rightarrow \text{while } M E$
{ WHILEEXP.falselist := E.falselist;
 backpatch(E.truelist, nextquad);
 WHILEEXP.begin := M.quad; }
- $M \rightarrow \epsilon$ (repeated here for convenience)
{ M.quad := nextquad; }

Code Template for *Switch* Statement

```
switch (exp) {
  case  $l_1$  :  $SL_1$ 
  case  $l_{2_1}$  : case  $l_{2_2}$  :  $SL_2$ 
  ...
  case  $l_{n-1}$  :  $SL_{n-1}$ 
  default:  $SL_n$ 
}
```

This code template can be used for switch statements with 10-15 cases. Note that statement list SL_i must incorporate a 'break' statement, if necessary

```
code for exp (result in T)
goto TEST
 $L_1$ : code for  $SL_1$ 
 $L_2$ : code for  $SL_2$ 
...
 $L_n$ : code for  $SL_n$ 
goto NEXT
TEST: if T== $l_1$  goto  $L_1$ 
      if T== $l_{2_1}$  goto  $L_2$ 
      if T== $l_{2_2}$  goto  $L_2$ 
      ...
      if T== $l_{n-1}$  goto  $L_{n-1}$ 
      if default_yes goto  $L_n$ 
NEXT:
```

Grammar for Switch Statement

The grammar for the 'switch' statement according to ANSI standard C is:

selection_statement → SWITCH '(' expression ')' statement

However, a more intuitive form of the grammar is shown below

- $STMT \rightarrow SWITCH_HEAD \ SWITCH_BODY$
- $SWITCH_HEAD \rightarrow switch (E) /* E must be int type */$
- $SWITCH_BODY \rightarrow \{ CASE_LIST \}$
- $CASE_LIST \rightarrow CASE_ST \mid CASE_LIST \ CASE_ST$
- $CASE_ST \rightarrow CASE_LABELS \ STMT_LIST ;$
- $CASE_LABELS \rightarrow \epsilon \mid CASE_LABELS \ CASE_LABEL$
- $CASE_LABEL \rightarrow case \ CONST_INTEEXPR : \mid default :$
/* CONST_INTEEXPR must be of int or char type */
- $STMT \rightarrow break /* also an option */$

SATG for *Switch* Statement

- *SWITCH_HEAD* \rightarrow *switch* (*E*)
{ SWITCH_HEAD.result := E.result;
SWITCH_HEAD.test := nextquad;
gen('goto __'); }
- *STMT* \rightarrow *break*
{ STMT.next := makelist(nextquad);
gen('goto __'); }
- *CASE_LABEL* \rightarrow *case* *CONST_INEXPR* :
{ CASE_LABEL.val := CONST_INEXPR.val;
CASE_LABEL.default := false; }
- *CASE_LABEL* \rightarrow *default* : {CASE_LABEL.default := true; }
- *CASE_LABELS* \rightarrow ϵ { CASE_LABELS.default := false;
{ CASE_LABELS.list := makelist(NULL); }

SATG for *Switch* Statement (contd.)

- *CASE_LABELS* → *CASE_LABELS₁* *CASE_LABEL*
{ if (\sim CASE_LABEL.default) CASE_LABELS.list :=
append(CASE_LABELS₁.list, CASE_LABEL.val);
else CASE_LABELS.list := CASE_LABELS₁.list;
if (CASE_LABELS₁.default || CASE_LABEL.default)
CASE_LABEL.default := true; }
- *CASE_ST* → *CASE_LABELS M STMT_LIST* ;
{ CASE_ST.next := STMT_LIST.next; CASE_ST.list :=
add_jump_target(CASE_LABELS.list, M.quad);
if (CASE_LABELS.default) CASE_ST.default := M.quad;
else CASE_ST.default := -1; }
- *CASE_LIST* → *CASE_ST*
{ CASE_LIST.next := CASE_ST.next;
CASE_LIST.list := CASE_ST.list;
CASE_LIST.default := CASE_ST.default; }

Code Template for *Switch* Statement

```
switch (exp) {
  case  $l_1$  :  $SL_1$ 
  case  $l_2$  : case  $l_2$  :  $SL_2$ 
  ...
  case  $l_{n-1}$  :  $SL_{n-1}$ 
  default:  $SL_n$ 
}
```

This code template can be used for switch statements with 10-15 cases. Note that statement list SL_i must incorporate a 'break' statement, if necessary

```
code for exp (result in T)
goto TEST
 $L_1$ : code for  $SL_1$ 
 $L_2$ : code for  $SL_2$ 
...
 $L_n$ : code for  $SL_n$ 
goto NEXT
TEST: if T== $l_1$  goto  $L_1$ 
      if T== $l_2$  goto  $L_2$ 
      if T== $l_2$  goto  $L_2$ 
      ...
      if T== $l_{n-1}$  goto  $L_{n-1}$ 
      if default_ yes goto  $L_n$ 
NEXT:
```

SATG for *Switch* Statement (contd.)

