

4, we can conclude that

 $Pr(X = 0) \le 0.68$  $Pr(X = 0) \le 0.0068$  $Pr(X = 0) \ge 0.68$  $Pr(X = 0) \ge 0.0068$ 

No, the answer is incorrect. Score: 0 Accepted Answers:

 $Pr(X = 0) \le 0.0068$ 

4) While considering tail bounds on sum of random variables, Markov's inequality and **1 point** Chebyshev's inequality do not require independence of random variables, but Chernoff's bound requires that the random variables be independent.

```
    True
    False
    No, the answer is incorrect.
```

Score: 0 Accepted Answers:

True

5) Consider a biased coin with probability p = 1/3 of landing heads. Suppose the coin is **1** point flipped *n* times, and let  $X_i$  be a random variable denoting the  $i^{th}$  flip, where  $X_i = 1$  means heads, and  $X_i = 0$  means tails. Use the Chernoff bound

$$Pr[X \ge (1+\delta)\mu] \le e^{-\mu\delta^2/3}$$

for  $0 < \delta \leq 1$  and  $\mu = E[X]$  to determine the smallest value for *n* so that the probability that at least half the coin flips come out heads is less that 0.001.

No, the answer is incorrect. Score: 0

Accepted Answers: 249

The purpose of this question is to show the limitations of Chernoff bound. In a match of football, Indian team made 15 shots at the goal. The team has a conversion probability of p = 0.1 (i.e. the probability that a shot at the goal actually becomes a goal is 0.1), but we managed to score only 1 goal.

6) Use Chernoff bound (Hint: for  $0 < \delta < 1$ ) to bound  $q = Pr[\# \text{ of goals after } 15 \text{ shots } \leq 1]$ .

1 point

 $q \ge 1.823$  $q \le 1.823$  $q \ge 0.909$  $q \le 0.909$ 

No, the answer is incorrect. Score: 0 Accepted Answers:

 $q \le 0.909$ 

7) Use standard probability to find  $q = Pr[\# \text{ of goals after } 15 \text{ shots } \le 1]$ 

q = 0.549q = 0.623q = 0.823q = 1.823

No, the answer is incorrect. Score: 0

Accepted Answers:

q=0.549

Consider the random graph  $G_{n,p}$  on *n* vertices, where the probability of an edge between any two vertices in the graph is *p* (no multiple edges or self loops). Now, consider the random graph  $G_{n,1/2}$ ,

8) What is the expected number of neighbours of any node v?

1 point

1 point



No, the answer is incorrect. Score: 0 Accepted Answers:

 $\frac{n-1}{2} \approx n/2$ 

9) In this question, we want to show that almost all random graphs drawn from  $G_{n,0.5}$  have **1** point minimum degree  $d = (\frac{n}{2} - \sqrt{n} \ln n)$  What is the smallest upper bound one can derive for  $p = Pr[|N(v)| \le n/2 - \sqrt{n} \ln n]$  using the appropriate Chernoff bounds? (Here, N(v) is the neighbourhood of vertex v.)

Select all applicable answers:

 $p \le 1/n$   $p \le 1/n^{\ln n}$   $p \le e^{\ln^2 n}$ none of the above

No, the answer is incorrect. Score: 0

## Accepted Answers:

 $p \le 1/n^{\ln n}$  $p \le e^{\ln^2 n}$ 

**10)**We wish to show that almost all nodes in  $G_{n,0.5}$  have degree concentrated in the range  $((\frac{n}{2} - \sqrt{3n/2} \ln n, \frac{n}{2} + \sqrt{3n/2} \ln n))$  What is the smallest upper bound one can derive for

 $p = Pr[|d - n/2| \le \sqrt{3n/2} \ln n]$ ? (You must use the Chernoff bound for both tails which states that for  $0 < \delta \le 1$ ,  $Pr[|X - \mu| \ge \delta\mu] \le 2e^{-\mu\delta^{2}/3}$ )

Select all applicable answers:

 $p \le 2/n$   $p \le 2/n^{\ln n}$   $p \le 2e^{\ln^2 n}$ none of the above

No, the answer is incorrect. Score: 0

# Accepted Answers:

 $p \le 2/n^{\ln n}$  $p \le 2e^{\ln^2 n}$ 

11)Consider random graphs in  $G_{n,0.5}$ . One of the questions the instructor asked at the end of **1** point the segment on random graphs was to show that the diameter is at most 2 with high probability. What can you say about the probability that the diameter is 1 (i.e., the graph is a clique)? Put another way, what can you say about p = Pr(all the pairs are connected)?

Select all applicable answers:

 $p \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ 1/4  $p = 2^{-\binom{n}{2}}$  $p = 2^{-n}$ 

No, the answer is incorrect. Score: 0

Accepted Answers:  $p \to 0$ , as  $n \to \infty$  $p = 2^{-\binom{n}{2}}$ 

Given a population of N people, we want to estimate the fraction of people who would vote for  $\underline{mickeymouse}$  in the coming election. We have decided to conduct a survey of n people chosen uniformly at random (UAR). Let's approximate the true fraction p by the fraction of people in the sample( $\tilde{p}$ ) who support  $\underline{mickeymouse}$ .

