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## LECTURE-38 CONV M T COUETTE FLOW MODEL

(1) Gas Injection - Effect of property variation and $\omega_{T}$ - LBL
(2) Gas Injection - Effect of property variation and $\omega_{T}$-TBL
(3) Benzene evaporation in convective environment

Couette flow model permits effects of fluid property variations to be studied.

## Gas Injection $\left(\omega_{T}=1\right)-\operatorname{L38}\left(\frac{1}{14}\right)$

Prob: Consider laminar Couette flow of air in which a gas with a specified $\omega_{g, T}$ is injected. Develop relationship $\left(g / g^{*}\right) \sim B$ when the gas is $\mathrm{CO}_{2}, \mathrm{He}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$. and study the effect of $\omega_{g, \tau}$.

Soln: In the Couette flow model, $\partial(\rho u) / \partial x=0=\partial(\rho v) / \partial y$. Hence, $N_{w}=\rho_{w} v_{w}=\rho v=$ const. The species transfer Eqn

$$
N_{w} \frac{\partial \omega_{g}}{\partial y}=\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\rho_{m} D \frac{\partial \omega_{g}}{\partial y}\right)
$$



Integrating once $N_{w}\left(\omega_{g, y}-\omega_{g, w}\right)=$

$$
\left.\rho_{m} D \frac{\partial \omega_{g}}{\partial y}\right|_{y}-\left.\rho_{m} D \frac{\partial \omega_{g}}{\partial y}\right|_{w}
$$

Now, boundary condition gives ( next slide)

## Soln ( Contd ) - 1 -L38( $\frac{2}{14}$ )

$$
N_{w}=\frac{\rho_{m} D \partial \omega_{g} /\left.\partial y\right|_{w}}{\omega_{g, w}-\omega_{g, T}}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{w}\left(\omega_{g, y}-\omega_{g, w}\right) & =\left.\rho_{m} D \frac{\partial \omega_{g}}{\partial y}\right|_{y}-N_{w}\left(\omega_{g, w}-\omega_{g, T}\right) \text { or } \\
\left.\rho_{m} D \frac{\partial \omega_{g}}{\partial y}\right|_{y} & =N_{w}\left(\omega_{g, y}-\omega_{g, T}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D=$ const $\neq F\left(\omega_{g}\right)$ because $p \& T$ are const, but

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{m} & =\frac{p}{R_{u} T} M_{\text {mix }}=\frac{p}{R_{u} T}\left(\sum \frac{\omega_{j}}{M_{j}}\right)^{-1} \\
& =\frac{p}{R_{u} T}\left[\frac{M_{g} M_{a}}{M_{a} \omega_{g}+M_{g}\left(1-\omega_{g}\right)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Soln ( Contd ) - 2 - L38 $\left(\frac{3}{14}\right)$

Substitution and intgration from $\mathrm{y}=0$ to $\delta$ gives

$$
\int_{\omega_{g, w}}^{0} \frac{d \omega_{g}}{a \omega_{g}^{2}+b \omega_{g}+c}=\frac{N_{w} R_{u} T \delta}{p M_{g} M_{a} D} \text { with }
$$

$a=\left(M_{a}-M_{g}\right), \quad b=M_{g}-\omega_{g, T}\left(M_{a}-M_{g}\right), \quad c=-M_{g} \omega_{g, T}$ where the LHS is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{LHS} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}} \ln \left[\frac{2 a \omega_{g}+b-\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}{2 a \omega_{g}+b+\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}\right]_{\omega_{g, w}}^{0} \\
& =\frac{1}{M_{g}+\omega_{g, T}\left(M_{a}-M_{g}\right)} \ln \left[1+B+\omega_{g, T} B\left(\frac{M_{a}}{M_{g}}-1\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
B=\frac{0-\omega_{g, w}}{\omega_{g, w}-\omega_{g, T}}=\frac{\omega_{g, w}}{\omega_{g, T}-\omega_{g, w}} \text { and } \omega_{g, w}=\omega_{g, T} \times \frac{B}{1+B}
$$

## Soln ( Contd ) - 3 - L38( $\frac{4}{14}$ )

Now, for the Couette flow model

$$
N_{w}=g B, \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{R_{u} T}{p M_{g}}=\frac{1}{\rho_{g}}
$$

Therefore

$$
\mathrm{RHS}=\frac{N_{w} R_{u} T \delta}{p M_{g} M_{a} D}=\frac{g B \delta}{\rho_{g} M_{a} D}
$$

