

An introduction to Information Theory

Adrish Banerjee

Department of Electrical Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur
Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh
India

Aug. 29, 2016



Lecture #12A: Gaussian Channel



Outline of the lecture

- The Gaussian channel



Outline of the lecture

- The Gaussian channel
- Achievability of Gaussian channel capacity



Outline of the lecture

- The Gaussian channel
- Achievability of Gaussian channel capacity
- Converse to the coding theorem for Gaussian channels



Outline of the lecture

- The Gaussian channel
- Achievability of Gaussian channel capacity
- Converse to the coding theorem for Gaussian channels
- Bandlimited Gaussian channel



The Gaussian Channel

- The capacity of the Gaussian channel with power constraint P and noise variance N is given by

$$C = \max_{EX^2 \leq P} I(X; Y) = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{P}{N} \right)$$

where maximum is attained when $X \sim N(0, K)$.



The Gaussian Channel

- The capacity of the Gaussian channel with power constraint P and noise variance N is given by

$$C = \max_{EX^2 \leq P} I(X; Y) = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{P}{N} \right)$$

where maximum is attained when $X \sim N(0, K)$.

Proof:

- Capacity is given by

$$C = \max_{p(x): EX^2 \leq P} I(X; Y)$$



The Gaussian Channel

- We can write $I(X;Y)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} I(X; Y) &= h(Y) - h(Y|X) \\ &= h(Y) - h(X + Z|X) \\ &= h(Y) - h(Z|X) \\ &= h(Y) - h(Z) \end{aligned}$$



The Gaussian Channel

- We can write $I(X;Y)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} I(X; Y) &= h(Y) - h(Y|X) \\ &= h(Y) - h(X + Z|X) \\ &= h(Y) - h(Z|X) \\ &= h(Y) - h(Z) \end{aligned}$$

- We have $h(Z) = \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi eN$. And we also have

$$EY^2 = E(X + Z)^2 = EX^2 + 2EXEZ + EZ^2 = P + N$$



The Gaussian Channel

- Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(X; Y) &= h(Y) - h(Z) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi e(P + N) - \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi eN \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{P}{N} \right) \end{aligned}$$



The Gaussian Channel

- Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(X; Y) &= h(Y) - h(Z) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi e(P + N) - \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi eN \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{P}{N} \right) \end{aligned}$$

- Maximum is attained when $X \sim N(0, P)$.



Achievability of Gaussian channel capacity

- The capacity of a Gaussian channel with power constraint P and noise variance N is

$$C = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{P}{N} \right) \quad \text{bits per transmission}$$



Achievability of Gaussian channel capacity

- The capacity of a Gaussian channel with power constraint P and noise variance N is

$$C = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{P}{N} \right) \quad \text{bits per transmission}$$

- **Proof:** (*Achievability*). We will use the same ideas as in the proof of the channel coding theorem in the case of discrete channels: namely, random codes and joint typicality decoding.



Achievability of Gaussian channel capacity

- The capacity of a Gaussian channel with power constraint P and noise variance N is

$$C = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{P}{N} \right) \quad \text{bits per transmission}$$

- **Proof:** (*Achievability*). We will use the same ideas as in the proof of the channel coding theorem in the case of discrete channels: namely, random codes and joint typicality decoding.
- We will take into account the power constraint and the fact that the variables are continuous and not discrete.



Achievability of Gaussian channel capacity

- *Generation of the codebook* We wish to generate a codebook in which all the codewords satisfy the power constraint.



Achievability of Gaussian channel capacity

- *Generation of the codebook* We wish to generate a codebook in which all the codewords satisfy the power constraint.
- To ensure this, we generate the codewords with each element i.i.d. according to a normal distribution with variance $P - \epsilon$.



Achievability of Gaussian channel capacity

- *Generation of the codebook* We wish to generate a codebook in which all the codewords satisfy the power constraint.
- To ensure this, we generate the codewords with each element i.i.d. according to a normal distribution with variance $P - \epsilon$.
- Since for large n , $\frac{1}{n} \sum X_i^2 \rightarrow P - \epsilon$, the probability that a codeword does not satisfy the power constraint will be small.



