## ME-662 CONVECTIVE HEAT AND MASS TRANSFER

A. W. Date<br>Mechanical Engineering Department Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay<br>Mumbai - 400076<br>India

LECTURE-7 SIMILARITY SOLUTION TO VELOCITY BL

## LECTURE-7 SIM SOLN TO VEL BL

(1) Similarity Equation and Boundary Conditions
(2) Shooting Method
(3) Solutions to Velocity Boundary Layer Equation

## Similarity Eqn and BCs -L7( $\left.\frac{1}{14}\right)$

Our interest is to solve

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{\prime \prime \prime} & +\left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right) f f^{\prime \prime}+m\left(1-f^{\prime 2}\right)=0  \tag{1}\\
f(0) & =-B_{f}\left(\frac{2}{m+1}\right) \quad f^{\prime}(0)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad f^{\prime}(\infty)=1 \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The solution gives the velocity profiles

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{\prime}(\eta) & =\frac{u}{U_{\infty}}=F\left(m, B_{f}\right)  \tag{3}\\
\frac{v}{U_{\infty}} R e_{x}^{0.5} & =-\left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right)\left\{f+\left(\frac{m-1}{m+1}\right) \eta f^{\prime}\right\} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

## Parameters of Interest - L7( $\frac{2}{14}$ )

The $f^{\prime}(\eta)$ solution gives the Coefficient of Friction $C_{f, x}$ as a function of Reynolds number $R e_{x}=U_{\infty} x / \nu$

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau_{w, x} & =\mu\left\{\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right\}_{y=0}=\mu U_{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{U_{\infty}}{\nu x}} f^{\prime \prime}(0)  \tag{5}\\
C_{f, x} & =\frac{\tau_{w, x}}{\rho U_{\infty}^{2} / 2}=2 f^{\prime \prime}(0) R e_{x}^{-0.5}  \tag{6}\\
\overline{C_{f}} & =\frac{1}{L} \int_{0}^{L} \tau_{w, x} d x=\left(\frac{2}{3 m+1}\right) C_{f, x} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, we must determine $f^{\prime \prime}(0)$. Further parameters of interest will be listed in a later slide.

## Shooting Method - L7 $\left(\frac{3}{14}\right)$

The 3rd order equation is split into three 1st order ODEs

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d f}{d \eta}=f^{\prime} \text { with } \quad f(0)=B_{f}\left(\frac{2}{m+1}\right) \text { (known) }  \tag{8}\\
\frac{d f^{\prime}}{d \eta}=f^{\prime \prime} \text { with } f^{\prime}(0)=0 \text { (known) }  \tag{9}\\
\frac{d f^{\prime \prime}}{d \eta}=f^{\prime \prime \prime}=-\left[\left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right) f f^{\prime \prime}+m\left(1-f^{\prime 2}\right)\right] \\
\text { with } \quad f^{\prime \prime}(0) \text { (unknown) } \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Each equation is solved by Runge-Kutta Method from $\eta=0$ to $\eta=\eta_{\text {max }}$ (in liu of $\eta=\infty$ ).
Typically, $3<\eta_{\max }<10$ suffices depending on the value of $B_{f}$ and $m$.

## Iterative Algorithm - L6( $\left.\frac{4}{14}\right)$

(1) Select values of $m$ and $B_{f}$
(2) Select $\eta_{\max }$ and step change $d \eta$
(3) Guess $f^{\prime \prime}(0)$
(a) Solve three equations simulteneously by R-K method
(5) Check if value of $f^{\prime}\left(\eta_{\text {max }}\right)=1$ or NOT
(c) If NOT, revise $f^{\prime \prime}(0)=\Phi$ as

$$
\Phi(k+1)=\Phi(k)+(1-\psi(k))\left[\frac{\Phi(k)-\Phi(k-1)}{\psi(k)-\psi(k-1)}\right]
$$

where k is iteration number and $\psi=f^{\prime}\left(\eta_{\max }\right)$.
C Go to step 4
(3) At Convergence,
(0) Print values of $f(\eta), f^{\prime}(\eta), f^{\prime \prime}(\eta)$.
(2) Note value of $f^{\prime \prime}(0)$