- *CASE_LIST* → *CASE_LIST*₁ *CASE_ST*
{ *CASE_LIST*.next :=
 merge(*CASE_LIST*₁.next, *CASE_ST*.next);
 CASE_LIST.list :=
 merge(*CASE_LIST*₁.list, *CASE_ST*.list);
 CASE_LIST.default := *CASE_LIST*₁.default == -1 ?
 CASE_ST.default : *CASE_LIST*₁.default; }
- *SWITCH_BODY* → { *CASE_LIST* }
{ *SWITCH_BODY*.next :=
 merge(*CASE_LIST*.next, makelist(nextquad));
 gen('goto __');
 SWITCH_BODY.list := *CASE_LIST*.list;
 SWITCH_BODY.default := *CASE_LIST*.default; }

SATG for *Switch* Statement (contd.)

- *STMT* → *SWITCH_HEAD SWITCH_BODY*

```
{ backpatch(SWITCH_HEAD.test, nextquad);
  for each (value, jump) pair in SWITCH_BODY.list do {
    (v,j) := next (value, jump) pair from SWITCH_BODY.list;
    gen('if SWITCH_HEAD.result == v goto j');
  }
  if (SWITCH_BODY.default != -1)
    gen('goto SWITCH_BODY.default');
  STMT.next := SWITCH_BODY.next;
}
```

C For-Loop

The for-loop of C is very general

- `for (expression1; expression2; expression3) statement`

This statement is equivalent to

```
expression1;
```

```
while ( expression2 ) { statement expression3 ; }
```

- All three expressions are optional and any one (or all) may be missing
- Code generation is non-trivial because the order of execution of *statement* and *expression*₃ are reversed compared to their occurrence in the for-statement
- Difficulty is due to 1-pass bottom-up code generation
- Code generation during parse tree traversals mitigates this problem by generating code for *expression*₃ before that of *statement*

Code Generation Template for *C For-Loop*

```
for (  $E_1$ ;  $E_2$ ;  $E_3$  )  $S$   
    code for  $E_1$   
L1:   code for  $E_2$  (result in T)  
      goto L4  
L2:   code for  $E_3$   
      goto L1  
L3:   code for  $S$  /* all jumps out of S goto L2 */  
      goto L2  
L4:   if T == 0 goto L5 /* if T is zero, jump to exit */  
      goto L3  
L5:   /* exit */
```

Code Generation for C For-Loop

- $STMT \rightarrow \text{for} (E_1; M E_2; N E_3) P STMT_1$
{ gen('goto N.quad+1'); Q1 := nextquad;
 gen('if E₂.result == 0 goto __');
 gen('goto P.quad+1');
 backpatch(N.quad, Q1);
 backpatch(STMT₁.next, N.quad+1);
 backpatch(P.quad, M.quad);
 STMT.next := makelist(Q1); }
- $M \rightarrow \epsilon \{ M.quad := nextquad; \}$
- $N \rightarrow \epsilon \{ N.quad := nextquad; \text{gen('goto __')}; \}$
- $P \rightarrow \epsilon \{ P.quad := nextquad; \text{gen('goto __')}; \}$

- Let us also consider a more restricted form of the for-loop
 - $STMT \rightarrow \text{for } id = EXP_1 \text{ to } EXP_2 \text{ by } EXP_3 \text{ do } STMT_1$
where, EXP_1 , EXP_2 , and EXP_3 are all arithmetic expressions, indicating starting, ending and increment values of the iteration index
 - EXP_3 may have either positive or negative values
 - All three expressions are evaluated before the iterations begin and are stored. They are not evaluated again during the loop-run
 - All three expressions are mandatory (unlike in the C-for-loop)

Code Generation Template for ALGOL For-Loop

STMT \rightarrow for *id* = *EXP*₁ to *EXP*₂ by *EXP*₃ do *STMT*₁

Code for *EXP*₁ (result in T1)

Code for *EXP*₂ (result in T2)