Achievability of Gaussian channel capacity

- *Generation of the codebook* We wish to generate a codebook in which all the codewords satisfy the power constraint.
- To ensure this, we generate the codewords with each element i.i.d. according to a normal distribution with variance $P - \epsilon$.
- Since for large n , $\frac{1}{n} \sum X_i^2 \rightarrow P - \epsilon$, the probability that a codeword does not satisfy the power constraint will be small.
- Let $X_i(w)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $w = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{nR}$ be i.i.d. $\sim \mathcal{N}(0, P - \epsilon)$ forming codewords $X^n(1), X^n(2), \dots, X^n(2^{nR}) \in \mathcal{R}^n$.



Achievability of Gaussian channel capacity

- *Encoding.* After the generation of the codebook, the codebook is revealed to both the sender and the receiver.



Achievability of Gaussian channel capacity

- *Encoding.* After the generation of the codebook, the codebook is revealed to both the sender and the receiver.
- To send the message index w , the transmitter sends the w th codeword $X^n(w)$ in the codebook.



Achievability of Gaussian channel capacity

- *Encoding.* After the generation of the codebook, the codebook is revealed to both the sender and the receiver.
- To send the message index w , the transmitter sends the w th codeword $X^n(w)$ in the codebook.
- *Decoding.* The receiver looks down the list of codewords $\{X^n(w)\}$ and searches for one that is jointly typical with the received vector.



Achievability of Gaussian channel capacity

- *Encoding.* After the generation of the codebook, the codebook is revealed to both the sender and the receiver.
- To send the message index w , the transmitter sends the w th codeword $X^n(w)$ in the codebook.
- *Decoding.* The receiver looks down the list of codewords $\{X^n(w)\}$ and searches for one that is jointly typical with the received vector.
- If there is one and only one such codeword $X^n(w)$, the receiver declares $\hat{W} = w$ to be the transmitted codeword. Otherwise, the receiver declares an error.



Achievability of Gaussian channel capacity

- *Encoding.* After the generation of the codebook, the codebook is revealed to both the sender and the receiver.
- To send the message index w , the transmitter sends the w th codeword $X^n(w)$ in the codebook.
- *Decoding.* The receiver looks down the list of codewords $\{X^n(w)\}$ and searches for one that is jointly typical with the received vector.
- If there is one and only one such codeword $X^n(w)$, the receiver declares $\hat{W} = w$ to be the transmitted codeword. Otherwise, the receiver declares an error.
- The receiver also declares an error if the chosen codeword does not satisfy the power constraint.



Achievability of Gaussian channel capacity

- *Probability of error.* Without loss of generality, assume that codeword 1 was sent. Thus, $Y^n = X^n(1) + Z^n$. We define the following events:

$$E_0 = \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n X_j^2(1) > P \right\}$$

and

$$E_i = \{(X^n(i), Y^n) \text{ is in } A_\epsilon^{(n)}\}$$



Achievability of Gaussian channel capacity

- *Probability of error.* Without loss of generality, assume that codeword 1 was sent. Thus, $Y^n = X^n(1) + Z^n$. We define the following events:

$$E_0 = \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n X_j^2(1) > P \right\}$$

and

$$E_i = \{(X^n(i), Y^n) \text{ is in } A_\epsilon^{(n)}\}$$

- Then an error occurs if E_0 occurs (the power constraint is violated) or E_1^c occurs (the transmitted codeword and the received sequence are not jointly typical) or $E_2 \cup E_3 \cup \dots \cup E_{2^n}$ occurs (some wrong codeword is jointly typical with the received sequence).



Achievability of Gaussian channel capacity

- Let \mathcal{E} denote the event $\hat{W} \neq W$ and let P denote the conditional probability given that $W = 1$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} Pr(\mathcal{E}|W = 1) &= P(\mathcal{E}) = P(E_0 \cup E_1^c \cup E_2 \cup E_3 \cup \dots \cup E_{2^{nR}}) \\ &\leq P(E_0) + P(E_1^c) + \sum_{i=2}^{2^{nR}} P(E_i) \end{aligned}$$

by the union of events bound for probabilities.



