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## 1 Introduction

In the previous lecture, we saw an equivalence between functions and vectors w.r.t. inner product and Parsevals theorem. We saw how functions can be considered as generalized vectors. Another dimension of same is replacing work with functions with work with sequences. It is possible to work with sequences in place of functions. Sequences are much easier to deal with the computer. It can be processed in discrete time by a computer that further produces a sequence. If whatever we are doing with a sequence maps exactly with what we want do with an original continuous time functions then it is an added advantage. This is true for the spaces contained in $V_{0}$ contained in $V_{1}$ contained in $V_{2}$ and so on in the $\mathbb{L}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ ladder shown below.

$$
\{0\} \subset V_{-2} \subset V_{-1} \subset V_{0} \subset V_{1} \subset V_{2} \subset \ldots
$$

Basis functions for $V_{0}$ subspace is given by $\{\Phi(t-n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. The function $\Phi(t-n)$ for $t=n$ is shown below. This is also an orthonormal basis for $V_{0}$ as


Figure 1: Basis function for $V_{0}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\Phi(t-n), \Phi(t-m)\rangle & =0, & & n \neq m \\
& =1, & & n=m
\end{aligned}
$$

where $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

## 2 Function and Sequence

To have an idea between function and sequence consider the function $x(t) \in V_{0}$

$$
x(t)=\ldots+\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \Phi(t+1)+\left(\frac{-3}{4}\right) \Phi(t)+\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \Phi(t-1)+(4) \Phi(t-2)+\ldots
$$

There is an equivalence between $x(t)$ and sequence. So, corresponding sequence is

$$
x[n]=\left[\ldots, \frac{1}{2},-\frac{3}{\uparrow}, \frac{3}{2}, 4, \ldots\right]
$$

The function $x(t)$ belongs to $V_{0} \in \mathbb{L}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and thus sequence also belongs to a set of square summable sequences. If a function $x(t)$ is square integrable i.e. $\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|x(t)|^{2} d t<\infty\right)$ then corresponding sequence is square summable i.e. $\left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}|x[n]|^{2}<\infty\right)$. Here, we use a notion that $x(t) \in \mathbb{L}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$, implies $x[n] \in l_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$.
In general, $l_{p}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a linear space of sequences such that

$$
\left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}|x[n]|^{p}<\infty\right)
$$

We have just shown a correspondence that if $x(t) \in V_{0} \in L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ then $x[n] \in l_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. Note $\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{n}]$ is the sequence of coefficients of expansion of $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t})$ with respect to an ORTHONORMAL basis. If the basis is orthonormal then there is mapping between inner products. For example, suppose $x(t), y(t) \in V_{0}$, then inner product in continuous time is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle x(t), y(t)\rangle & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t) \overline{y(t)} d t \\
& =K_{0} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x[n] \overline{y[n]} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $K_{0}$ is a constant. Therefore, what we do in context of continuous time function can be equivalently done in the context of discrete domain for corresponding sequence. So, eventually we are forgetting continuous functions and dealing with sequence $x[n]$. What is the motivation behind this? Our motive is to extract an incremental information from going one subspace to another in a ladder. Now we will see how to move from one resolution to another or extract the incremental information from the function. Note that this process corresponds to going from low resolution subspaces to high resolution in $L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ ladder of subspaces. Consider $y(t) \in V_{1}$. Corresponding sequence $y[n]$ is

$$
[\ldots, 4,7,10,16,11,3,-1 \ldots]
$$

The relationship between $y(t)$ and $y[n]$ is

$$
y(t)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} y[n] \Phi(2 t-n)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(2 t-n) & =1, & & \frac{n}{2}<t<\frac{n+1}{2} \\
& =0, & & \text { otherwise }
\end{aligned}
$$

Now consider an orthogonal decomposition of a function in $V_{1}$, into functions in $V_{0}$ and $W_{0}$


Figure 2: $y(t)$
denoted by

$$
V_{1}=V_{0} \bigoplus W_{0}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{0} & =\operatorname{span}\{\Psi(t-n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \\
V_{0} & =\operatorname{span}\{\Phi(t-n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$V_{1}$ is the orthogonal sum of subspaces $V_{0}$ and $W_{0}$. The idea of orthogonal decomposition is to decompose a function into functions of smaller spaces such that decomposed components are orthogonal to each other or their inner product is zero. For example, a room can be considered as an orthogonal sum of 2-d floor and a multiple of 1-d vectors perpendicular to the floor. Any vector in the plane of the floor is perpendicular to the vectors which are perpendicular to the floor and their inner product will also be zero. The same concept can be generalized to N -dimensional space.
We have seen the equivalence between functions and sequences with respect to square integrability and inner products. Now consider the angle between two functions or vectors defined in terms of their inner product. There exists equivalence with respect to angles also. As we are considering functions and sequences as generalized vectors, angle between two functions $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ in any subspace is defined by

$$
\cos (\theta)=\frac{\langle x(t), y(t)\rangle}{\|x\|\|y\|}
$$

where $\theta$ is angle between $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ and $x(t), y(t) \in L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$.
To check whether it is possible to decompose a sequence in terms of other sequences, consider $x_{1}(t) \in V_{0}$ and $x_{2}(t) \in W_{0}$ denoted by solid and dashed lines respectively, as shown in figure 3 below. Note that $\left\langle x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t)\right\rangle=0$ and thus $x_{1}(t)$ and $x_{2}(t)$ are orthogonal to each other.