Code for *EXP*₃ (result in T3)

goto L1

L0: Code for *STMT*₁

id = id + T3

goto L2

L1: id = T1

L2: if (T3 \leq 0) goto L3

if (id > T2) goto L4 /* positive increment */

goto L0

L3: if (id < T2) goto L4 /* negative increment */

goto L0

L4:

Code Generation for ALGOL For-Loop

$M \rightarrow \epsilon \{ M.\text{quad} := \text{nextquad}; \text{gen}(\text{'goto __'}) ; \}$

$STMT \rightarrow \text{for } id = EXP_1 \text{ to } EXP_2 \text{ by } EXP_3 \text{ M do } STMT_1$
{ search(id.name, idptr); gen('idptr = idptr + $EXP_3.\text{result}$ ');
Q1 := nextquad; gen('goto __'); backpatch(M.quad, nextquad);
gen('idptr = $EXP_1.\text{result}$ '); backpatch(Q1, nextquad);
Q2 := nextquad; gen('if $EXP_3.\text{result} \leq 0$ goto __');
gen('if idptr > $EXP_2.\text{result}$ goto __');
gen('goto M.quad+1'); backpatch(Q2, nextquad);
Q3 := nextquad; gen('if idptr < $EXP_2.\text{result}$ goto __');
gen('goto M.quad+1');
STMT.next :=
merge(makelist(Q2+1), makelist(Q3), $STMT_1.\text{next}$);

Another Code Generation Template for ALGOL For-Loop

$STMT \rightarrow \text{for } id = EXP_1 \text{ to } EXP_2 \text{ by } EXP_3 \text{ do } STMT_1$

Code for EXP_1 (result in T1)

Code for EXP_2 (result in T2)

Code for EXP_3 (result in T3)

id = T1

L1: if (T3 \leq 0) goto L2

if (id > T2) goto L4 /* positive increment */

goto L3

L2: if (id < T2) goto L4 /* negative increment */

L3: Code for STMT

id = id + T3

goto L1

L4:

Code generation using this template is left as an exercise 

Run-Time Array Range Checking

```
int b[10][20]; a = b[exp1][exp2];
```

The code generated for this assignment with run-time array range checking is as below:

```
code for exp1 /* result in T1 */
```

```
if T1 < 10 goto L1
```

```
'error: array overflow in dimension 1'
```

```
T1 = 9 /* max value for dim 1 */
```

```
L1: code for exp2 /* result in T2 */
```

```
if T2 < 20 goto L2
```

```
'error: array overflow in dimension 2'
```

```
T2 = 19 /* max value for dim 2 */
```

```
L2: T3 = T1*20
```

```
T4 = T3+T2
```

```
T5 = T4*intsize
```

```
T6 = addr(b)
```

```
a = T6[T5]
```

Code Generation with Array Range Checking

- $S \rightarrow L := E$
{ if (L.offset == NULL) gen('L.place = E.result');
 else gen('L.place[L.offset] = E.result');}
- $E \rightarrow L$ { if (L.offset == NULL) E.result := L.place;
 else { E.result := newtemp(L.type);
 gen('E.result = L.place[L.offset]'); } }
- $ELIST \rightarrow id [E$ { search_var(id.name, active_func_ptr,
 level, found, vn); ELIST.arrayptr := vn;
 ELIST.result := E.result; ELIST.dim := 1;
 num_elem := get_dim(vn, 1); Q1 := nextquad;
 gen('if E.result < num_elem goto Q1+3');
 gen('error("array overflow in dimension 1")');
 gen('E.result = num_elem-1');}

Code Generation with Array Range Checking(contd.)

- $L \rightarrow ELIST$] { L.place := ELIST.arrayptr;
temp := newtemp(int); L.offset := temp;
ele_size := ELIST.arrayptr -> ele_size;
gen('temp = ELIST.result * ele_size'); }
- $ELIST \rightarrow ELIST_1, E$
{ ELIST.dim := $ELIST_1$.dim + 1;
ELIST.arrayptr := $ELIST_1$.arrayptr
num_elem := get_dim($ELIST_1$.arrayptr, $ELIST_1$.dim + 1);
Q1 := nextquad;
gen('if E.result < num_elem goto Q1+3');
gen('error("array overflow in ($ELIST_1$.dim + 1)"))');
gen('E.result = num_elem-1');
temp1 := newtemp(int); temp2 := newtemp(int);
gen('temp1 = $ELIST_1$.result * num_elem');
ELIST.result := temp2; gen('temp2 = temp1 + E.result'); }