12)Use Chernoff bound to find a bound on *n*, such that,  $Pr(p \notin [\tilde{p} - \epsilon, \tilde{p} + \epsilon]) \le \delta$  **1** point

$$n \ge \frac{3p}{\epsilon^2} \ln \frac{2}{\delta}$$

$$n \ge O(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \ln \frac{2}{\delta})$$

$$All of the above$$

$$None of the above$$
No, the answer is incorrect.
Score: 0

Accepted Answers: All of the above

13)What is the relation of n to N

1 point

 $n \in \Theta(n)$  $n \in \Theta(\log n)$  $n \in O\left(\frac{\ln N}{\epsilon^2 \delta}\right)$ n is independent of N.

No, the answer is incorrect. Score: 0

**Accepted Answers:** n is independent of N.

(Chernoff vs Chebyshev's) We toss a fair coin n times. Let  $S_n$  be the number of heads we have observed.

<sup>14</sup>Use Chebyshev's inequality to find  $q = Pr\left[\left|\frac{S_n}{n} - \frac{1}{2}\right| \ge \frac{1}{4}\right]$ 1 point  $q \ge 4/n$  $q \leq 4/n$  $q \ge 16/n$  $q \leq 16/n$ 

No, the answer is incorrect. Score: 0 **Accepted Answers:**  $q \leq 4/n$ 

<sup>15</sup>Which of the following is the best bound you can guarantee for  $q = Pr \left| \left| \frac{S_n}{n} - \frac{1}{2} \right| \ge \frac{1}{4} \right|$ 1 point using an appropriate Chernoff bound. (Hint: use the Chernoff bound defined for both tails and for

 $0 < \delta \leq 1$ ).

 $q \ge 24/n$  $q \leq 24/n$  $q \ge 2e^{-n/24}$  $q \le 2e^{-n/24}$ 

No, the answer is incorrect. Score: 0

**Accepted Answers:**  $q \le 2e^{-n/24}$ 

Consider a solution for permutation routing on an n-dimensional hypercube in which packets are deterministically routed to their destinations via bit-fixing.

16)Which of these statements are correct for the case where n = 5? 1 point 1. Suppose the packet from (0, 0, 0, 0, 0) is destined for (1, 1, 1, 1, 1). That packet takes  $\geq 5$  steps 2. Routing from (0, 0, 0, 0, 0) to (1, 1, 1, 1, 1) takes fewer than 5 steps

3.Suppose the packet from (0, 0, 0, 0, 0) is destined for some arbitrary  $(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5)$ . That packet always takes at least 5 steps regardless of the  $b_i$  values.

4. Routing from any  $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5)$  to  $(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5)$  never exceeds 5 steps

1 1 and 3

2 2 and 4 No, the answer is incorrect. Score: 0 **Accepted Answers:** 1 17) The number of rounds required for the routing to take place is 1 point  $O(\log n)$  $\Omega(n)$  $\Theta(\sqrt{n})$ None of these. No, the answer is incorrect. Score: 0 **Accepted Answers:**  $\Omega(n)$ Consider the Two-Phase routing algorithm for permutation routing on a hypercube. 18)Consider the bit-fixing algorithm for permutation routing. Which among the following is the 1 point smallest upper bound for the average number of packets that traverse the edges of the hypercube.

O(1)  $O(\log n)$   $O(\sqrt{n})$   $O(\sqrt{n})$ 

No, the answer is incorrect. Score: 0

## Accepted Answers:

O(1)

19)Consider Phase-II, assuming that all packets complete their Phase-I. How is Phase-II **1** point accounted for?

Phase-II is exactly same as Phase-I

Phase-II is exactly same as Phase-I running backward in the sense that each packet starts at a random

origin that is distinct from the origin of all other packets and ends at a destination that is also distinct from the destinations of all other packets.

Phase-II is exactly same as Phase-I running backward in the sense that each packet starts at random origin (allowing the possibility that multiple packets can have the same origin) and end at a given destination that is distinct from the destinations of all other packets.

None of these.

#### No, the answer is incorrect. Score: 0

#### Accepted Answers:

Phase-II is exactly same as Phase-I running backward in the sense that each packet starts at random origin (allowing the possibility that multiple packets can have the same origin) and end at a given destination that is distinct from the destinations of all other packets..

Consider a random variable $X$ taking non-negative values. Then,	, $E(X) \to \infty \implies$	$Pr(X = 0) < \epsilon$
--	------------------------------	------------------------

$\bigcirc$	True
$\bigcirc$	False

No, the answer is incorrect. Score: 0 Accepted Answers: False

Previous Page





End