Achievability of Gaussian channel capacity

- Let \mathcal{E} denote the event $\hat{W} \neq W$ and let P denote the conditional probability given that $W = 1$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} Pr(\mathcal{E}|W = 1) &= P(\mathcal{E}) = P(E_0 \cup E_1^c \cup E_2 \cup E_3 \cup \dots \cup E_{2^{nR}}) \\ &\leq P(E_0) + P(E_1^c) + \sum_{i=2}^{2^{nR}} P(E_i) \end{aligned}$$

by the union of events bound for probabilities.

- By the law of large numbers, $P(E_0) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.



Achievability of Gaussian channel capacity

- Let \mathcal{E} denote the event $\hat{W} \neq W$ and let P denote the conditional probability given that $W = 1$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} Pr(\mathcal{E}|W = 1) &= P(\mathcal{E}) = P(E_0 \cup E_1^c \cup E_2 \cup E_3 \cup \dots \cup E_{2^{nR}}) \\ &\leq P(E_0) + P(E_1^c) + \sum_{i=2}^{2^{nR}} P(E_i) \end{aligned}$$

by the union of events bound for probabilities.

- By the law of large numbers, $P(E_0) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- Now, by the joint AEP (which can be proved using the same argument as that used in the discrete case), $P(E_1^c) \rightarrow 0$, and hence

$$P(E_1^c) \leq \epsilon \quad \text{for } n \text{ sufficiently large}$$



Achievability of Gaussian channel capacity

- Since by the code generation process, $X^n(1)$ and $X^n(i)$ are independent, so are Y^n and $X^n(i)$.



Achievability of Gaussian channel capacity

- Since by the code generation process, $X^n(1)$ and $X^n(i)$ are independent, so are Y^n and $X^n(i)$.
- Hence, the probability that $X^n(i)$ and Y^n will be jointly typical is $\leq 2^{-n(I(X;Y)-3\epsilon)}$ by the joint AEP.



Achievability of Gaussian channel capacity

- Since by the code generation process, $X^n(1)$ and $X^n(i)$ are independent, so are Y^n and $X^n(i)$.
- Hence, the probability that $X^n(i)$ and Y^n will be jointly typical is $\leq 2^{-n(I(X;Y)-3\epsilon)}$ by the joint AEP.
- Now let W be uniformly distributed over $\{1, 2, \dots, 2^{nR}\}$ and consequently,

$$Pr(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{1}{2^{nR}} \sum \lambda_i = P_\epsilon^{(n)}$$



Achievability of Gaussian channel capacity

- Then

$$\begin{aligned}P_\epsilon^{(n)} &= Pr(\mathcal{E}) = Pr(\mathcal{E}|W = 1) \\&\leq P(E_0) + P(E_1^c) + \sum_{i=2}^{2^{nR}} P(E_i) \\&\leq \epsilon + \epsilon + \sum_{i=2}^{2^{nR}} 2^{-n(I(X;Y)-3\epsilon)} \\&= 2\epsilon + (2^{nR} - 1)2^{-n(I(X;Y)-3\epsilon)} \\&\leq 2\epsilon + 2^{3n\epsilon}2^{-n(I(X;Y)-R)} \\&\leq 3\epsilon\end{aligned}$$

for n sufficiently large and $R < I(X; Y) - 3\epsilon$.



Achievability of Gaussian channel capacity

- Then

$$\begin{aligned}P_\epsilon^{(n)} &= Pr(\mathcal{E}) = Pr(\mathcal{E}|W = 1) \\&\leq P(E_0) + P(E_1^c) + \sum_{i=2}^{2^{nR}} P(E_i) \\&\leq \epsilon + \epsilon + \sum_{i=2}^{2^{nR}} 2^{-n(I(X;Y)-3\epsilon)} \\&= 2\epsilon + (2^{nR} - 1)2^{-n(I(X;Y)-3\epsilon)} \\&\leq 2\epsilon + 2^{3n\epsilon}2^{-n(I(X;Y)-R)} \\&\leq 3\epsilon\end{aligned}$$

for n sufficiently large and $R < I(X; Y) - 3\epsilon$.