## Typical Convergence History - L7( $\frac{5}{14}$ )

Solution is obtained for $\mathrm{m}=0, B_{f}=0, \eta_{\max }=7$ and $d \eta=\eta_{\max } / 300$. Intial Guess, $f^{\prime \prime}(0)=0.02$.

| k | $f^{\prime \prime}(0)$ | $f\left(\eta_{\max }\right)$ | $f^{\prime}\left(\eta_{\max }\right)$ | $f^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta_{\max }\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.02 | $0.465 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.123 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.115 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 2 | 0.07 | $0.147 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.342 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.111 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 3 | 0.220 | $0.382 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.761 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.125 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 4 | 0.306 | $0.496 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.948 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.321 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 5 | 0.329 | $0.525 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.997 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.221 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 6 | 0.33071 | $0.527 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.216 \mathrm{E}-03$ |

Because of very poor guess, 6 iterations are required. In this case, $C_{f, x}=0.6614 R e_{x}^{-0.5}$ and $\overline{C_{f}}=1.28 R e_{L}^{-0.5}$. Series Solution: $C_{f, x}=0.664 R e_{x}^{-0.5}$ and $\overline{C_{f}}=1.328 R e_{L}^{-0.5}$.

## Typical Profiles - L7( $\frac{6}{14}$ )



Figure: Profiles of $\mathrm{f}, f^{\prime}$ and $f^{\prime \prime}-\left(\mathrm{m}=0 B_{f}=0\right)$

## Characteristic Thicknesses - L7( $\frac{7}{14}$ )

(1) The Physical Thickness $\delta$ is notionally asscociated with value of $y$ where $u / U_{\infty}=f^{\prime}(\eta) \simeq 0.99$.
(2) Diplacement Thickness $\delta_{1}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{1}=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{\rho u}{\rho_{\infty} U_{\infty}}\right) d y \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It represents the Mass Deficit caused by the viscosity affected low velocity ( that is $u<U_{\infty}$ ) region near a wall.
(3) Momentum Thickness $\delta_{2}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{2}=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{u}{U_{\infty}}\left(1-\frac{\rho u}{\rho_{\infty} U_{\infty}}\right) d y \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It represents Momentum Deficit caused by the boundary layer

## Dimensionless Forms - L7( $\frac{8}{14}$ )

In incompressible flows $\rho / \rho_{\infty}=1$. Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta^{*} & =\frac{\delta}{x} R e_{x}^{0.5}  \tag{13}\\
\delta_{1}^{*} & =\frac{\delta_{1}}{x} R e_{x}^{0.5}=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(1-f^{\prime}(\eta)\right) d \eta  \tag{14}\\
\delta_{2}^{*} & =\frac{\delta_{2}}{x} R e_{x}^{0.5}=\int_{0}^{\infty} f^{\prime}(\eta)\left(1-f^{\prime}(\eta)\right) d \eta  \tag{15}\\
C_{f, x} & =\frac{\tau_{w, x}}{\rho U_{\infty}^{2} / 2}=2 f^{\prime \prime}(0) R e_{x}^{-0.5} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

These are evalutaed from Similarity solutions at convergence.

## Effect of Pressure Gradient m-L7( $\frac{9}{14}$ )

Solutions with $B_{f}=0$

| m | $\beta$ | $f^{\prime \prime}(0)$ | $\delta^{*}$ | $\delta_{1}^{*}$ | $\delta_{2}^{*}$ | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 4.000 | 1.600 | 2.396 | 1.330 | 0.340 | 0.157 |  |
| 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.229 | 2.380 | 0.643 | 0.290 | Stagnation |
| 0.330 | 0.500 | 0.755 | 3.400 | 0.981 | 0.427 |  |
| 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.330 | 4.900 | 1.727 | 0.663 | Flat Plate |
| -0.040 | -0.083 | 0.239 | 5.400 | 2.012 | 0.729 |  |
| -0.065 | -0.139 | 0.163 | 5.800 | 2.330 | 0.786 |  |
| -0.085 | -0.186 | 0.066 | 6.500 | 2.906 | 0.847 |  |
| -0.091 | -0.200 | 0.000 | 7.420 | 3.498 | 0.868 | Seperation |

