## Linear Programming Applications

## Transportation Problem

## Introduction

> Transportation problem is a special problem of its own structure.
> Planning model that allocates resources, machines, materials, capital etc. in a best possible way
> In these problems main objective generally is to minimize the cost of transportation or maximize the benefit.

## Objectives

> To structure a basic transportation problem
> To demonstrate the formulation and solution with a numerical example

## Structure of the Problem

> A classic transportation problem is concerned with the distribution of any commodity (resource) from any group of 'sources' to any group of destinations or 'sinks’
> The amount of resources from source to sink are the decision variables
> The criterion for selecting the optimal values of the decision variables (like minimization of costs or maximization of profits) will be the objective function
> The limitation of resource availability from sources will constitute the constraint set.

## Structure of the Problem ...contd.

> Let there be $m$ origins namely $O_{1}, O_{2}, \ldots, O_{m}$ and $n$ destinations $D_{1}$, $D_{2}, \ldots, D_{n}$
$>$ Amount of commodity available in $i^{\text {th }}$ source is $\boldsymbol{a}_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, m$
> Demand in $j^{\text {th }}$ sink is $\boldsymbol{b}_{\boldsymbol{j}}, \mathrm{j}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$.
> Cost of transportation of unit amount of material from $i$ to $j$ is $\boldsymbol{c}_{i j}$
> Amount of commodity supplied from $i$ to $j$ be denoted as $x_{i j}$
> Thus, the cost of transporting $x_{i j}$ units of commodity from $i$ to $j$ is $C_{i j} \times X_{i j}$

## Structure of the Problem ...contd.

> The objective of minimizing the total cost of transportation

$$
\text { Minimize } \quad f=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{i j} x_{i j}
$$

> Generally, in transportation problems, the amount of commodity available in a particular source should be equal to the amount of commodity supplied from that source. Thus, the constraint can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{i j}=a_{i}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, m \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Structure of the Problem ...contd.

> Total amount supplied to a particular sink should be equal to the corresponding demand

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i j}=b_{j,} \quad j=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

> The set of constraints given by eqns (2) and (3) are consistent only if total supply and total demand are equal

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j} \quad i=1,2, \ldots, m, j=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Structure of the Problem ...contd.

> But in real problems constraint (4) may not be satisfied. Then, the problem is said to be unbalanced
> Unbalanced problems can be modified by adding a fictitious (dummy) source or destination which will provide surplus supply or demand respectively
> The transportation costs from this dummy source to all destinations will be zero
> Likewise, the transportation costs from all sources to a dummy destination will be zero

## Structure of the Problem... contd.

> This restriction causes one of the constraints to be redundant
> Thus the above problem have $m \times n$ decision variables and $(m+n-1)$ equality constraints.
> The non-negativity constraints can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i j} \geq 0 \quad i=1,2, \ldots, m, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Example (1)

> Consider a transport company which has to supply 4 units of paper materials from each of the cities Faizabad and Lucknow
> The material is to be supplied to Delhi, Ghaziabad and Bhopal with demands of four, one and three units respectively
> Cost of transportation per unit of supply $\left(c_{i j}\right)$ is indicated in the figure shown in the next slide
> Decide the pattern of transportation that minimizes the cost.

## Example (1)... contd.

## Solution:

> Let the amount of material supplied from source $i$ to sink $j$ as $x_{i j}$
> Here $m=2 ; n=3$.

## Example (1)... contd.

> Total supply $=4+4=8$ units
> Total demand $=4+1+3=8$ units
> Since both are equal, the problem is balanced
> Objective function is to minimize the total cost of transportation from all combinations
Minimize $\quad f=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{i j} x_{i j}$
i.e.

Minimize

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=5 x_{11}+3 x_{12}+8 x_{13}+4 x_{21}+x_{22}+7 x_{23} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Example (1)... contd.