Equating LHS $=$ RHS and rearranging

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{g \delta}{\rho_{g} D}\right) & =\left(\frac{M_{a}}{M_{g}}\right)\left[\frac{\ln \left(1+B^{*}\right)}{B^{*}}\right] \text { where } \\
B^{*} & =B\left\{1+\omega_{g, T}\left(\frac{M_{a}}{M_{g}}-1\right)\right\} . \text { Hence } \\
\left(\frac{g}{g^{*}}\right)_{v p} & =\frac{\ln \left(1+B^{*}\right)}{B^{*}}(\text { Ans }) \rightarrow\left(\frac{g}{g^{*}}\right)_{c p}=\frac{\ln (1+B)}{B}
\end{aligned}
$$

where subscript 'vp' for variable and 'cp' for const property.

Soln $-\left(\frac{g}{g^{*}}\right) \sim B$ for $\omega_{g, T}=1-\operatorname{L38}\left(\frac{5}{14}\right)$

| B | cp | $v^{\text {co }}$ | $v p_{\text {He }}$ | $v p_{H_{2}}$ | ${ }_{\text {g,w }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| . 25 | . 893 | . 926 | . 571 | . 422 | . 200 |
| . 50 | . 811 | . 864 | . 422 | . 291 | . 333 |
| 1.0 | . 693 | . 768 | . 291 | . 189 | . 500 |
| 1.5 | . 611 | . 695 | . 228 | . 144 | 60 |
| 2.0 | . 549 | . 638 | . 189 | . 117 | . 667 |
| 2.5 | . 501 | . 591 | . 163 | . 0998 | . 714 |
| 3.0 | . 462 | . 552 | . 144 | . 0873 | . 75 |

(1) $\omega_{g, T}=1$ implies that the gas is the only transferred substance . Also, $B^{*}=B M_{a} / M_{g}$.
(2) $\left(g / g^{*}\right)_{v p, \mathrm{CO}_{2}}>\left(g / g^{*}\right)_{c p}$ because $M_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}>M_{\text {air }}$
(3) For He and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, this trend reverses.
(9) $\omega_{g, w}$ increases with B

## Soln $-\left(\frac{g}{g^{*}}\right) \sim B$ for $\omega_{g, T}=0.01-\operatorname{L38}\left(\frac{6}{14}\right)$

| B | CP | $v p_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}$ | $v p_{\mathrm{He}}$ | $v p_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}$ | $\omega_{g, w}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| .25 | .893 | .893 | .887 | .888 | .002 |
| .50 | .811 | .811 | .802 | .792 | .0033 |
| 1.0 | .693 | .694 | .681 | .668 | .005 |
| 1.5 | .611 | .612 | .598 | .584 | .006 |
| 2.0 | .549 | .550 | .536 | .522 | .0067 |
| 2.5 | .501 | .502 | .488 | .474 | .0071 |
| 3.0 | .462 | .463 | .449 | .435 | .0075 |

(1) $\omega_{g, T}=.01$ implies that the gas in the transferred substance is a small fraction - rest is air.
(2) $\left(g / g^{*}\right)_{v p, \mathrm{CO}_{2}} \simeq\left(g / g^{*}\right)_{c p}$
(3) For He and $H_{2},\left(g / g^{*}\right)_{v p}<\left(g / g^{*}\right)_{c p}$
(C) $\omega_{g, w}$, though small, increases with B

## Correlation with $\left(\frac{M_{\text {mix }, \infty}}{M_{\text {mix }, W}}\right)-\operatorname{L3} 3\left(\frac{7}{14}\right)$

Here, $M_{m i x, w}=M_{a} M_{g} /\left(M_{a} \omega_{g, w}+M_{g}\left(1-\omega_{g, w}\right)\right)$ and $M_{\text {mix }, \infty}=M_{a}$ ( because $\omega_{g, \infty}=0$ ). Hence, from slide 4, and using $\omega_{g, w}=\omega_{g, T} \times B /(1+B)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
B^{*} & =B\left\{1+\omega_{g, T}\left(\frac{M_{a}}{M_{g}}-1\right)\right\} . \\
\frac{B^{*}}{B} & =1+\left(\frac{1+B}{B}\right)\left(\frac{M_{m i x}, \infty}{M_{m i x, w}}-1\right) \\
\frac{\left(g / g^{*}\right)_{v p}}{\left(g / g^{*}\right)_{c p}} & =\frac{\ln \left(1+B^{*}\right)}{B^{*}} \times \frac{B}{\ln (1+B)}
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows dependence on $M_{\text {mix }, w} / M_{\text {mix }, \infty}$ and B as recommended correction from boundary layer flow model. If $\omega_{g, T}=0, B^{*}=B$. If $\omega_{g, T}=1, B^{*}=B\left(M_{a} / M_{g}\right)$