Excellent agreement with measurements of Nikuradze ( 1942 ) and Liepman and Dhawan ( 1951 ) for Flat Plate BL ( $\mathrm{m}=0$ )

## Comments on Results - L7( $\left.\frac{10}{14}\right)$

(1) For $m=0$ ( Flat Plate ) $\delta^{*} \simeq 5$ and $f^{\prime \prime}(0) \simeq 0.33$
(2) For $m>0$ ( Acc Flow ) $\delta^{*}<5$ and $f^{\prime \prime}(0)>0.33$
(3) For $m<0$ ( Dec Flow ) $\delta^{*}>5$ and $f^{\prime \prime}(0)<0.33$
(9) For $m \leq-0.091$ ( Dec Flow ( ), $\delta^{*}>5$ and $f^{\prime \prime}(0) \leq 0$.
Hence,Separation occurs
Figure: Velocity Profiles - Effect of $\mathrm{m}\left(B_{f}=0\right)$
Adv $\operatorname{Pr} \operatorname{Gr}$ causes Flow thickening whereas Fav $\operatorname{Pr} \operatorname{Gr}$ causes Flow thinning .

## Effect of Suction/Blowing - L7( $\frac{11}{14}$ )

(1) Recall that $B_{f}=\left(V_{w}(x) / U_{\infty}(x)\right) R e_{x}^{0.5}=$ constant for similarity solutions to exist.
(2) Therefore, since $U_{\infty}=C x^{m}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{w} \propto\left(\frac{U_{\infty}}{X}\right)^{0.5} \propto x^{(m-1) / 2} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3) Solutions obtained for $\mathrm{m}=0$ and $\mathrm{m}=1$ are shown on the next slide

## Effect of $B_{f}(\mathrm{~m}=0)-\mathrm{L} 7\left(\frac{12}{14}\right)$

## Flat Plate Flow

| $B_{f}$ | $f^{\prime \prime}(0)$ | $\delta^{*}$ | $\delta_{1}^{*}$ | $\delta_{2}^{*}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -2.0 | 2.063 | 1.87 | 0.439 | 0.212 |
| -1.0 | 1.155 | 2.80 | 0.728 | 0.336 |
| -0.5 | 0.723 | 3.60 | 1.04 | 0.456 |
| 0.0 | 0.330 | 4.90 | 1.727 | 0.663 |
| 0.3 | 0.134 | 6.33 | 2.69 | 0.868 |
| 0.5 | 0.0351 | 8.40 | 4.406 | 1.07 |
| 0.612 | 0.0 | - | - | - |

(1) $B_{f}<0$ represents Suction
(2) $B_{f}>0$ represents Blowing
(3) $B_{f}=0.612$ represents Separation due to blowing

## Effect of $B_{f}(\mathbf{m}=1)-\mathbf{L} 7\left(\frac{13}{14}\right)$

## Stagnation Point Flow

| $B_{f}$ | $f^{\prime \prime}(0)$ | $\delta^{*}$ | $\delta_{1}^{*}$ | $\delta_{2}^{*}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -2.0 | 2.611 | 1.4 | 0.337 | 0.161 |
| -1.0 | 1.865 | 1.80 | 0.454 | 0.213 |
| -0.5 | 1.53 | 2.07 | 0.538 | 0.247 |
| 0.0 | 1.229 | 2.38 | 0.643 | 0.290 |
| 0.3 | 1.069 | 2.59 | 0.719 | 0.320 |
| 0.5 | 0.972 | 2.73 | 0.776 | 0.342 |
| 1.0 | 0.763 | 3.16 | 0.939 | 0.403 |

Even for $B_{f}=1.0$, Separation does not occur

## Velocity Profiles - L6( $\frac{14}{14}$ )

Flat Plate
Stagnation Point



Notice zero velocity gradient for $B_{f}=0.612$

