

Integer Programming

All Integer Linear Programming

D Nagesh Kumar, IISc

1



Objectives

- > To discuss the need for Integer Programming (IP)
- > To discuss about the types of IP
- > To explain Integer Linear Programming (ILP)
- > To discuss the Gomory Cutting Plane method for solving ILP
 - Graphically
 - > Theoretically



Introduction

3

- In many practical problems, the values of decision variables are constrained to take only integer values
- For example, in minimization of labor needed in a project, the number of labourers should be an integer value
- By rounding off a real value to an integer value have several fundamental problems like
- Rounded solutions may not be feasible
- Even if the solutions are feasible, the objective function given by the rounded off solutions may not be the optimal one
- Finally, even if the above two conditions are satisfied, checking all the rounded-off solutions is computationally expensive (2ⁿ possible solutions to be considered for an *n* variable problem)
- This demands the need for Integer Programming

D Nagesh Kumar, IISc



Types of IP

- ***** All Integer Programming:
 - All the variables are restricted to take only integer values
- * Discrete Programming:
 - All the variables are restricted to take only discrete values
- **Mixed Integer or Discrete Programming:**
 - Only some variables are restricted to take integer or discrete values
- **Caro One Programming:**
 - Variables are constrained to take values of either zero or 1



Integer Linear Programming (ILP)

- An extension of linear programming (LP)
- Additional constraint: Variables should be integer valued
- Standard form of an ILP:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \max & c^T X\\ subject \ to & AX \leq b\\ & X \geq 0\\ & X \ must \ be \ integer \ valued \end{array}$

 Associated linear program, dropping the integer restrictions, is called *linear relaxation (LR)*



Checks for ILP:

- Minimization: Optimal objective value for LR is less than or equal to the optimal objective for ILP
- Maximization: Optimal objective value for LR is greater than or equal to that of ILP
- If LR is infeasible, then ILP is also infeasible
- If LR is optimized by integer variables, then that solution is feasible and optimal for IP



All – Integer Programming

- □ Most popular method: Gomory's Cutting Plane method
- Original feasible region is reduced to a new feasible region by including additional constraints such that all vertices of the new feasible region are now integer points
- Thus, an extreme point of the new feasible region becomes an optimal solution after accounting for the integer constraints
- Consider the optimization problem Maximize $Z = 3x_1 + x_2$ subject $2x_1 - x_2 \le 6$ $3x_1 + 9x_2 \le 45$ $x_1, x_2 \ge 0$; x_1 and x_2 are integers



Graphical Illustration

Graphical solution for the linear approximation (neglecting the integer requirements) is shown in figure





Graphical Illustration ... contd.

- > Optimal value of $Z = 17\frac{4}{7}$ and the solution is $x_1 = 4\frac{5}{7}, x_2 = 3\frac{3}{7}$
- Red dots in the figure show the feasible solutions accounting for the integer requirements
- These points are called integer lattice points
- Now to reduce the original feasible region to a new feasible region (considering x₁ and x₂ as integers) is done by including additional constraints
- Graphical solution for the IP is shown in figure below
- Two additional constraints (MN and OP) are included so that the original feasible region ABCD is reduced to a new feasible region AEFGCD



Graphical Illustration ...contd.





Generation of Gomory Constraints

- Consider the final tableau of an LP problem consisting of *r* basic variables (original variables) and *m* non basic variables (slack variables)
- The basic variables are represented as x_i (i=1,2,...,n) and the non basic variables are represented as y_j (j=1,2,...,m).