- This proves the existence of a good $(2^{nR}, n)$ code.



Achievability of Gaussian channel capacity

- Now choosing a good codebook and deleting the worst half of the codewords, we obtain a code with low maximal probability of error.



Achievability of Gaussian channel capacity

- Now choosing a good codebook and deleting the worst half of the codewords, we obtain a code with low maximal probability of error.
- In particular, the power constraint is satisfied by each of the remaining codewords (since the codewords that do not satisfy the power constraint have probability of error 1 and must belong to the worst half of the codewords).



Achievability of Gaussian channel capacity

- Now choosing a good codebook and deleting the worst half of the codewords, we obtain a code with low maximal probability of error.
- In particular, the power constraint is satisfied by each of the remaining codewords (since the codewords that do not satisfy the power constraint have probability of error 1 and must belong to the worst half of the codewords).
- Hence we have constructed a code that achieves a rate arbitrarily close to capacity.



Converse to the Coding Theorem for Gaussian Channels

- We prove that the capacity of a Gaussian channel is $C = \frac{1}{2} \log(1 + \frac{P}{N})$ by proving that rates $R > C$ are not achievable.



Converse to the Coding Theorem for Gaussian Channels

- We prove that the capacity of a Gaussian channel is $C = \frac{1}{2} \log(1 + \frac{P}{N})$ by proving that rates $R > C$ are not achievable.
- The proof is similar to the proof for the discrete channel. The main new ingredient is the power constraint.



Converse to the Coding Theorem for Gaussian Channels

- We prove that the capacity of a Gaussian channel is $C = \frac{1}{2} \log(1 + \frac{P}{N})$ by proving that rates $R > C$ are not achievable.
- The proof is similar to the proof for the discrete channel. The main new ingredient is the power constraint.
- **Proof:** We must show that if $P_e^{(n)} \rightarrow 0$ for a sequence of $(2^{nR}, n)$ codes for a Gaussian channel with power constraint P , then

$$R \leq C = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{P}{N} \right)$$



Converse to the Coding Theorem for Gaussian Channels

- Consider any $(2^{nR}, n)$ code that satisfies the power constraint, that is,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2(w) \leq P$$

for $w = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{nR}$.



Converse to the Coding Theorem for Gaussian Channels

- Consider any $(2^{nR}, n)$ code that satisfies the power constraint, that is,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2(w) \leq P$$

for $w = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{nR}$.

- Proceeding as in the converse for the discrete case, let W be distributed uniformly over $\{1, 2, \dots, 2^{nR}\}$.



Converse to the Coding Theorem for Gaussian Channels

- Consider any $(2^{nR}, n)$ code that satisfies the power constraint, that is,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2(w) \leq P$$

for $w = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{nR}$.

- Proceeding as in the converse for the discrete case, let W be distributed uniformly over $\{1, 2, \dots, 2^{nR}\}$.
- The uniform distribution over the index set $W \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2^{nR}\}$ induces a distribution on the input codewords, which in turn induces a distribution over the input alphabet.



Converse to the Coding Theorem for Gaussian Channels

- Consider any $(2^{nR}, n)$ code that satisfies the power constraint, that is,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2(w) \leq P$$

for $w = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{nR}$.

- Proceeding as in the converse for the discrete case, let W be distributed uniformly over $\{1, 2, \dots, 2^{nR}\}$.
- The uniform distribution over the index set $W \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2^{nR}\}$ induces a distribution on the input codewords, which in turn induces a distribution over the input alphabet.
- This specifies a joint distribution on $W \rightarrow X^n(W) \rightarrow Y^n \rightarrow \hat{W}$.