## Turbulent Couette Flow - 1 - L38( $\frac{8}{14}$ )

 Here, the governing Eqn will be$$
N_{w}\left(\omega_{g}-\omega_{g, T}\right)=\rho_{m}\left(D+D_{t}\right) \frac{d \omega_{g}}{d y}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{m} D_{t} & =\rho_{m} \frac{\nu_{t, \text { ref }}}{S c_{t}} \quad \text { But, from Van-Driest model } \\
\nu_{t, \text { ref }} & =\frac{\mu_{t}}{\rho_{\text {ref }}}=I_{m}^{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \rightarrow \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=C \\
& =C\left(\frac{\nu_{\text {ref }}}{u_{\tau}}\right)^{2}\left(\kappa y^{+}\right)^{2}\left\{1-\exp \left(-\frac{y^{+}}{A^{+}}\right)\right\}^{2} \text { and } \\
& =C\left(\frac{\nu_{\text {ref }}}{u_{\tau}}\right)^{2}\left(0.08 \delta^{+}\right)^{2} \text { for } y^{+}>26 \text { where } \\
C\left(\frac{\nu_{\text {ref }}}{u_{\tau}}\right)^{2} & =C \frac{\nu_{\text {ref }}^{2} \rho_{\text {ref }}}{\tau_{w}}=C \times \frac{\mu_{\text {ref }} \nu_{\text {ref }}}{\mu_{\text {ref }} C}=\nu_{\text {ref }}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Turbulent Couette Flow - 2 - L38( $\frac{9}{14}$ )

Substituting for $D_{t}$ and $\rho_{m}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{w}\left(\omega_{g}-\omega_{g, T}\right) & =\rho_{m} D\left(1+\frac{\nu_{t, \text { ref }}}{S c_{t} D}\right) \frac{d \omega_{g}}{d y} \\
& =\left(\frac{D p M_{a} M_{g}}{R_{u} T}\right) \times \frac{u_{\tau} / \nu_{\text {ref }}}{M_{a} \omega_{g}+M_{g}\left(1-\omega_{g}\right)} \\
& \times F \times \frac{d \omega_{g}}{d y^{+}} \text {where } \\
F= & 1+\left(\frac{S c}{S c_{t}}\right)\left(\kappa y^{+}\right)^{2}\left\{1-\exp \left(-\frac{y^{+}}{A^{+}}\right)\right\}^{2} y^{+}<26 \\
= & 1+\left(\frac{S c}{S c_{t}}\right)\left(0.08 \delta^{+}\right)^{2} y^{+}>26
\end{aligned}
$$

## Turbulent Couette Flow - 3 - L38( $\frac{10}{14}$ )

Taking $N_{w}=g B,\left(p M_{g}\right) /\left(R_{u} T\right)=\rho_{g}$ and $u_{\tau}=U_{\infty} \sqrt{C_{f, x} / 2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { LHS } & =\left(\frac{g}{\rho_{g} U_{\infty}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{C_{f, X}}} S c\right) \times \text { INT where INT }=\int_{0}^{\delta^{+}} \frac{d y^{+}}{F} \\
\text { RHS } & =\frac{M_{a}}{B} \int_{\omega_{g, w}}^{0} \frac{d \omega_{g}}{\left(\omega_{g}-\omega_{g, T}\right)\left\{M_{a} \omega_{g}+M_{g}\left(1-\omega_{g}\right)\right\}} \\
& =\frac{\ln \left(1+B^{*}\right)}{B^{*}} \rightarrow B^{*}=B\left\{1+\omega_{g, T}\left(\frac{M_{a}}{M_{g}}-1\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $A^{+}=26$ and $S c_{t}=0.9$, we have
INT $=9.62$ for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}-$ Air, $\mathrm{Sc}=0.96$
INT $=14.57$ for $\mathrm{H}_{2}-$ Air and He -Air, $\mathrm{Sc}=0.22$