Basis	z	Variables												Ъ.
		x ₁	x2		x _i		x _n	<i>Y</i> 1	Y 2		y j		Y m	0,
Z	1	0	0		0		0	c ₁	<i>c</i> 2		c j		c _m	ь
x ₁	0	1	0		0		0	C 11	c 12		c_{1j}		Cim	b_1
x2	0	0	1		0		0	c _{2i}	c ₂₂		c _{2j}		c _{2n}	b ₂
:														
x _i	0	0	0		1		0	$c_{\mathfrak{A}}$	c 32		c_{3j}		C _{3m}	b_i
:														
x _n	0	0	0		0		1	C41	C 42		C4j		C4m	<i>b</i> _n
	Bassis Z x1 x2 :: xi :: xn	Bassis Z Z 1 x1 0 x2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Basis Z x_1 Z 1 0 X1 0 1 X1 0 1 X2 0 0 x_2 0 0 x_2 0 0 x_i 0 0 x_i 0 0 x_n 0 0	Basis 2 x_1 x_2 Z 1 0 0 x_1 0 1 0 x_1 0 1 0 x_1 0 1 0 x_2 0 1 0 x_2 0 0 1 x_2 0 0 0 x_i 0 0 0 x_i 0 0 0 x_i 0 0 0	Basis Z x_1 x_2 Z 1 0 0 X1 0 1 0 X1 0 1 0 X1 0 1 0 X1 0 1 0 X2 0 0 1 0 X2 0 0 1 0 X2 0 0 0 0	Basis Z x_1 x_2 $$ x_i Z 1 0 0 0 x_1 0 1 0 0 x_2 0 0 1 0 x_2 0 0 1 1 x_i 0 0 1 1 x_i 0 0 0 1 x_n 0 0 0 0	Basis Z x_1 x_2 x_i Z 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1	Basis Z x_1 x_2 $$ x_i $$ x_n Z 1 0 0 0 0 0 x_1 0 1 0 0 0 0 x_1 0 1 0 0 0 0 x_1 0 1 0 0 0 0 x_2 0 0 1 0	Basis Z x_1 x_2 x_i x_i x_n y_1 Z 1 0 0 x_1 0 0 x_i x_n y_1 Z 1 0 0 x_1 0 0 x_i x_n y_1 X_1 0 1 0 x_1 0 x_1 x_2 x_1 x_1 x_2 x_1 x_2 x_1 x_2 x_1 x_2 x_1 x_2 x_1 x_2 x_2 x_1 x_2 x_2 x_1 x_2 x_2 x_1 x_1 x_2 x_2 x_1 x_2 x_2 x_1 x_2 x_1 x_2 x_1 x_2 x_1 x_1 x_2 x_1 x_1 x_2 x_1 x_1 x_2 x_1 x_1 x_1	Basis Z x_1 x_2 x_i x_i x_n y_1 y_2 Z 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 Q_2 x_1 0 1 0 1 Q_2 Q_1 Q_2 x_1 0 1 Q_2 Q_1 Q_2 Q_1 Q_2 x_1 Q_1 Q_2 Q_1 Q_2 Q_1 Q_2 x_1 Q_1 Q_1 Q_2 Q_1 Q_2 Q_1 Q_2 x_2 Q_1 Q_1 Q_2	Basis Z $[x_1]$ x_2 x_i x_n y_1 y_2 $$ Z 1 0 0 1 0 0 $$ x_n y_1 y_2 $$ X_1 1 0 0 $$ 0 c_1 c_2 $$ X_1 0 1 0 $$ 0 c_1 c_2 $$ X_1 0 1 0 $$ 0 c_1 c_2 $$ X_1 0 1 $$ 0 $$ $$ $$ $$ X_1 0 1 $$	Basis Z x_1 x_2 x_i x_n x_n y_1 y_2 \dots y_j Z 1 0 0 x_i n x_n y_1 y_2 \dots y_j X 1 0 0 x_i n x_n y_1 y_2 \dots y_j X 1 0 0 x_i 0 x_i 0 x_i 0 x_i 0 x_i 0 x_i 0 x_i	Basis I <	Basis 2 $[x_1]$ x_2 x_i x_n x_n y_1 y_2 x_n y_j x_n y_n Z 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1



Generation of Gomory Constraints ... contd.

- > Pick the variable x_i having the highest fractional value. In case of a tie, choose arbitrarily any variable as x_i
- > From the ith equation,

$$x_i = b_i - \sum_{j=1}^m c_{ij} y_j$$

> Expressing both b_i and c_{ij} as an integer part plus a fractional part,

$$b_i = \overline{b}_i + \beta_i$$
$$c_{ij} = \overline{c}_{ij} + \alpha_{ij}$$

D Nagesh Kumar, IISc



Generation of Gomory Constraints ... contd.

- > $\overline{b_i}$, $\overline{c_{ij}}$ denote the integer part and
- > β_i , α_{ij} denote the fractional part for which $(0 < \beta_i < 1)$ and $(0 \le \alpha_{ij} < 1)$
- > Thus, the equation becomes,

$$\beta_i - \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{ij} y_j = x_i - \overline{b}_i - \sum_{j=1}^m \overline{c}_{ij} y_j$$



Generation of Gomory Constraints ... contd.

- Considering the integer reuirements, the RHS of the equation also should be an integer.
- > Thus, we have

$$\left(\beta_i - \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{ij} y_j\right) \leq \beta_i < 1$$

> Hence, the constraint can be expressed as,

$$\beta_i - \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{ij} y_j \le 0$$

> After introducing a slack variable s_i , the final Gomory constraint can be written as, $s_i - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_{ij} y_j = -\beta_i$



Procedure for solving All-Integer LP

- Solve the problem as an ordinary LP problem neglecting the integer requirements.
- If the optimum values of the variables are not integers, then choose the basic variable which has the largest fractional value, and generate Gomory constraint for that variable.
- Insert a new row with the coefficients of this constraint, to the final tableau of the ordinary LP problem.
- Solve this by applying the dual simplex method

15



Procedure for solving All-Integer LP ...contd.

- Check whether the new solution is all-integer or not.
- If all values are not integers, then a new Gomory constraint is developed for the non-integer valued variable from the new simplex tableau and the dual simplex method is applied again.
- > The process is continued until
 - > An optimal integer solution is obtained or
 - > It shows that the problem has no feasible integer solution.



Thank You

D Nagesh Kumar, IISc