The constraints are explained below:
> The total amount of material supplied from each source city should be equal to 4

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_{i j}=4 ; \quad i=1,2
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { i.e. } & x_{11}+x_{12}+x_{13}=4 & \text { for } i=1 \\
& x_{21}+x_{22}+x_{23}=4 & \text { for } i=2 \tag{8}
\end{array}
$$

## Example (1)... contd.

> The total amount of material received by each destination city should be equal to the corresponding demand

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{2} x_{i j}=b_{j} ; j=1,2,3
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x_{11}+x_{21}=4 & \text { for } j=1 \\
x_{12}+x_{22}=1 & \text { for } j=2 \\
x_{13}+x_{23}=3 & \text { for } j=3
\end{array}
$$

> Non-negativity constraints

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i j} \geq 0 ; i=1,2 ; j=1,2,3 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Example (1). . .contd.

> Optimization problem has 6 decision variables and 5 constraints
> Since the optimization model consists of equality constraints, Big M method is used to solve
> Since there are five equality constraints, introduce five artificial variables viz., $R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}, R_{4}$ and $R_{5}$.

## Example (1). . .contd.

The objective function and the constraints can be expressed as
Minimize $f=5 \times x_{11}+3 \times x_{12}+8 \times x_{13}+4 \times x_{21}+1 \times x_{22}+7 \times x_{23}$

$$
+M \times R_{1}+M \times R_{2}+M \times R_{3}+M \times R_{4}+M \times R_{5}
$$

subject to $x_{11}+x_{12}+x_{13}+R_{1}=4$

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{21}+x_{22}+x_{23}+R_{2} & =4 \\
x_{11}+x_{21}+R_{3} & =4 \\
x_{12}+x_{22}+x_{23}+R_{4} & =1 \\
x_{13}+x_{23}+R_{5} & =3
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example (1). ..contd.

> Modifying the objective function to make the coefficients of the artificial variable equal to zero, the final form objective function is

$$
\begin{aligned}
f & +(-5+2 M) \times x_{11}+(-3+2 M) \times x_{12}+(-8+2 M) \times x_{13} \\
& +(-4+2 M) \times x_{21}+(-1+2 M) \times x_{22}+(-7+2 M) \times x_{23} \\
& -0 \times R_{1}+0 \times R_{2}+0 \times R_{3}+0 \times R_{4}+0 \times R_{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

The solution of the model using simplex method is shown in the following slides

## Example (1). ..contd.

## First iteration

Table 1

First iteration

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Basic } \\ & \text { variables } \end{aligned}$ | Variables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | RHS | Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x_{l l}$ | $x_{12}$ | $x_{13}$ | $x_{21}$ | $x_{22}$ | $x_{23}$ | $R_{1}$ | $R_{2}$ | $R_{3}$ | $R_{4}$ | $R_{5}$ |  |  |
| Z | $\begin{gathered} -5 \\ +2 \mathrm{M} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -3 \\ +2 \mathrm{M} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -8 \\ +2 \mathrm{M} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -4 \\ +2 \mathrm{M} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1 \\ +2 \mathrm{M} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -7 \\ +2 \mathrm{M} \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16M |  |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | - |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | - |
| $R_{4}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{5}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | - |
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## Example (1). ..contd.

## Second iteration

## Table 2

## Second iteration

| Basic variables | Variables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | RHS | Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x_{11}$ | $x_{12}$ | $x_{13}$ | $/ x_{21}$ | $x_{22}$ | $x_{23}$ | $R_{1}$ | $R_{2}$ | $R_{3}$ | $R_{4}$ | $R_{5}$ |  |  |
| Z | $-5+2 \mathrm{M}$ | -1 | -8+2M | -4+2M | 0 | -7+2M | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 1- \\ 2 \mathrm{M} \end{gathered}$ | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 1+14 \\ \mathrm{M} \end{gathered}$ | - |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | - |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 0 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| $\mathrm{X}_{22}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | - |
| $\mathrm{R}_{5}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | - |

## Example (1)...contd.

## Third iteration

## Table 3

Third iteration

| Basic variables | $\mathrm{x}_{11}$ | Variables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | RHS | Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{X}_{12}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{13}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{21}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{22}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{23}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{5}$ |  |  |
| Z | -5+2M | -5+2M | $-8+2 \mathrm{M}$ | 0 | 0 | $-7+2 \mathrm{M}$ | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 4- \\ 2 \mathrm{M} \end{gathered}$ | 0 | -3 | 0 | $13+8 \mathrm{M}$ | - |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| $\mathrm{X}_{21}$ | 0 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 3 | - |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | (1) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{X}_{22}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | - |
| $\mathrm{R}_{5}$ | (0) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | - |
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## Example (1). ..contd.