## Turbulent Couette Flow - 4 - L38( $\frac{11}{14}$ )

Therefore

$$
\frac{g_{v p}}{\rho_{g} U_{\infty}} \times \sqrt{\frac{2}{C_{f, x}}} \times S c=\frac{1}{\text { INT }} \times \frac{\ln \left(1+B^{*}\right)}{B^{*}}
$$

and

$$
\frac{\left(g / g^{*}\right)_{v p}}{\left(g / g^{*}\right)_{c p}}=\frac{\ln \left(1+B^{*}\right)}{B^{*}} \times \frac{B}{\ln (1+B)}
$$

This result is same as that for a Laminar boundary layer.
This is because it is assumed that the value of INT is same for 'cp' and 'vp' conditions.
Note that $g_{v p}$ is significantly influenced by INT ( Sc ).

## Evaporation of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}-\mathrm{L} 38\left(\frac{12}{14}\right)$

Prob: $C_{6} H_{6}$ evaporates from the outer surface of a circular cylinder in air flowing at $6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ normal to the cylinder.
From expts, $h_{\text {cot }, v_{w}=0}=85 \mathrm{~W} / m^{2}-\mathrm{K}$ and $\mathrm{B}=0.9$.
Allowing for property variations, estimate $N_{w}$ and $\omega_{w}$.
Given: $\mathrm{Sc}=1.71, \operatorname{Pr}=0.71, c p_{C_{6} H_{6}}=1.69 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kg}-\mathrm{K}$ and $c p_{a}=1.01 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kg}-\mathrm{K}$.

Soln: Here,

$$
B=\frac{\omega_{v, \infty}-\omega_{v, w}}{\omega_{v, w}-1}=0.9 \rightarrow \omega_{v, w}=0.4737 \text { (Ans) }
$$

Therefore, $\omega_{v, m}=0.5\left(\omega_{v, \infty}+\omega_{v, w}\right)=0.2368$.
$c_{p m}=1.69 \times 0.2368+1.01 \times 0.7632=1.171 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kg}-\mathrm{K}$.
Hence, $g^{*}=\left(h_{\text {cof }, v_{w}=0} / c_{p m}\right)=0.0726 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}-\mathrm{s}$.
Also, $M_{\text {mix }, \infty}=29$ and
$M_{m i x, w}=(0.4737 / 78+0.5263 / 29)^{-1}=41.286$.

## Soln ( Contd. ) - L38( $\left.\frac{13}{14}\right)$

For Flow over a cylinder ${ }^{1}, N u_{c p} \propto P r^{0.37}$.
Therefore, using the short-cut empirical formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{g_{v p}}{g_{c p}^{*}} & =\frac{\ln (1+B)}{B} \times\left(\frac{P r}{S c}\right)^{0.37} \times\left(\frac{M_{\text {mix }, \infty}}{M_{m i x, w}}\right)^{-0.67} \\
& =\frac{\ln (1+0.9)}{0.9} \times\left(\frac{0.71}{1.71}\right)^{0.37} \times\left(\frac{29}{41.286}\right)^{-0.67}=0.6525
\end{aligned}
$$

Therfore, $g=0.0726 \times 0.6525=0.0474 \mathrm{~kg} / m^{2}-\mathrm{s}($ Ans ) . Thus, the effect of property variations is to reduce $g_{v p}$ compared to $g_{c p}$.

[^0]
## Soln ( Contd. ) - L38( $\frac{14}{14}$ )

If we followed the Couette flow theory, then in this case,

$$
B^{*}=B\left\{1+\omega_{g, T}\left(\frac{M_{a}}{M_{g}}-1\right)\right\}=0.3346
$$

Hence

$$
\left(\frac{g}{g^{*}}\right)_{v p}=\frac{\ln (1+0.3346)}{0.3346}=0.8626
$$

But, for variable properties, $h_{\text {cof }, v p}=h_{c o f, c p} \times \operatorname{Pr}{ }^{25}$. Therefore, $g_{v p}=g_{c p}^{*} \times(0.71)^{0.25} \times 0.8626=0.0575 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}-\mathrm{s}$. This value is greater than that obtained from the empirical formula. Thus, Couette flow theory provides an approximate answer due to linear velocity profile assumption.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Zhukauskas A Heat Transfer from Tubes in Crossflow, Eds: Hartnett J P and Irvine T F, Adv H T, vol 8, Academic Press, (1972 )