Converse to the Coding Theorem for Gaussian Channels

- To relate probability of error and mutual information, we can apply Fano's inequality to obtain

$$H(W|\hat{W}) \leq 1 + nRP_\epsilon^{(n)} = n\epsilon_n,$$

where $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ as $P_\epsilon^{(n)} \rightarrow 0$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} nR = H(W) &= I(W; \hat{W}) + H(W|\hat{W}) \\ &\leq I(W; \hat{W}) + n\epsilon_n \\ &\leq I(X^n; Y^n) + n\epsilon_n \\ &= h(Y^n) - h(Y^n|X^n) + n\epsilon_n \\ &= h(Y^n) - h(Z^n) + n\epsilon_n \end{aligned}$$



Converse to the Coding Theorem for Gaussian Channels

-

$$\begin{aligned} nR &= h(Y^n) - h(Z^n) + n\epsilon_n \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n h(Y_i) - h(Z^n) + n\epsilon_n \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n h(Y_i) - \sum_{i=1}^n h(Z_i) + n\epsilon_n \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i; Y_i) + n\epsilon_n \end{aligned}$$



Converse to the Coding Theorem for Gaussian Channels

- Here $X_i = x_i(W)$, where W is drawn according to the uniform distribution on $\{1, 2, \dots, 2^{nR}\}$.



Converse to the Coding Theorem for Gaussian Channels

- Here $X_i = x_i(W)$, where W is drawn according to the uniform distribution on $\{1, 2, \dots, 2^{nR}\}$.
- Now let P_i be the average power of the i th column of the codebook, that is,

$$P_i = \frac{1}{2^{nR}} \sum_w x_i^2(w)$$



Converse to the Coding Theorem for Gaussian Channels

- Here $X_i = x_i(W)$, where W is drawn according to the uniform distribution on $\{1, 2, \dots, 2^{nR}\}$.
- Now let P_i be the average power of the i th column of the codebook, that is,

$$P_i = \frac{1}{2^{nR}} \sum_w x_i^2(w)$$

- Then, since $Y_i = X_i + Z_i$ and since X_i and Z_i are independent, the average power EY_i^2 of Y_i is $P_i + N$.



Converse to the Coding Theorem for Gaussian Channels

- Here $X_i = x_i(W)$, where W is drawn according to the uniform distribution on $\{1, 2, \dots, 2^{nR}\}$.
- Now let P_i be the average power of the i th column of the codebook, that is,

$$P_i = \frac{1}{2^{nR}} \sum_w x_i^2(w)$$

- Then, since $Y_i = X_i + Z_i$ and since X_i and Z_i are independent, the average power EY_i^2 of Y_i is $P_i + N$.
- Hence, since entropy is maximized by the normal distribution,

$$h(Y_i) \leq \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi e(P_i + N)$$



Converse to the Coding Theorem for Gaussian Channels

- Continuing with the inequalities of the converse, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} nR &\leq \sum (h(Y_i) - h(Z_i)) + n\epsilon_n \\ &\leq \sum \left(\frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi e(P_i + N)) - \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi eN \right) + n\epsilon_n \\ &= \sum \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{P_i}{N} \right) + n\epsilon_n \end{aligned}$$



Converse to the Coding Theorem for Gaussian Channels

- Continuing with the inequalities of the converse, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} nR &\leq \sum (h(Y_i) - h(Z_i)) + n\epsilon_n \\ &\leq \sum \left(\frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi e(P_i + N)) - \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi eN \right) + n\epsilon_n \\ &= \sum \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{P_i}{N} \right) + n\epsilon_n \end{aligned}$$

- Since each of the codewords satisfies the power constraint, so does their average, and hence

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_i P_i \leq P,$$



Converse to the Coding Theorem for Gaussian Channels

- Since $f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \log(1+x)$ is a concave function of x , we can apply Jensen's inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{P_i}{N} \right) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{P_i}{N} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{P}{N} \right) \end{aligned}$$



Converse to the Coding Theorem for Gaussian Channels

- Since $f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \log(1+x)$ is a concave function of x , we can apply Jensen's inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{P_i}{N} \right) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{P_i}{N} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{P}{N} \right) \end{aligned}$$

- Thus $R \leq \frac{1}{2} \log(1 + \frac{P}{N}) + \epsilon_n$, $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$, and we have the required converse.