## Fourth iteration

Table 4

## Fourth iteration

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Basic } \\ & \text { variables } \end{aligned}$ | Variables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | RHS | Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{x}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{12}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{13}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{21}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{22}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{23}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | R3 | $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ | Rs |  |  |
| Z | 0 | 0 | -8+2M | 0 | 0 | -7+2M | 0 | -1 | $\begin{gathered} 5- \\ 2 \mathrm{M} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2- \\ 2 \mathrm{M} \end{gathered}$ | 0 | $18+6 \mathrm{M}$ | - |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 3 | - |
| $\mathrm{X}_{21}$ | 0 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 3 | - |
| $\mathrm{X}_{11}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | - |
| $\mathrm{X}_{22}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{5}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 |

## Example (1)...contd.

> Repeating the same procedure
Minimum total cost,

$$
f=42
$$

Optimum decision variable values:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{11}=2.2430, x_{12}=0.00, x_{13}=1.7570, \\
& x_{21}=1.7570, x_{22}=1.00, x_{23}=1.2430
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example (2)

> Consider three factories (F) located in three different cities, producing a particular chemical. The chemical is to get transported to four different warehouses ( Wh ), from where it is supplied to the customers. The transportation cost per truck load from each factory to each warehouse is determined and are given in the table below. Production and demands are also given in the table below.

|  | Wh1 | Wh2 | Wh3 | Wh4 | Production |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | 523 | 682 | 458 | 850 | 60 |
| F2 | 420 | 412 | 362 | 729 | 110 |
| F3 | 670 | 558 | 895 | 695 | 150 |
| Demand | 65 | 85 | 80 | 70 |  |
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## Example (2) ...contd.

## Solution:

> Let the amount of chemical to be transported from factory $i$ to warehouse $j$ be $x_{i j}$.
> Total supply $=60+110+150=320$
> Total demand $=65+85+80+70=300$
> Since the total demand is less than total supply, add one fictitious ware house, Wh5 with a demand of 20.
> Thus, $m=3 ; n=5$

## Example (2) ...contd.

> The modified table is shown below

|  | Wh1 | Wh2 | Wh3 | Wh4 | Wh5 | Production |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | 523 | 682 | 458 | 850 | 0 | 60 |
| F2 | 420 | 412 | 362 | 729 | 0 | 110 |
| F3 | 670 | 558 | 895 | 695 | 0 | 150 |
| Demand | 65 | 85 | 80 | 70 | 20 |  |

## Example (2) ...contd.

> Objective function is to minimize the total cost of transportation from all combinations

Minimize

$$
\begin{aligned}
f & =523 x_{11}+682 x_{12}+458 x_{13}+850 x_{14}+0 x_{15}+420 x_{21} \\
& +412 x_{22}+362 x_{23}+729 x_{24}+0 x_{25}+670 x_{31} \\
& +558 x_{32}+895 x_{33}+695 x_{34}+0 x_{35}
\end{aligned}
$$

subject to the constraints

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x_{11}+x_{12}+x_{13}+x_{14}+x_{15}=60 & \text { for } i=1 \\
x_{21}+x_{22}+x_{23}+x_{24}+x_{25}=110 & \text { for } i=2 \\
x_{31}+x_{32}+x_{33}+x_{34}+x_{35}=150 & \text { for } i=3
\end{array}
$$

## Example (2) ...contd.

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
x_{11}+x_{21}+x_{31}=65 & \text { for } j=1 \\
x_{12}+x_{22}+x_{32}=85 & \text { for } j=2 \\
x_{13}+x_{23}+x_{23}=90 & \text { for } j=3 \\
x_{14}+x_{24}+x_{24}=80 & \text { for } j=4 \\
x_{15}+x_{25}+x_{25}=20 & \text { for } j=5 \\
x_{i j} \geq 0 & i=1,2,3 ; j=1,2,3,4
\end{array}
$$

This optimization problem can be solved using the same procedure used for the previous problem.

## Thank You