Figure 3: Functions $x_{1}(t)$ and $x_{2}(t)$
Take any function in $V_{1}$ in the open interval $] n, n+1[$. It can be written as a summation of function belonging to $V_{0}$ and and a function belonging to $W_{0}$ from figure 3. Thus we can decompose a function in $V_{1}$ in functions in $V_{0}$ and $W_{0}$ in a unique way. Can we make corresponding construction on the sequences?
Consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{1}: p(t) & \rightarrow p[n] \\
W_{0}: q_{0}(t) & \rightarrow q_{0}[n] \\
V_{0}: p_{0}(t) & \rightarrow p_{0}[n]
\end{aligned}
$$

here $p_{0}(t)$ and $q_{0}(t)$ are orthogonally decomposed functions of $p(t)$ thus, $p(t)=p_{0}(t)+q_{0}(t)$ and $\mathrm{p}[\mathrm{n}], p_{0}[n]$ and $q_{0}[n]$ are corresponding sequences. To check whether $p[n]=p_{0}[n]+q_{0}[n]$ holds or not, consider the three sequences $\mathrm{p}[\mathrm{n}], p_{0}[n]$ and $q_{0}[n]$ of $V_{1}, V_{0}$ and $W_{0}$ subspaces. If unit interval in $V_{0}$ and $W_{0}$ subspaces is $] n, n+1$ [ then the corresponding interval in $V_{1}$ and $W_{1}$ subspaces is $] 2 n, 2 n+2$ [ and the previous example is reconsidered for the corresponding sequences in the figures below. Note that function in $V_{1}$ has the value C 1 at 2 n and C 2 at


Figure 4: Sequence corresponding to function $p(t) \in V_{1}$
$(2 \mathrm{n}+1)$. Thus, $p[2 n]=C 1, p[2 n+1]=C 2$.
Similarly,

$$
p_{0}[n]=\frac{(C 1+C 2)}{2}
$$



Figure 5: Sequence corresponding to function $q_{0}(t) \in W_{0}$


Figure 6: Sequence corresponding to function $p_{0}(t) \in V_{0}$

$$
q_{0}[n]=\frac{C 1-C 2}{2}
$$

Note that the relation among $\mathrm{p}[\mathrm{n}], p_{0}[n]$ and $q_{0}[n]$ is not $p[n]=p_{0}[n]+q_{0}[n]$, but

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{0}[n] & =\frac{p[2 n]+p[2 n+1]}{2}  \tag{2}\\
\left.q_{[ } n\right] & =\frac{p[2 n]-p[2 n+1]}{2} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Above equations show that $p_{0}[n]$ and $q_{0}[n]$ are outputs of discrete time filters. Then the Discrete time filter with $\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{n}]$ and $\mathrm{y}[\mathrm{n}]$ as input and output respectively is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y[n]=\frac{x[n]+x[n+1]}{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 Downsampler

In the previous example if $\mathrm{p}[\mathrm{n}]$ is input to the filter given by equation (4), then output is not $p_{0}[n]$. For output to be equal to $p_{0}[n]$, the filter must be driven by a system with input $x_{i n}$ and
output $x_{\text {out }}$ related by $x_{\text {out }}[n]=x_{\text {in }}[2 n]$.
Now consider the system which does this operation. It retains only the even samples of the input sequence and removes the odd samples. Note that it locates the even samples at half of the original sample number. For example if $x_{i n}[n]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}635 & 2 \\ \hline\end{array} 34\right]$ is the input to this system then output $x_{\text {out }}[n]=[6584]$. Note that $x_{\text {out }}[0]$ comes from $x_{\text {in }}[0], x_{\text {out }}[2]=x_{\text {in }}[4]$ and thus $x_{\text {out }}[n]=x_{\text {in }}[2 n]$. Such a system is called Downsampler or Decimator. Figure 7 shows decimation by 2 .


Figure 7: Downsampling by 2
Thus to implement the equation (2) we need a discrete time filter given by equation (4) followed by a downsampler by 2 . This helps to construct a sequence $p_{0}[n]$ from $p[n]$.