The Gaussian Channel

- If the power constrained Gaussian channel is bandlimited to W Hz, and noise power spectral density is given by $N_0/2$, the capacity is given by

$$C = W \log \left(1 + \frac{P}{N_0 W} \right) \text{ bits per second}$$



The Gaussian Channel

- If the power constrained Gaussian channel is bandlimited to W Hz, and noise power spectral density is given by $N_0/2$, the capacity is given by

$$C = W \log \left(1 + \frac{P}{N_0 W} \right) \text{ bits per second}$$

- For Gaussian channel with infinite bandwidth ($W \rightarrow \infty$), power P and noise spectral density $N_0/2$, the capacity is given by

$$C = \frac{P}{N_0} \log_2 e \text{ bits per second}$$



Bandlimited Gaussian Channel

Proof:

- If the channel is bandlimited to W , sampling the signal at sampling rate $\frac{1}{2W}$ is sufficient to reconstruct the signal from the samples.



Bandlimited Gaussian Channel

Proof:

- If the channel is bandlimited to W , sampling the signal at sampling rate $\frac{1}{2W}$ is sufficient to reconstruct the signal from the samples.
- If the noise has power spectral density $N_0/2$ and bandwidth W , then noise power is given by $\frac{N_0}{2}2W = N_0W$ and each of the $2WT$ noise samples in time T has variance given by $N_0WT/2WT = N_0/2$.



Bandlimited Gaussian Channel

Proof:

- If the channel is bandlimited to W , sampling the signal at sampling rate $\frac{1}{2W}$ is sufficient to reconstruct the signal from the samples.
- If the noise has power spectral density $N_0/2$ and bandwidth W , then noise power is given by $\frac{N_0}{2}2W = N_0W$ and each of the $2WT$ noise samples in time T has variance given by $N_0WT/2WT = N_0/2$.
- Let the channel be used over the time interval $[0, T]$, then power per sample is given by $PT/2WT = P/2W$.



Bandlimited Gaussian Channel

Proof:

- If the channel is bandlimited to W , sampling the signal at sampling rate $\frac{1}{2W}$ is sufficient to reconstruct the signal from the samples.
- If the noise has power spectral density $N_0/2$ and bandwidth W , then noise power is given by $\frac{N_0}{2}2W = N_0W$ and each of the $2WT$ noise samples in time T has variance given by $N_0WT/2WT = N_0/2$.
- Let the channel be used over the time interval $[0, T]$, then power per sample is given by $PT/2WT = P/2W$.
- Noise variance per sample is given by $\frac{N_0}{2}2W\frac{T}{2WT} = N_0/2$.



Bandlimited Gaussian Channel

Proof:

- Capacity per sample is given by

$$C = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{\frac{P}{2W}}{\frac{N_0}{2}} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{P}{N_0 W} \right) \text{ bits per sample}$$



Bandlimited Gaussian Channel

Proof:

- Capacity per sample is given by

$$C = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{\frac{P}{2W}}{\frac{N_0}{2}} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{P}{N_0 W} \right) \text{ bits per sample}$$

- Since there are $2W$ samples per second, the capacity is given by

$$C = W \log \left(1 + \frac{P}{N_0 W} \right) \text{ bits per second}$$



Bandlimited Gaussian Channel

Proof:

- Capacity per sample is given by

$$C = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{\frac{P}{2W}}{\frac{N_0}{2}} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{P}{N_0 W} \right) \text{ bits per sample}$$

- Since there are $2W$ samples per second, the capacity is given by

$$C = W \log \left(1 + \frac{P}{N_0 W} \right) \text{ bits per second}$$

- For $(W \rightarrow \infty)$, we have

$$C = \frac{P}{N_0} \log_2 e \text{ bits per second}$$

